

\_



		20811732 :	
	2010/08 /24		:
: :			2

2010 / 1431

.

2010 /08 /24 :

## 

﴿ وَاللَّهُ أَخْرَجَكُم مِّن بُطُونِ أَمَّهَا تِكُمْ لاَ تَعْلَمُونَ شَيْئاً وَجَعَلَ لَكُمُ الْسَمْعَ وَالأَبْصَارَ وَالأَفْذِدَةَ لَعَلَّكُمْ تَشْكُرُونَ ﴾

[78: ] صدق الله العظيم

•

:

·

(2010 – 2009)

· :

. (22)

. (22)

(10)

(Cronbach Alpha) ( ) (0.78)

. (0.89)

·

.

. 10

·

. .1 .2

## **Abstract:**

This study aimed to identify and explore the strategic techniques used by the teachers of Math in the Lower Basic Stage in Bethlehem District to overcome the obstacles and problems of teaching Mathematics.

The population of the study included all the teachers of Math who work in the schools of Bethlehem Administration for the school year (2009-2010). The population of the study was (233) teachers.

The study adopted the descriptive research in which the researcher designed a questionnaire as the instument of the study. It was divided into three parts: the first one included general information about the sample's individuals. The second part included (22) paragraphs to investigate the teachers' perception of solving problems in teaching Math. The last part of the questionnaire included the obstacles which face the teachers in teaching Math, and it also included (22) paragraphs.

We showed the instument of the study (questionnaire) to (10) experts in order to examine its validity and stability. The experts were all teachers who work in the field of teaching whether in schools or universities.

Ten questionnaires were distributed haphazardly to ten teachers in different schools to check the validity and stability of the study instument. Furthermore, the researcher used the statistical analysis (Cronbach Alpha) which proved that the instument of the study is reliable and valid.

The results of the statistical analysis showed that:

The degree of the teachers' perception of using the strategy of solving problems was average, and the most teachers agreed that the method of solving the problems improves and develops the students' scientific thoughts.

The degree obstacles using of the method of solving problems was average, and the most common problem was the study load which does not give enough time for planning a lesson by using the method of solving the problems.

There are statistical significant differences at the significant among the expectations average of the primary schools' teachers for their perception level of using the method of solving the problems in teaching Math according to the years of experience variable.

There are statistical significant differences at the significant among the expectations average of the primary schools' teachers for their perception level of using the method of solving the problems in teaching Math according

to supervising side variable. These differences were with the side of the teachers who work in the governmental schools.

There are statistical significant differences at the significant among the expectations average of the primary schools' teachers for their perception level of using the method of solving the problems in teaching Math according to sex variable. These differences were with the side of the male teachers.

There are statistical significant differences at the significant among the expectations average of the primary schools' teachers for their perception level of using the method of solving the problems in teaching Math according to supervising side variable. These differences were with the side of the teachers who work in the privet schools.

In the light of the study results, the researcher would suggest some recommendations that would be useful:

- 1. Making studies about the strategies of solving the problems of all the school classes and courses.
- 2. Making training courses and sessions for teachers to enable them using the strategy of solving the problems and apply this strategy with the students inside the class room.

1.1

2.1

3.1

4.1

**5.1** 

6.1

7.1

: 1.1

.(1984 )

: .(1984 )

.(1988 )

.(1993 )

.

.

.(1993 ) .(1969 .(2004 .(1991 .(1999 ) ( ) .(1989 ) ( )

```
.(Polya, 1979)
     (2005)
                                                     -1
                                                    -2
                                                    -3
                            (Carpenter, 1981)
                                                   .(1986
                                     (1986)
(Kantowski)
                                           (Process)
                                           (Product)
```

: **2.1** 

•

.

.

: **3.1** 

.

: .1

.2

:

:

-:

-1 -2

-3 -4

-5

التالية:-

-1 -2 -3

-4 -5

-: **5.1** 

(

.

·

-: **6.1** 

•

.(2010/2009) : •

: **7.1** 

:

.(1999 )

:

.

:

:

.(2007 )

:

.

: .

1.2		
2.2		
3.2		
4.2		
5.2	)	(
. 6.2		
7.2		
8.2		
9.2		
10.2		
11.2		
12.2		
13.2		

-: **1.2** 

•

-: **2.2** 

" (problem solving)

.(2007 )

" (1999 )

.(2003 ) "

.(2003 )

(fisher, 2001)

•

-: **3.2** 

-: (Greeno, 1978) -

-: -1

-: -2 .

**-**: -3

-: (Jonnassen, 2004) -

.

-: (Ollhof, 2004) -:(2004 ) 4.2 -1 -2 -: .(2004 ) -3 ) – .(2003 (Johnston, 1999) . -1

-2

-:( ) 5.2

-: (2002 ) -1 .

-2 -3 -4 -5

-6

.(2007 (1994 -1 -2 -3 6.2

) .(2001

--

) – .(1999

.(1999 )

-: 7.2

) ( ) (

.(1994 )

-: : -1

.(2001 )

: -2

•

```
-3
                                                          -5
                                                          -6
                                                          -7
.(2009
                  )
                                                 (Polya)
                                                          -1
                                                          -2
                              .(1998
             (2008
                       )
                                                 (
                                                         )
                                     (Jonassen, 2004)
```

-: .

```
(Ideal)
                                                (Conway, 1993)
               -:
                                            . \\ Identification
                                                                      -1
                                              .Definition
                                                                      -2
                                               .Exploring
                                                                      -3
                                               .Acting Ideas
                                                                      -4
                                       .Looking Effects
                                                                      -5
                                        (Christensen, 2001)
                                                                        8.2
                           (1983)
              (1984)
                                          (1986)
                                                                     (2005)
                                                              -:
             .(
                                 -:
                                                             -:
                                            .(
                                                                      -1
                                                                      -2
                                                                      -3
                                                                      -4
                                                                      -5
                                                                      -6
                                  .(
                                                                      -7
                         .(
                                                                      -8
```

-: -1 -2 -3 -4 -5 -6 -1 -2 -3 9.2 -:

.

:(1986 )

.1

-: -:

·

-: •

·

-: • .

-: .2

.(Charles, et al. 1998)

-: .3

.(2007 )

-: .**4** 

.(1994 )

-: .5

.(Musser, Burger, 1988)

.6 -: .(2003 -: (1986 ) -: .1 .2 .3 (Musser, Burger, .1988) .4 (1984) (Rosemary, 1989) -1

-2 . . -3 . -4

: 10.2

:

.(2004 )

**:** •

.(1984 )

:

. ( ) ( )

.

.

)

.(2004

.

.(2004 )

: 11.2

-: :

.(2004 .1 .2 .3

·

.4

.

.(2004

: 12.2

п

<del>-</del>:

-:(Gianis, 1996) -1

.

-:(Guilford, 1986) -2

.

-:(Davis, 1993) -3

.(1997 )

: 13.2

:

.(1991 ) ( ) .1 .2 .3 ( .(2004 .(1999 ) .(2001 ) .(2001 )

.(2004 ) .1 .2 .3 .4 .(2001 .5 ( ) .(2004 ) .(1989 ) .(2004 .(1984 )

.1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .(1991 ) (Bell, 1986) ) .(2004 ) .(1999 )

27

) -:(2004

.1

.2

.

.3

. .4

28

: 14.2

: 1.14.2

:(2007)

(65)

(%31) 20

 $(0.05 \ge \alpha)$ 

•

:(2006)

(2006 – 2005) ) (325) (300) (206) (

(103) -: (103)

:(2006)

(338)

. (2005 – 2004)

 $(0.05 \ge \alpha)$  -:

 $(0.05 \ge \alpha)$ 

.

:(2006)

· \_•

 $(0.05 \geq \alpha)$ 

:(2002)

.(2002)

: (73) . (24) : •

. (25) : •

. (24) : •

(ANOVA)

(%80) . (45)

ANOVA .

(4)

: (2001)

(253) (153) (406) (9) (9) (18)

·

-1 (%49) (39.16)

.(%33) (40.56) -2

. (0.05≥α) -3

(0.74) .(0.05≥α)

:(2001) :(1999) ( ) (52) (26) (26)  $(0.05 = \alpha)$ 

32

. (1008)

:(1998)

· :(1997)

:(1997)

.( )(

(18)

.1996 -1995

. (

·

· (

:(1994)

. -1 -2

-:  $(0.001 = \alpha)$ 

-: -3 .(%51.1)

.(%6.8)

.(%42.1)

:(1992)

. (92)

(32)

( )

-:

:(1989)

(360) . 1988 /87

(24)

() :(1988) . ()

·

:(1987)

. .1 .2

.3

:(1985)

. (76)

. (35)

:(1984)

(20) 1983/1984

. %60 %35

%65

(0.85)

(0.15-)

: **2.14.2** 

(Hall, 2002)

•

•

:(**Giuliano, 2002**)

-2

-3

(120)

(139)

. (12)

: . :()

. :( )

:( ) . :( )

:(Ishida, 1979)

Kota (68) (34)

(Janel kay, 1996)

(Janel kay, 1996)

(

:(Lisa – Pericdla, et al .1992)

:(Odafe, 1986)

(Visa, 1985) :

.

:(Pilato, 1984)

:(Broad Foot, 1980)

:

.

.... -:

·

42

1.3

2.3

3.3

4.3

5.3

6.3

7.3

: 1.3

.

: **2.3** 

: (233) (2010/2009)

. 121 : . 61 :

. 51 :

:(1.3)

		<b>\ /</b>
%34.3	80	
%65.7	153	
%24.5	57	
%67.0	156	
%8.6	20	
%24.0	56	
%51.9	121	
%14.2	33	
%9.9	23	
%31.3	73	5
%29.2	68	10-5
%39.5	92	10
%51.9	121	
%26.2	61	
%21.9	51	

: 4.3

: 5.3

(1)

(Pearson Correlation)

.(3.3) (2.3)

(Pearson Correlation) :(2.3)

.

	()			()	
0.001	0.50**	.12	0.001	0.41**	.1
0.001	0.50**	.13	0.001	0.53**	.2
0.001	0.46**	.14	0.001	0.48**	.3
0.001	0.49**	.15	0.021	0.15**	.4
0.001	0.62**	.16	0.556	-0.04	.5
0.001	0.54**	.17	0.018	0.16**	.6
0.001	0.59**	.18	0.535	0.04	.7
0.001	0.67**	.19	0.001	0.48**	.8
0.001	0.59**	.20	0.001	0.48**	.9
0.001	0.61**	.21	0.001	0.44**	.10
0.001	0.57**	.22	0.001	0.44**	.11

(0.05)

## (Pearson Correlation)

:(3.3)

.

	()			()	
0.001	0.67**	.12	0.001	0.63**	.1
0.001	0.63**	.13	0.001	0.56**	.2
0.001	0.67**	.14	0.001	0.59**	.3
0.001	0.64**	.15	0.001	0.54**	.4
0.001	0.56**	.16	0.001	0.51**	.5
0.001	0.61**	.17	0.001	0.51**	.6
0.001	0.60**	.18	0.001	0.46**	.7
0.001	0.46**	.19	0.001	0.55**	.8
0.001	0.58**	.20	0.001	0.48**	.9
0.001	0.48**	.21	0.001	0.61**	.10
0.001	0.55**	.22	0.001	0.52**	.11

6.3

Cronbach )

.(4.3) (Alpha

(Cronbach Alpha)

:(4.3)

0.78	22	233
0.89	22	233

(4.3)

47

7.3 .( .1 ) .2 .3 .4 5 10 - 5 10 .5 .1

.2

			:	8.3
		:		
				.1
				.2
		.(3)		
				.3
	.(4	)		
	,	•		.4
		.SPSS		.5
			•	.6
				:
.(2.33 – 1.00)			:	-
.(3.67 - 2.34)			:	_

(5.00 - 3.68)

1.4

2.4

3.4

: 1.4

5 3 4

(Pearson Correlation)
.SPSS .

: **2.4** 

: 1.2.4

.(5.4)

:(1.4)

0.79	4.26	
0.80	4.21	
0.77	4.17	
0.73	4.15	
0.81	4.09	
0.76	4.09	
0.79	4.09	
0.66	4.09	
0.77	4.06	
0.83	4.02	
0.77	4.00	
0.81	3.96	
0.80	3.88	
0.79	3.86	
0.90	3.75	
0.91	3.72	
0.82	3.71	
0.85	3.71	
0.91	2.29	
1.00	2.19	
0.92	2.18	
0.98	2.11	
0.35	3.66	

.(0.35) (3.66)

: **2.2.4** 

.(2.4)

:(2.4)

0.86	3.96	
0.88	3.93	
0.92	3.90	
0.85	3.88	
0.94	3.82	
0.95	3.81	
0.90	3.74	
0.98	3.73	
1.01	3.69	
0.96	3.65	
0.87	3.64	
0.88	3.61	
0.94	3.61	
0.90	3.57	
0.98	3.57	
0.91	3.56	
1.13	3.55	
0.90	3.53	
0.93	3.47	
0.89	3.45	
0.99	3.43	
1.04	3.41	
0.52	3.65	

.(0.52) (3.65)

.

.

3.4

1.3.4

.(3.4)

:(3.4)

0.31	3.70	80	
0.37	3.64	153	

(3.4) (3.70) .(3.64)

.

2.3.4

.(4.4)

:(4.4)

.

0.37	3.68	57	
0.35	3.66	156	
0.40	3.66	20	

(4.4)

(3.68)

(3.66)

3.3.4

.(5.4)

:(5.4)

0.37	3.70	73	5
0.35	3.57	68	10-5
0.33	3.70	92	10

5 (3.70) 10

. 10-5

: 4.3.4

.(6.4)

:(6.4)

.

0.35	3.69	56
0.38	3.64	121
0.24	3.71	33
0.34	3.65	23

$$(3.71)$$
  $(3.69)$ 

.(3.64) (3.65)

5.3.4

.(7.4)

:(7.4)

0.38	3.68	121	
0.23	3.77	61	
0.36	3.51	51	

(3.68) (3.77)

: 6.3.4

.(8.4)

:(8.4)

0.50	3.77	80	
0.53	3.60	153	

(8.4) (3.77) .(3.60)

**7.3.4** 

.(9.4)

:(9.4)

.

0.56	3.72	73	5
0.53	3.63	68	10-5
0.51	3.63	92	10

(9.4)

(3.72) 5

10-5 . (3.63) 10

: 8.3.4

.(10.4)

:(10.4)

.

0.51	3.72	56
0.56	3.62	121
0.46	3.72	33
0.49	3.61	23

(10.4)

(3.72)

(3.62)

.(3.61)

9.3.4

.(11.4)

:(11.4)

0.45	3.68	57	
0.55	3.67	156	
0.62	3.54	20	

(11.4)

10.3.4

.(12.4)

:(12.4)

.

0.52	3.50	121	
0.48	3.94	61	
0.46	3.71	51	

(12.4)

1.5

2.5

1.2.5

1.1.2.5

2.1.2.5

3.1.2.5

4.1.2.5

5.1.2.5

2.2.5

1.2.2.5

2.2.2.5

3.2.2.5

4.2.2.5

5.2.2.5

: 1.5

: 2.5

: 1.2.5

.(3.66)

: 1.1.2.5

(7.4)

•

.(3.69) (3.70) .(1978 ) (2007 ) .(1997 ) (2001 )

.

: 1.1.2.5

(4.4)

(4.4) (0.37) (3.68) (0.35) (3.66) (0.40) (3.66) (2007 )

.

: 3.1.2.5

(5.4)

(10-5).( 10) (10) (5.4)

: 4.1.2.5

(6.4)

(6.4) (3.71) (3.64) (3.69) . (3.65)

: 5.1.2.5

(7.4)

·

-: 2.2.5

(3.65) . (2.4)

•

.

· •

•

:

: 1.2.2.5

(3.60) (3.77)

.(12.4)

.(1997) (2001)

: 2.2.2.5

(9.4) . .(3.63) 10 (3.63) 10 – 5 (3.72) 5

: 3.2.2.5

(10.4)

3.72 3.62

3.72

3.61

: 4.2.2.5

(11.4)

3.68 3.67

3.54

: 5.2.2.5

.(12.4)

3.50 3.94

3.71

•

: 5**.**4

-: .1

.2

.3

. 4

.5

•

.6

.7

:

-: .(1986)

. (20)

. : 1 .(2001)

2 .(2003)

•

.(1987)

·

. (1984)

.40 64

.(1985)

. ( ).

.(1986)

.64 6

.(2001) .4 .(2008) .(2005) 1 .(2006) .(1991) .(1997) . .(2007) .(2002) . .(1994) . .(2002) ) . .(

.(1999) .(1999) .(1988) .(1984) ) .( .(1997) .( ) . .(1999) .3 : .(1986) .(1998) .15 49 .(1999) 1 .(1983) .(1999)

```
.(2003)
                                                      .1
                                                     .(1994)
                                                     .(2001)
                                                     .(2004)
                              1
                                                     .(2003)
                                                    .(1989)
                                               .(2007)
)
                                               .(
                                                  .(1987)
      .(
                              )
                                       .(1984)
                                                       .(1999)
        .(
                                )
                                                   .(1992) .
```

•

.(1969) 7 .(2004) .(2004) .1 :(2007) . .(1984) .(2001) ) . .( .(1993) .52 23 .(1989) **.(**1994) .(1993) (13) .49

. (2006)
. (1997)
. 24 (45)
. (1984)
. (2006)
. (1986)
. (1986)
. (2004)

-:

- Bell, F. (1986). Teaching and Learning Mathematics in Secondary Schools, Dubuque: wm. c. Brown.
- Broad foot P. (1980). **Promoting Quality in Learning First education**. Cahssell. VK.
- Bunce, Diane Mary (1984). The effects of Teaching Approach on Mathematical Chemistry Achievement of College Students, Diss. Abu. Int., Vol. 46. No. 4, P. 665.
- Charles, et al. (1998). **Middle School Math course 91 Scott Fores man**, Addison Wesley.
- Christen Sen, I. and Wagner, H (2001). **Psychology. First Edition. Biddles Itd.** Guilford. UK.
- Conway, R. and Ashman, A. (1993). **Using Cognitive Methods in The classroom.** British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data.
- Corpenter, T (1981). "Results and implications at the second, NAEP mathematics assessmek" Mathematic Teacher. 73 (5), 329 338.
- Davis, N., JoMcCarty, B., Shaw, K and Sidani Tabbaa, A. (1993).
   Transition For Objectivism to Constructivism in Science
   Education Teaching. International Journal of Science Education, 15
   (6): 627 636.

- Fisher, R. (2001). **Teaching children to think**. Nelson Thornes, United Kingdom.
- Ginnis, p. and Brandes, D. (1996). **A guide To student Centered Learning**. Third Edition. Stanley Thornes Itd.
- Giuliano, F. (2002). The relationships among coqritive variables and student problem solving strategies in an interaction chemistry classroom, Pro Quest Dal, AAC 9821618, Proquest information and Learning Company.
- Greeno, J. (1978). **Nature of problem solving a bilifies**. Hand book of learning and cognitive processes. Ed. W. K. Estes...: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 239-270, Hillsdale, W. J.
- Guilford, J.P. (1986). Creative talents: Their nature uses and development. New York. Bearly.
- Hall, L. (2002). Problem solving strategies of middle school students: An analysis of gender differences and thinking in high achieving students. UMP proquest Digital Dissertation 24P. Preview.
- Ishida, J. (1997). The teaching of general solution methods, School Science and Mathematics, 97 (3), 151 161.
- Janel Kay, R. (1996). The effects of using instructions on the use of problem solving strategies and problem solving performance of preservice elementary education majors, DAL, 57 (3), 1067 A.

- Johnston Wilder, et al teal (1999). "Learning to teach mathematics in the Secondary Schools, Rout ledge, London, N.Y.
- Jonassen, D. (2004). Learning to Solve Problems: An Instructional Design Guide Teach Training Series, Pfeiffer.
- Lisa Pericola et al. (1992). Improving the mathematical problem Solving Skills of Students with disabilities: Self Regulated Strategy development, Journal of Special Education, vol. 26 (1) pp 1-19.
- Musser, L. and Burger, F. (1988). Mathematics for elementary teachers a contemporary approach. New York, Macmillan Publishing company.
- Odafe, Victor Uche (1986). The effects of a Problem Solving Instructional Mode on the Mathematics Achievement of Selected College Students, Diss. Abu. Int, Vol. 47. No. 8, P. 2935.
- Ollhoff, J. (2004). **Critical Problem Solving**, http://www.problems. dynamic-systems.info/index.html. retrieved on 25/05/2010.
- Pilato, Virginia Hatche.(1984). **The Independence Teaching Behaviors of Preadlescent Student Using the problem Solving Approach**, Diss,
  Abs. Int. 46 Wo. 3,1985, P. 602 603.
- Polya, G (1979). **How to solve**. Second Edition Princeton University Press, New Jersey.
- Rosemary Schmalz,. (1989). **Problem Solving an Attitude As Well As A Strategy**, Mathematics Teacher, vol 12, No, 12, pp, 685 687.

/		.1
/		.2
/		.3
1		.4
/		.5
/		.6
/		.7
/		.8
/		.9
/		.10

(2)

n

/

n .

."

. (X)

		;		:
				:
			<u> </u>	
				:
10	10- 5	5	_ :	
		☐ :		

: :

:

أبدأ	نادرا	أحياناً	غالبأ	دائماً	الفقرة	الرقم
						-1
						-2
						-3
						-4
					·	-5
						-6
						-7
						-8
						-9
						-10
						-11
						-12
						-13
					·	-14
						-15

أبدأ	نادراً	أحياناً	غالبا	دائماً	الفقرة	الرقم
						-16
						-17
						-18
						-19
					•	-20
						-21
					·	-22

:

أبدأ	نادرا	أحياناً	غالبا	دائماً	الفقرة	الرقم
					·	-1
						-2
						-3
						-4
					·	-5
						-6
						-7
					·	-8

أبدأ	نادراً	أحياناً	غالبا	دائماً	الفقرة	الرقم
						-9
						-10
						-11
						-12
					·	-13
						-14
						-15
						-16
						-17
						-18
					·	-19
						-20
						-21
						-22

Al-Quds University

**Graduate Studies Programs** 

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم



## جامعة القحس كلية العلوم التربوية برامج الدراسات العليا

الرقم: ب د ع/۱۲/۱۲۴/۱۲۸ ۱۰/۰ التاريخ: ٢٠١٠/٠٤/٢٥

معالى أ. لميس العلمي المحترمة وزيرة التربية والتعليم العالى - رام الله

الموضوع: تسهيل مهمة

تحية طيبة وبعد،،

تقوم الطالبة: نعمة عبد الرحمن عدوي ورقمها الجامعي (٢٠٨١١٧٣٢)، بدراسة تتعلق برسالة ماجستير بعنوان

" إدراك معلمي المرحلة الأساسية الدنيا لاستخدام إستراتيجية حل المشكلات في تدريس الرياضيات ومعيقاتها في محافظة بيت لحم "

لذا يرجى من حضرتكم تسهيل مهمة الطالبة المذكورة أعلاه والتعاون معها في أعداد مجتمع الدراسة.

شاكرين لكم حسن تعاونكم

والله الموفق

منسق برنامج أساليب التدريس / كلية العلوم التربوية



## Palestinian National Authority

Ministry of Education & HE
Directorate of Education\Bethlehem



السلطة الوطنية الفلسطينية وزارة التربية والتعليم العالي مديرية التربية والتعليم/بيت لحج

الرقم:ت ب/1/3/

والتعليم الهري التربية والتعليم الهري التربية والتعليم الهري التربية والتعليم الهري المرابع ا

التاريخ:2 /2010/5 الموافق:19/جمادى الأولى/1431ه مديري ومديرات. المدارس الحكومية والخاصة المحترمين تحية طيبة وبعد،،،

## الموضوع:تسهيل مهمة

لا مانع من تسهيل مهمة الطالبة نعمة عبد الرحمن صالح عدوي والسماح لها بتعبئة الاستبانة بعنوان (إدراك معلمي المرحلة الأساسية الدنيا لاستخدام إستراتيجية حل المشكلة في تدريس الرياضيات ومعيقاتها) من قبل معلمي ومعلمات الرياضيات للمرحلة الأساسية الدنيا في مدارسكم على ألا يؤثر ذلك على سير العملية التعليمية.

ملاحظة: يرجى إعادة الاستبانة بعد تعبئتها إلى قسم الإشراف بالسرعة الممكنة. مع الاحترام



التعليم العام ن.ح/فن

هاتف(Tel(00970-2741271/2) فاكس (100970-2-2744392) هاتف



45		1.3
46	(Pearson correlation)	2.3
47	(Pearson correlation)	3.3
47	(Cronbach Alpha)	4.3
52		1.4
54		2.4
55		3.4
56		4.4
57		5.4

58	6.4
58	7.4
59	8.4
60	9.4
61	10.4
61	11.4
62	12.4

82	.1
83	.2
88	.3
89	.4

j	
<u></u>	
τ	:
å	TRACT:
1	
1	
2:	1.1
5:	2.1
5:	3.1
7:	5.1
7:	6.1
8	
8	
9	
9:	1.2
9:	2.2
11:	3.2
12:(2004 )	4.2
13:( )	5.2
14:	6.2
15:	7.2
17:	8.2
18:	9.2
21:	10.2
24:	12.2

24:	13.2
29:	14.2
29:	1.14.2
38:	2.14.2
43	
44	
44	
44:	1.3
44:	2.3
45:	4.3
46	5.3
47:	6.3
48:	7.3
48:	
49:	8.3
50	
50	
51:	1.4
51:	2.4
51:	1.2.4
53:	2.2.4
55:	3.4
62	
62	
63	
63:	2.5
63	1.2.5

67:	2.2.5
71:	5.4
72	
90	
02	