



()

()

-
2006 - 1426

()

:

.

:

/

/

()

. :
(20111882) :

:

2006/6/28

:

.....	.1
.....	.2
.....	.3

-
2006 - 1426

أهدي هذا الجهد المتواضع ...

إلى الأيدي الجانية التي رعيتني صغيراً...

وتفتحت أعلامها بتفتح قلبي على الحقيقة ...

إلى أبي وأمي...

إلى أخوتي وأخواتي ...

إلى أصحابي...

إلى زملائي وزميلاتي...

إلى كل من عرفني اخاً أو صديقاً...

إلى أستاذي الذي أعطى بلا حدود...

2006/6/28 :

:

(1999)

)

(

:

:

:

:

)

(

:

:

"Civility"

:

(

)

:

:

:

.()

.

:

()

.

:

.

:

.

)

.(2002

.2005

. .4

)

(...

.()

.

:

.

- " ") - .(

.2006-2004

%29.3

. SPSS

80

40
2006-1995

(80-1)

%94.9

%5.1

.(

)

)

%95

(7-4) .()
.%66.7 ()

.(%100-%66.7)

.())
)

(

:

.

Abstract

The Role of the Non-governmental Organization in Rural Development of Central Region of west Bank- Situation of Land Reclamation with Agricultural Relief

This research has been conducted under social and economic difficult conditions surrounding the Palestinian community in general, and land reclamation sector in particular. Due to the fact that the Palestinian community is exposed to confiscation of land and settlement, and construction of the apartheid annexing and expanding wall, this research has been conducted to highlight the role of NGOs in rural development of central region of West Bank – Situation of Land Reclamation with Agricultural relief) , and to analyze the relation of these NGOs with the local community aiming at providing practical inferences, recommendations and policies, and setting out practical precautions and corrective mechanisms to enhance the relation between these NGOs and the community, as well as to increase the area of the reclaimed land, and to establish more complementary relations between NGOs and the Palestinian National Authority and local community. Work on this study lasted for two and half years within the period 2004-2006 .

The importance of the study and its problem was significant in that there is a dysfunction in focusing NGOs n the cities while they work in the rural regions with about 29.3%. In addition their focus in the central region deprived its rural regions from the benefit of their programs, although they play a great role in supporting the withstand of the local community.

This study is based on qualitative and quantitative methodologies. It included a review of the literatures and sources relevant to NGOs and land reclamation.

The method of case study was chosen for this research as it is one of the suitable methods for research .

Data were collected through a questionnaire which was formulated and prepared for this purpose; and their correctness and harmony with the Program of the Package of Statistical and Social Analysis (SPSS) was assured . A regular random sample was selected from the research community of farmers-the owners of the reclaimed land and this sample was taken from the farmers who reclaimed their land through the Agricultural Relief .

The questionnaire of the research was distributed to 40 farmers from the total 80 farmers whose land was reclaimed within the period 1995-2006 in the central region of West Bank. Those farmers were chosen in accordance with a list containing all the names of the farmers of the central region as well as the area of land which was reclaimed, the estimated number of consumed work hours and the estimated value of the cost of reclamation. The sample was randomly selected in accordance with a poll of selecting a sample represented half of the research community, and the even numbers were taken from the list (1-80).

In the theoretical part, the study discussed the definition of development and rural development from the regional and Palestinian point of view. The study did not neglect the historical development of the NGOs in Palestine starting from the Anglo-Jewish occupation, passing through the Jordanian and Egyptian sovereignty on parts of West Bank and Gaza Strip till the current Israeli occupation and its stressing procedures on the ground, which restricted the work of NGOs and finishing with Oslo Agreement and the re-building of the Palestinian National Authority which brought to existence a group of specialized NGOs some of whom had a complementary relation with the Palestinian National Authority and the civil society.

I have used two methods of analysis of data, qualitative and quantitative methods as an answer to the assumptions and questions of the study to identify the relationships between the variables, and to come out with the best possible indicators. This came out with descriptive results and other results that explore the common relationships between the variables.

The results of the research showed difference among the beneficiaries from reforming programs either on sex levels, or education stage, or main and minor careers, or area, or the number of family members, or age groups. The rural districts characterized with benefiting more from land reclamation program for the agricultural area they cover. That is, as camps were not mentioned, we see that 94.9% have benefited from these programs in rural areas while it was 5.1% in cities. The study didn't disregard other variables of economic feature (the quality of relief programs, number of workers, income, kind of harvests, product increase, relief aids), and other political variables like (motives of reclamation, and the ability of the program to support resistance and everlasting).

For the analysis of assumptions, the study indicated the relationship among the variables that explore the relationships between the agricultural relief and targeted society. The study showed also that the satisfaction of farmers ranges between satisfied and somewhat satisfied- As the study indicated that the

more the monthly income of farmer's family is, the more is the satisfaction of relief programs. While the result was opposite when compared to the number of family members .

The study showed also that 95% of whom land were reformed had both political and economic motives. The higher percentages were represented in careers of (farmer, workers, free work). Families of 4 to 7 members represented the higher rates of these motives. While those who have intermediate education (B.A) represented 66.7% of these rates .

The study emphasized the role that the Agricultural Relief plays to support the farmers to ever last. Most farmers of whom lands reclaimed, disregarding their different career,, indicated that the relief programs have helped than to stay in their lands with a percentage that ranges from (66.7% to 100%) .

Through methodological analysis, the research emphasized the importance of land Reclamation from the farmers' point of vents referring to the factors that affected reclamation process, and emphasizing a group of factors that the Relief Committee had pointed out during reclamation process (economic and political factors). the farmers pointed out the obstacles the used to face either by (contractors, or the Relief committee, or by themselves). Accordingly, a set of suggestions were put forward by the farmers to be adopted in or4der. to increase the area of reclaimed lands .

By the end of the research, I suggested a number of recommendations some of which related to theoretical outline, and others related to practical outline.

The study recommended more researches to be conducted in relevance to land reclamation. And I have suggested some topics of other researches by the end of the research to enrich the knowledge of developmental researchers.

1.1

)

.480

2000

926

.(1999

%29.3

.(2001

) ...

.

)

.(

(

)

%60.2

%.10.5

%29.3

(%71)

.(2001)

1983

.(2004)

2.1

()
)

(...

%29.3

()
:

()

3.1

()

()

.

:

✓

.

✓

)

✓

.

(

✓

✓

4.1

%9

.(2005

)

.(...

.(2001)

5.1

:

✓

✓

✓

✓

6.1

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

-
-
-
-

7.1

:

.1

.2

()

.(1.1)

.3

.4

.5

.(1)

.6

%60-40

.(2,4)



1.2

.(2000)

)

.(2000)(

.(1997)

)

.(1998

.(1995)

Growth

Development

.(1998

)

)

.(1998

)

.(1997

(2002

)

.(

1990

)1978

.(2004)

.(1999)

.(1999)

.(2002)

.(2000)

)

.(2000 .(.)

"

"

()
.(1993)

.(1985)

)
1986

":

.(2000

"

"

"

1969

"

"

.(1986)"

"

Community Development

Rural Development

"

"

...

)

.(1998

:

()

:

•

•

•

-
-
-

: (2000)

- .1
- .2
- .3
- .4
- .5
- .6

:

-
-
-
-

.(2000 .1986)

() () ()

.(<http://www.papp.undp.org/ar/10/RL>)

UNDP

"

"

.(1999)

()

()

.()1999-1997

(150)

:(2004)

.

.(2004,) " "

"

.(1998)

"

"

"

.(1989)

:

.

.

.

.

.

.

.1

.2

.3

.4

.5

.6

(2000)

(1999 2001)

"
.()1973

1976

1980

1981

.2002 .1999)

.(2001

.(1998 [.www.sis.gov.ps/arbic/roya](http://www.sis.gov.ps/arbic/roya))

:1917-1849

.1.3.2

"

1849

1877

"

)

"

"

.(2001

.1995

.(www.sis.gov.ps/arbic .1988)

:1967

.2.3.2

1917

.(2000)

1925

.(2002 .1999)

:

.3.3.2

.1949

:(1999 .2004)

-
-
-
-

.(1999 .2004)

:(1982-1967)

.4.3.2

:

-

-

-

()

1976

...

....

1978

-

)

-

(

" "

1978

1984

400

1975

.(2001

.2001

)1986

14

.(1994)

:

-
-

100

.(1994 .1991)

.

.(1999)

:(1987_ 1982)

.5.3.2

1982

-

-

(

)

.(1997)

.2002 ()
) ()

.(1999

1981

.(1999)

"
"

1986

.(2001 .2001).

:

.1

.2

.()
()

.3

:(1993-1988)

.6.3.2

(. .)

...

.1999 .2001)

.(2004 2002

. . .

.(2001 .2001)

.2001)

.(1997 .1995

130

.(1997)

.1991

1991

.(2001)

1995

220-140

. . .

.

:

.

:

.

:

"

"

.

1993

%100 1992

" "

%100

%58

%10

210
%50 %60
%40 3000-2000 %100
(1999)

1993

(2004 2001)

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

)

(1999 2004 2002

" : "
(1999)

.(1999)

()

:(2004-1993)

.7.3.2

2000

(2000)2000

1350

.(2000) 200 1200
. (2001)1073 881

(Gender)

"
() " -

.(1998)

(1999) (2001)

:

.1

.2

. ()
)
. (2001) (

()

.(2002)

.3

.(...)

()

.4

.(2001)

1993

%14

.(3.2 2.2 1.2)

%21 %21

(1.2)

.(2000)

) 1998/6/3

.(2004 .1999

)

2000

(

.(2004)

2000

.

"

"

.

.

:

•

.

.

•

•

.(2004)

:

4.2

.(1999)

:

.(2003 .2001) ...

.(2001)

:

1.4.2

1967 1948
.(1991.)

13

.()

1937 871 1921

1967

(1974_ 1967)

.(1994)

(1979_1975)

(...)

749 381

(1991 _1967)

:

.1

.2

%.60

.3

.4

:

:

1.1.4.2

•

•

.(1991)

•

•

.(76)

•

•

•

: 2.1.4.2

-
-

.(1991)

2.4.2

. (2001)

41 1999

1991

220-140

()

17 24 ()

%10 () 61
-1990

%36 1989-1988
.(2001 .2001) 1992

(2004)

(A)

(C) (B)

(2005)

(C)

(1998)

:

(2005)

.1

.2

.3

.4

.5

.6

: .1.5.2

()
(1995)

(2002)

:

() -

()

.(2003)

.(2002 .1995)

-

:

(...)

.(2000)

-

: **.2.5.2**

: **.3.5.2**

:

: **.4.5.2**

.

: **.5.5.2**

: **.6.5.2**

:

- .1
- .2
- .3
- .4

.(2003)

.(2004)

.(1999.)

)

(

:

:

/

.1.6.2

20

%4

.(2002)

.(2004)

2000

·
:

(4)

.(2003)

.(1998)

-
-
-

:

.1

.(2005 UNDP/PAPP)1996

.2

.3

.(2003 UNDP)

.()

:

15857149

2004

2004

%30

%69

6026450

2004

:

2004

1999-1993

1733

35000

116.7

984

1999-1994

10060.5

.UNDP

: •

107328 2004 2003 2004-2001
 .(2004 .2004 100161)
 :

84982 2004 96885
 .(2004 .2003)2003

()

: **.2.6.2**

:

أ-

ب-

ج-

د-

()

)

.(www.mongoa.gov.ps/arbic .2000

:

-
-
-
-
-
-

%5

.%2.7

:

•

•

•

:

.3.6.2

()

%18

:

94

)

.(2002

.(2004

)

2000

:

:

•

•

•

•

:

.4.6.2

14

%2.7

:

.(2002)

%10.3

%53.4

%58.7

:

-
-

)

.(2004

.(2004

.2003

)

:

.5.6.2

(

)

.(2004

)

190

512

%37

:

.2002

)

.(www.pinc.gov.ps)

:

.6.6.2

%30

155

2000

.(8)

.(www.pinc.gov.ps .2002)

:

: .1

· ...

: .2

·

: .3

: .4

.(2000 .2001)

: **.7.6.2**

.(2003)

2639 2004

.(1996,2004)

7.2

.1.7.2

(1999)

.(2003)

)

.(1996

"

"

"

"

1988

(

)

1992

.()

:(1996)

-
-
-

1996

.(2003)(2.2)

:

.2.7.2

5607

2004

1443737

2476321

370

.(2004

)

%25

%85

198000

1959

238

:

.(2003)

1707 1994 2435 1967

2004 .1967

400000 2002

%86

296626 62828 2004

.(2003 .2004) 472314

.(1994)

:

-
-
-
-

1987

:

2005-1987

:3.3

2005	2001	2000	1999	1996	1994	1993	1987	
%9.6	7.0	8.0	8.3	17.2	11.3	12.2	18.8	
				7.6	13.1	14.3	17.8	

.2005 (PNRMP)

- .(2005)

.2005

%90

%35

%61

%8

.2005 UNDP/PAPP)

.(2003

2004

%39.2

%14.6

%19.3

)

%12

2001

.(2004

.(2003

)28.6

:

.3.7.2

)

(

:(2000

)

.

.(

)

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

:

.(2000

)

.(2000)

.()

: **.4.7.2**

%31.3 %11.8 2002 1996

:

)
 .(2000
 %23.7 %23.6
 (24-15) 23.5
) %46.1 %34.8 %36.4
 .(2005
 %51.6
 2600
 . 1600 (2005)
 %65
 .
 .
 .
 .
 .
 : .5.7.2
 ()
 :
 .1

.
.
.
.(2000)
.
)
.(2000

.(2000)

UNDP

:

.
.
.
.(2005 UNDP/PAPP)
(2000)
()
.(2001)
()

PRA

: **.6.7.2**

)

(

:

.1

.(1,3,6)

.2

.3

.4

.(1,3)

.5

.(2004)

:

.7.7.2

2003

)

(

.(2003 UNDP)

:

:

•

:

•

:

•

:

•

(5-3)

()

)

.(...

:

: (1

: (2

)

.(25 2003

: (3

.(2002)

()
(2003)

(2005)

: .8.7.2

:

()

-
-
-
-
-

:

: .1

)

.(

.(42) (1)

.(2003)

: .2

: .3

(2,3,4)

(31,32,30)

: .4

.(34,35) (5,6)

: .5

)

.(2005

)(...

: .6

()

.(36,41) (7,8)

:

-
-
-
-

(52 51)



.()

.1.3

.1967

.(2002)

) "

"

.(2000

: **.2.3**

.(1999)

)

.(1993

.(1999)

.

.(2003)

.(1992)

1987
 .(1992) % 40 % 60
 .(1.3)
 3
 214 6
 60 (2.3)
 175000 370000
)
 .(2003

.(1999)

.(2001)

.(2003)

()

1991 1948

.(1992)

.(1999)

.(2005 UNDP)

)

.(2003

:

•

•

•

•

-
-
-
-
-
-

:

- .1
- .2
- .3
- .4
- .5
- .6

%65

”

”

:

.(2000)

:

C

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

"

2000

"

)

:

)

. ...

.

)

.(...

)

(...

/

(

)

)

.

.

.(2000)

"

"

)

.(2004-1996

.
:() .1.4

" "

" "

:

.1

.2

.3

")"

.(

:

.2.4

1995

6020

)

1372.8

365

.(2005

5655

113.7

71

	.	18.1		184.3
2300293		1337236	3637529	
270678				.
				389663

)

2 90

600-500 (2,4,5

% 60-40

(Terra Rosa)

(Water Holding Capacity)

%70

.(2006)

: .3.4

()

80

.2006-1995

: .4.4

80

(80-1)

:() .5.4

: •

:

. .6 .5 .4 .3 .2 .1

: •

.

: •

:

: .1

.

35 : .2

.

:

: -

.

: -

:

. ...

.....

12


() 12

() 12

2999-2000 .3 1999-1000 .2 1000 .1

5000 .6 4999-4000 .5 3999-3000 .4

()



(Statistical Package for Social Sciences) SPSS

Crsstabulation Frequaneses

:

: **.7.4**

:

.1

()

.2

.3

.4


.5

: 1.5

: 1.1.5

%7.7 %92.3

.(1.5)



2006-2005

:1.5

: **.2.1.5**

%74.3

.(1.5)

%10.3

:1.5

2006-2005

12.8		1
33.3		2
28.2		3
15.4		4
7.7		5
2.6		6
100.0		

: **.3.1.5**

:2.5

2006-2005

5.1		1
94.9		2
100.0		

%94.9

: **.4.1.5**

45 – 36

% 2.6

%51.3

: (3.5)

:3.5

2006-2005 /

2.6	29-25	1
10.3	35-30	2
20.5	40-36	3
30.8	45-41	4
17.9	50-46	5
17.9	51	6
100.0		

:

:4.5

12 فأعلى	11-8	7-4		
0.0	0	% 100	29-25	1
%25.0	0	% 75.0	35-30	2
	% 62.5	% 37.5	40-36	3
% 8.3	% 66.7	% 25.0	45-41	4
% 14.3	% 71.4	% 14.3	50-46	5
% 28.6	% 57.1	% 14.3	فأعلى 51	6
% 12.8	% 56.4	% 30.8		7

45-36

% 30.8

11 - 8

% 56.4


7- 4

.2.5

: .1.2.5

%46.2 (...)

:(2.5)



:2.5

2006-2005 /

%20.5

.%15.4

: .2.2.5

(2.5)

:(5.5)

%66.7

:5.5

2006-2005 /

	/	
30.8		1
2.6		2
66.7		3
100.0		


%30.8

.%2.6

.3.2.5

:

1999
%66.7 3000
%25.6 2999 -2000
(3.5) %7.8



:3.5

2006-2005

: **.4.2.5**

%15.4

%82.1

.(6.5)

:6.5.

.2006-2005

82.1		1
15.4		2
2.6		3
100.0		

: **.5.2.5**

%53.8

%25.6

.(7.5)

%20.5

:7.5

2006-2005

25.6		1
53.8		2
20.5		4
100.0		

:

-
-
-

(...)

: **.6.2.5**

.(8.5)

:8.5

-2005

2006

25.6		1
25.6		2
48.7	()	3
100.0		

% 25.6

% 48.7 . % 25.6

: **.7.2.5**

%76.9

.(9.5)

:9.5

2006-2005

10.3	200.00	1
5.1	300.00	2
5.1	400.00	3
2.6	600.00	4
76.9	()	5
100.0		

200

%10.3

%5.1

400 300

:

.8.2.5

% 36

7- 4

.(10.5)

% 28.2

11

:10.5

2006-2005

20.5	3-1	1
35.9	7-4	2
12.8	10-8	3
28.2	11	4
2.6		6
100.0		

% 20.5

3 -1

:

.9.2.5

7 - 4

%10.3

% 33.3

.(11.5)

% 28.2

11

:11.5

2006-2005

17.9	10.3	3-1	1
10.3	33.3	7-4	2
0	25.6	10-8	3
0	28.2	11	4
71.8	2.6		5
100.0	100.0		

.% 71.8

:

/ .10.2.5

:12.5

.2006-2005

23.1	46.2	3-1	1
7.7	25.6	7-4	2
0	7.7	10-8	3
0	2.6	11 فاعلى	4
69.2	17.9		5
100.0	100.0		

% 23.1

% 46.2 3-1

% 18

.% 69.2

:

.3.5

()

%15.4

%64.1

.(13.5)

%2.6

:13.5

/

2006-2005

7.7		1
7.7		2
2.6		3
64.1		4
5.1		5
12.8		6
100.0		

:

.1

.2

.3

.4

.5

4.5

(4.5)


% 79.5

.()

%15.4

)

(



:4.5

.2006-2005

:

.5.5

% 87.2

% 10.3

.(14.5)

:14.5

2006-2005

87.2		1
10.3		2
2.6		4
100.0		

:

:

•

.

:

•

.(...

:

•

.

:

•

. ...

: .6.5

:

.(15.5)

:15.5

2006-2005

/

10.3		1
35.9		2
51.3		3
2.6		4
100.0		

.% 10.3

% 51.3

.% 35.9

:

.7.5

:(16.5)

:16.5

2006-2005

10.3		1
28.2		2
51.3		3
5.1		4
2.6		5
2.6		6
100.0		

:

(7)

% 79.5

()

()

% 80-75

.()

:

.8.5

% 84.6

% 10.3

% 2.6

.(17.5)

:17.5

2006-2005

84.6		1
10.3		2
2.6		3
2.6		5
100.0		

)

(

(15.5)

% 51.3

9.5

• :

وهذا يبينه الجدول رقم (18.5).

جدول 18.5: التوزيع النسبي

2006-2005

%100.0	0.0	% 9.1	% 45.5	% 45.5	0.0	1000	1
% 100.0	0.0	% 6.7	% 60.00	% 26.7	% 6.7	1999-1000	2
% 100.0	% 11.1	0.0	% 55.6	0.0	% 33.3	2999-2000	3
% 100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	% 100	0.0	3999-3000	4
% 100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	% 100	0.0	4999-4000	5
% 100.0	0.0	0.0	% 100	0.0	0.0	5000	6
% 100.0	% 2.6	% 5.3	% 52.6	% 28.9	% 10.5		

1000

% 45.5

% 9.1

%.45.5

%60

1999 – 1000

%.6.7

% 26.7

3999 – 2000

%.11.1

% 55.6

4000
% 100

:(19.5)

10 – 8

% 50 7-4

% 50

19.5: التوزيع النسبي

2006-2005

	11	10-8	7-4		
% 100.0	0.0	% 50.0	% 50.0		1
% 100.0	% 18.2	% 54.5	% 27.3		2
% 100.0	% 30.0	% 40.0	% 30.3		3
% 100.0	0.0	% 50.0	% 50.0		4
% 100.0	0.0	% 100.0	0.0		5
% 100.0	% 21.1	% 47.4	% 6.31		

10 – 8

7 – 4

% 54.5

11 % 27.3
 .% 18.2
 7 - 4
 10 - 8 % 30.3
 .% 30.0 11 % 40
 % 50
 . 10 - 8 7 - 4

8

.%100 10 -

رقم(20.5)

جدول 20.5: التوزيع النسبي

2006-2005

% 100.0	% 25.0	0.0	% 75.0		1
% 100.0	0.0	% 21.4	% 78.6		2
% 100.0	0.0	% 5.0	% 95.0		3
%100.0	% 2.6	% 10.5	% 86.6		

(5.5)

% 75.0


.% 25.00

%21.4

% 78.6

% 5

% 95



:5.5

رقم (21.5)

%50 % 12.5

%37.5

جدول 21.5: التوزيع النسبي

2006-2005

% 100.0	% 37.5	% 50.0	% 12.5		1
% 100.0	% 33.3	% 44.4	% 22.2		2
% 100.0	% 66.7	%33.3	0.0		3
% 100.0	% 60.00	% 20.0	% 20.0		4
% 100.0	% 100.00	0.0	0.0		5
% 100.0	%60.00	%40.0	0.0		6
100.0%	% 6.52	% 36.8	% 10.5		

(...)

%.44.4

%22.2

%.33.3

%.20

%66.7

%52.6

.%36.8

جدول 22.5: التوزيع النسبي

2006-2005

% 100.0	% 75	%16.7	% 8.3	7-4	1
% 100.0	% 50.0	%44.4	% 5.6	10-8	2
% 100.0	% 25.0	%50.0	%25.0	11	3
% 100.0	% 52.6	%36.8	%10.5		

7-4

.%16.7

10-8

11

.%44.4

.%50

رقم(23.5)

%60

()

%20

()

%66.7

%.8.3

%25

%.45.5

جدول 23.5: التوزيع النسبي

2006-2005

% 100.0	% 60.0	% 20.0	% 20.0		1
% 100.0	%66.7	% 25.0	% 8.3		2
% 100.0	% 45.5	% 45.5	% 9.1		3
% 100.0	% 33.3	% 66.7	0.0		4
% 100.0	% 66.7	% 33.3	0.0		5
%100.0	0.0	0.0	% 100.0		6
% 100.0	% 52.6	% 36.8	% 10.5		

()

%66.7

.%33.3

%66.7

)

.%33.3

.%100

(

:

•

رقم(24.5)

جدول 24.5: التوزيع النسبي

2006-2005

% 100	0.0	%12.5	%87.5		1
% 100	%11.1	%22.2	%66.7		2
% 100	0.0	0.0	%100.0		3
% 100	0.0	%20.0	%80.0		4
%100	0.0	0.0	%100.0		5
%100	0.0	0.0	%100.0		6
%100	%2.6	%10.5	%86.8		

%87.5

%66.7

%100

.%80

جدول 25.5: التوزيع النسبي

2006-2005

%100.0	0.0	%9.1	%90.9	1000	1
%100.0	0.0	%13.3	%86.7	1999-1000	2
%100.0	%11.1	%11.1	%77.8	2999-2000	3
%100.0	0.0	0.0	%100.0	3999-3000	4
%100.0	0.0	0.0	%100.0	4999-4000	5
%100.0	0.0	0.0	%100.0	5000	6
%100.0	%2.6	%10.5	%86.8		

3000

%100

2999-2000

%90.9

1000

%77.8

1999-1000

.%86.7

رقم(26.5)

%100.0

جدول رقم 26.5: التوزيع النسبي

2006-2005

% 100.0	0.0	0.0	%100.0		1
% 100.0	%8.3	0.0	%91.7		2
% 100.0	0.0	%18.2	%81.8		3
% 100.0	0.0	%16.7	%83.3		4
% 100.0	0.0	%33.3	%66.7		5
%100.0	0.0	0.0	%100.0		6
%100.0	%2.6	%10.5	%86.8		

%83.3

%91.7

%81.8

%.%66.7

11

10-8

%27.3

%80

20

.(27.5)

جدول 27.5: التوزيع النسبي

2006-2005

% 100.0	%50.0	%50.0	0.0	3-1	1
% 100.0	%57.1	%42.9	0.0	7-4	2
% 100.0	%80.0	0.0	%20.0	10-8	3
% 100.0	%36.4	%36.4	%27.3	11	4
%100.0	%52.6	%36.8	%10.5		

7-4

%57.1

%.42.9

28.5: التوزيع النسبي

2006-2005

	11 فأعلى	10-8	7-4	3-1		
% 3.3	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	5-1	1
%10.00	0.0	0.0	9.1	33.0	10-6	2
% 3.3	0.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	15-11	3
% 16.7	0.0	40.0	18.2	16.7	20-16	4
% 3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	25-21	5
% 63.3	100	60.0	54.5	33.3	26	6
%100	%100	%100	%100	%100	المجموع	

5-1

.%9.1

7-4

%40

10-8

20-16

%.%60

10-8

26

:() .10.5

: .1.10.5

.() ()

:

:

)

(...

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

2000

()
:

: •
.1

%.15
.2

%.30.5 .3
()

%.23 .4

: •

%.51

%.53

:

.1

.2

.3

.4

•

:

.1

.2

.3

.4

.2.10.5

.1

%33.5

%15.5

.2

.() ()

-
-
-
-

%2

.3

:

-
-

.3.10.5

:

%26 .1

%5	.	.2
%18	.	.3
	.()	.4
	%11	
	:	-
	()	-
	.	.5
	%13	
%26	.	.6
.	" ")	
	%13	.7
	.	

.1.6

1995

() ()

.2.6

.1

-
-
-
-

.2

-
-
-

.3

-
 -
 -
 .4
 .5
 .6
)
 .(
 .7
 :
 •
 •
 •
 .8
 - .9
 .10
 .11

/	
(.12
(
:	.3.6
	•
.1993	•
	•

	:		
"	:(1995) .		.1
	"		
	:	:(2003) .	.2
			.3
"	"	:(1994) .	
		13- 11	
/		:(2000) .	.4
	:(1998)	-	.5
	:(1999) .	-	.6
	:(1993)	-	.7
	:(2004 1996) .		.8
2003 2005	:(2005) .		.9
HDIP	:(1997) .		.10
/ ()			
	:(1985) .		.11


	: (2005) .	.12
	. - . (2005)	
	: (2006) .	.13
	: (1995) .	.14
	. /	
	: : (1991) .	.15
	: (2003) .	.16
	: : (1995) .	.17
	- (2)	
	: (2001) .	.18
"	: (2003) .	.19
	: (2005) .	.20
	. - . (2005)	
	: (2005)	.21
	: (1998) .	.22
	: (1992) .	.23
	.1990-1989	
	: (1991) .	.24
	. / / (2)	
	: : (2003) .	.25
	. -	
	. / 2003/12/7-5	
	: (1981) .	.26
	. /	

	: (2003) .	.27
	/	
-	: (1999) .	.28
	/	
	: (2001) .	.29
()		
	: (1998) .	.30
	: (2000) .	.31
	/	
"	. www.mongoa.gov.ps/arbic	.32
	" -	
	. www.sis.gov.ps/arbic	.33
-	: (1998) .	.34
	"	
	/	
-	: (2004)	.35
	: (1998) .	.36
"	/	
	: (2005) .	.37
	(PNRMP)	
. UNDP/PAPP	: (2003/2002) .	.38
	/	

/		:(1995) .	.39
"		:(1998) .	.40
	"	.1998/12/3	
	/	:(2000) .	.41
	"	"	
	/	UNDP	
	/	:(2002) .	.42
2003-1983		:(2003) .	.43
/		:(2001) .	.44
	/3	/	
		:(1985) .	.45
	/	:(1999) .	.46
		:(2000) .	.47
		:(2000) .	.48
		:(2001) .	.49
	/	:(1986) .	.50
1990-1967		:(1992) .	.51
	/	(3)	
		:(.) .	.52

	: (1997) .	.53
/	" - : (2002) .	.54
" "	: (2004) .	.55
/ -	: (1999) .	.56
/ -	PASSIA	
/	: (1997) .	.57
	: (2000) .	.58
	/ : (1993) .	.59
	: (2005) .	.60
	: (1999) .	.61
	/ : (2001) .	.62
	. ()	
	(1990) .	.63
	: (1995 1976 1994 1998) .	.64
	. www.pinc.gov.ps .	.65
	" " www.sis.gov.ps/arbic/roya .	.66

	: (2003) .	.67
	.2003/2002 / /	
)	.68
/	./http://www.papp.undp.org/ar/10/RL	.69
	.2005/4/17	
	:(1988) .	.70
	:(2003) .	.71
<p>Economic Growth and Development Under Condition of Stress. The Agriculture Economy of the Occupied Palestinian Territories and Israel</p>		
	- -	
	:(2000) .	.72
	:(2001) .	.73
	:(1994) .	.74
	()	
-1998	:(1998) .	.75
	2000 /	
	:(1999) .	.76
	/	
:	:(.) .	.77



(تعداد المنظمات غير الحكومية الفلسطينية


(1.2)

في الضفة الغربية وقطاع غزة، ماس، 2001)


" ()
"
"

()

(199)



(2.2)



:(3.2)

:

2000-1994

:(1.1)

1664		1
1923		2
980.5		3
1253.5		4
1402.5		5
1167		6
8390.5		

2003

:

:(1.3)

()

	%48 35.8	1983
	%47.4 :(2.608)	1983
%36	%60- %50	1984
(2000) 750 .	%52 :(2.840)	1984
%41 %11 .	%52	1985

.1992 .1990_1967

:

(1.2)

%						
()						
109	5.0	14.8	38.5	28.4	57.8	
21	5.0	0.0	66.7	19.0	23.8	
66	4.9	35.4	41.5	24.6	36.9	
8	0.0	22.2	55.6	44.4	22.2	
21	4.8	23.8	76.2	19.0	42.9	
13	8.3	7.7	84.6	46.2	23.1	
21	10.5	33.3	38.1	28.6	28.6	
7	0.0	57.1	28.6	0.0	42.9	
269	5.2	22.0	47.2	26.4	43.5	

:

:(2.2)		
•	.1	(1987-1983)
•	.2	
•	.3	
•	(%25) .4	
•	.5	(1992-1988)
•	.1	
•		
•		
•	.2	
•	.3	

•	.4	(%40)
•	.5	
•	.6	
•	.1	
•	.2	
•	.3	(%60)
•	.4	-
•	.1	
•	.2	
•	.3	
•	.4	() .(

2003-1983

:

:(2.3)

31	21	11	28	18	29	76	

المصدر: الحملة العربية لمواجهة الاستيطان، 2003.

(34)

(5)

()

.....

.....

.....

:

.....

()

مساهمة المشروع صفر% وتبلغ صفر شيكل مساهمة المجتمع % وتبلغ شيكل

.....

.....

.....

.....

..... :

: •

(36)

(7)

()

.....

..... : : :
 : / /
 :
 : :
 () = 9,2 × :
 : / /

.....

..... :

.....

..... : •
 ----- = ----- × ----- -1 •
 , ----- = ----- -2 •
 , ----- = ----- × ----- = -3 •
 , -4 •
 ----- = ----- × ----- = -5 •
 , ----- = ----- =
 , ----- = ----- +

.....

 / /

.1 :

(8)

Service Provision

Strategy

()

.(2004 .2001)

:(2004)

()

-
-
-

%75

.(1998

)(C)

C

A

%25

.1999)

(1998

:(1998

.(...)

-
-
-

:

()

()

:

:

:

:

:

.1999

)

(1993

:

Service Provision

Strategy

The

Functional Paradigm

()

Welfare Service

:

-
-
-
-

()

.(2004)

)

.(2001

.2000

)

.(2002

%65.2

2002


National NGOs

.(2002)

(...)

C


.(2002 .2004)



خارطة رقم (1) خارطة تبين المناطق التي قامت الإغارة الزراعية باستصلاح الأراضي لها في منطقة الوسط من الضفة الغربية.

2005


:



خارطة 3: أثر الممارسات الإسرائيلية غير الشرعية واستخدامات الأراضي في الضفة الغربية وقطاع غزة Impact of Israel Illegal Practices on Land Use / Land Cover in the West Bank and Gaza







خارطة 6: المرتفعات الجبلية في الضفة الغربية

□	.1		
	.2		
□	.1		
	.2		
	.3		
	.4		
	.5		
	.6		
□	.1		
	.2		
	.3		

□	.1		
	.2		
	.3		
	.4		
	/ .5		

□	1000 .1		
	1999 - 1000 .2		
	2999 - 2000 .3		
	3999 - 3000 .4		
	4999 - 4000 .5		
	5000 .6		

_____	عدد أفراد الأسرة؟
_____	العمر بالسنوات؟

:

□	.1		
	.2		
□	.1		
	.2		
	.3		
	.4		
	.5 /		
□	.1		
	.2		
	.1		

<input type="checkbox"/>			
		.2	
<input type="checkbox"/>		.1	
		.2	
<input type="checkbox"/>		.1	
		.2	
		.3	
		/ .4	
		.1	
		.2	
<input type="checkbox"/>		.1	
		.2	
		/ .3	
<input type="checkbox"/>		.1	
		.2	

		.3 .4 .5 .6	
<input type="checkbox"/>		.1 .2 .3	
<input type="checkbox"/>		.1 .2 .3	

53			2005-1987	3.3
126	-1994		2000	1.1
126		()		1.3
127				1.2
127				2.2
128				2.3
80			2006-2005	1.5
81			:(8)	2.5
81	/		2006-2005	3.5
82			2006-2005	4.5
84				5.5
85	/		2006-2005 /	6.5
			2006-2005	7.5

86		2006-2005	8.5
87	2006-2005		9.5
87	2006-2005		10.5
88		2006-2005	11.5
89	2006-2005		12.5
89		2006-2005	13.5
90	2006-2005	/	14.5
92	2006-2005		15.5
93	2006-2005	/	16.5
94	2006-2005		

			17.5
96	2006-2005	التوزيع النسبي	18.5
97	2006-2005	التوزيع النسبي	19.5
98	2006-2005	التوزيع النسبي	20.5
99	-2005	2006 التوزيع النسبي	21.5
101	2006-2005	التوزيع النسبي	22.5
102	2006-2005	التوزيع النسبي	23.5
103	2006-2005	التوزيع النسبي	24.5
104	2006-2005	التوزيع النسبي	25.5
105			

	2006-2005	التوزيع النسبي	26.5
106			
	2006-2005	التوزيع النسبي	27.5
107			
	2006-2005	التوزيع النسبي	28.5
108	-2005		
		2006	

124	1.2
125	2.2
125	3.2
		1.5
79	-20052006	2.5
832006-2005 /	3.5
842006-2005 /	4.5
912006-2005	5.5
		التوزيع النسبي
100	-20052006	

129 /	1
130	2
131 /	3
132	4
133	5
134	6
135	7
136Service Provision Strategy	8

140	1
141	2
142	3
143	4
144	5
145	6

	
	
	
	
	
	
	
	
1	:
1	1.1
2	2.1
3	3.1
4	4.1
5	5.1
5	6.1
6	7.1
8	:
8	1.2
12	2.2
18	3.2
191917-1849	1.3.2

201967-1917	2.3.2	
		3.3.2	
20(67-49)		
21(1993-1967)	4.3.2	
22(1987-1982)	5.3.2	
24(1993-1988)	6.3.2	
282004-1993	7.3.2	
32		4.2
32	1.4.2	
34	2.4.2	
35		5.2
40		6.2
40 /	1.6.2	
43	2.6.2	
45	3.6.2	
46	4.6.2	
47	5.6.2	
47	6.6.2	
48	7.6.2	
49		7.2
49	1.7.2	
51	2.7.2	
54	3.7.2	
55	4.7.2	
56	5.7.2	
58	6.7.2	

59	7.7.2
61	8.8.2
64 :	
64	1.3
64	2.3
72 :	
72()	1.4
73	2.4
74	3.4
74	4.4
75	5.4
77	6.4
78	7.4
79 :	
79	1.5
83	2.5
90	3.5
91	4.5
91	5.5
93	6.5
94	7.5
96	8.5
97	9.5

109()	10.5
114 :	
114	1.6
115	2.6
117	3.6
118	
124	
126	
129	
140	
146	
150	
154	
155	
156	
157	