

**Deanship of Graduate Studies
Al-Quds University**



Deoxyribonuclease Activity from Helical Bacteria

Areej Sami Altaweel

M.Sc. Thesis

Jerusalem-Palestine

1434 (Hijri)/2013 AD

Deoxyribonuclease Activity from Helical Bacteria

**Prepared by:
Areej Sami Altaweel**

**B. Sc.: Medical Technology,
Al-Quds University- Jerusalem**

**Supervisor: Dr. Akram Kharroubi
Co-supervisor: Dr. Sameer Barghouthi**

**A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of requirements for the
degree of Master of Medical Laboratory Sciences/ Microbiology
and Immunology Track, Faculty of Health Professions –
Al-Quds University**

1434(Hijri)/2013 AD

Al-Quds University
Deanship of Graduate Studies
Faculty of Health Professions



Thesis approval
Deoxyribonuclease Activity from Helical Bacteria

Prepared by: Areej Sami Altaweel
Registration number: 20724033

Supervisor: Dr. Akram Kharroubi
Co-supervisor: Dr. Sameer Barghouthi

Master thesis submitted and accepted, Date:

The names and signatures of the examining committee members are as follows:

- 1- Head Of Committee: Dr. Akram Kharroubi
- 2- Committee Member: Dr. Sameer Barghouthi
- 3-Internal Examiner: Dr. Jehad Abbadi:
- 4-External Examiner: Dr. Majdi Dwikat

Jerusalem-Palestine
1434(Hijri)/2013

Dedication:

To my father and mother...

To my husband, brother, and family...

To my son Ibrahim...

To my doctors...

Areej Sami Altaweel

Declaration

I certify that this thesis submitted for the degree of Master in Medical Laboratory Sciences/ Microbiology and Immunology track, is the result of my own research, except where otherwise acknowledge, and that this study has not been submitted for a higher degree to any other university or institution.

Signed

Areej Sami Altaweel

Date: 16.01.2013

Acknowledgements

First of all I would like to thank Allah (SWT) for giving me power, health, and patience to finish this research.

My deepest gratitude and appreciation for my doctors, Dr. Akram Kharroubi and Dr. Sameer Barghouthi for their excellent scientific support, for their help in carrying out this research and for guiding me and treating me well, thank you.

I would like to thank my University Al-Quds, Department of Medical Laboratory Sciences represented by all teachers, for giving me the chance to continue my master degree.

All of the thanks go to my colleagues for their support, and for their help in sharing information to help me finish my research. Special thanks to Dr. Ibrahim Al-Abbasi for his guidance and for giving me access to his laboratory.

Finally, the warmest feelings are extended to my great family, my father E. Sami Altaweel, my mother, my husband Ahmad Nasser and my brother for their moral support. Thanks to everyone who contributed towards the progress of this work and who provided help, moral support, love or encouragement.

Abstract

The activity of nuclease enzyme in helical bacteria such as *Campylobacter jejuni*, *Helicobacter pylori*, and *Alphaproteobacteria* “QUBC 70” has been detected. This activity interferes with DNA preparation, isolation, storage, and DNA-based reactions including PCR and sequencing. These bacteria are fastidious; slow growing, Gram negative helical bacteria. The high rate of infections with *H. pylori* (50% worldwide) and 85% among Palestinians (and most likely the same rate with *Campylobacter jejuni*), dictates that a reliable molecular method of detection of these bacteria from stool, water, food, or other samples must be established. DNA based detection has been hampered by such putative nucleases. This work focused on expanding our understanding of the characteristics and properties of these nucleases and to explore the conditions for having stable DNA preparation from these bacteria without interference of nucleases.

Bacterial cultures from stool were used to collect bacteria for lysis. Lysates were prepared by different methods; SDS lysis, SDS and boiling, sonication, lysozyme and water. SDS-lysis was selected as the method of choice. Water saturated with Ammonium sulfate (AS) was used to fractionate proteins from cleared lysate.

This work illustrates the presence of DNase activity in bacterial lysate prepared by lysing bacterial cells in the presence of SDS followed by boiling, indicating the putative nuclease to be SDS-heat stable. When lysis was performed with lysozyme or sonication without SDS, the putative nuclease appeared to be reduced probably due to the proteases and/or due to nuclease association with the cell envelope. The addition of saturated AS (AS; 0.6 l v/v at 25°C) to *C. jejuni* lysate precipitated the nuclease. Ammonium sulfate was not efficient in salting-out the nuclease activity when applied at <0.6 volumes of *Campylobacter* lysate. When applied to a different bacterial lysate (*Alphaproteobacteria* QUBC 70), AS precipitated the nuclease activity at ~300%. The DNase activity was assayed by mixing exogenous λ -DNA (lambda bacteriophage) with target preparation and incubation at 36°C or 45°C for different times up to 48 hours.

It can be concluded that repeatedly, the DNase activity was found in cell extracts of both *C. jejuni* and “QUBC 70”. Ammonium sulfate DNase precipitation profile for *C. jejuni* was different and distinguishable from that of the *Alphaproteobacteria* QUBC 70.

Campylobacter nuclease was active at 36 °C and 45°C but poorly at 50°C in the presence of > 0.01% SDS.

Table of contents

Title	Page No.
Acknowledgements.....	ii
Abstract	iii
List of tables	viii
List of figures	ix
List of abbreviations	x
Chapter I	1
Introduction	1
1.1 Helical bacteria	1
1.2 Literature of review	2
1.2.1 Nuclease	2
1.2.2 Deoxyribonucleases (DNases) from <i>Helicobacter</i> and <i>Campylobacter</i> ...	5
1.2.2.1 <i>Campylobacter jejuni</i>	6
1.2.2.2 <i>Helicobacter</i> nucleases	6
1.2.3 DNA extraction	7
1.4 Detection of Nuclease activity	7
1.4.1 Agar diffusion	8
1.4.2 Agar-dye diffusion	9
1.4.3 Fluorescent DNA	9
1.4.4 Chromatin Digestion	9
1.5 Problem statement	9
1.6 Justification	10
1.7 Objectives	10
1.8 Questions	10
Chapter II	11
Methods	11
2.1 Isolation of Bacteria	11

2.2 Bacterial growth and cultures	12
2.3 Lysate preparation	12
2.3.1 Sonication	13
2.3.2 Lysozyme lysis	13
2.4 Detection of DNase activity	13
2.4.1 Agar diffusion assay	13
2.4.2 Spectroscopy (Nanodrop)	13
2.4.3. Degradation of DNA and agarose gel electrophoresis	14
2.4.3.1 Endogenous and Exogenous bacterial DNA digestion	14
2.4.3.2 Lambda DNA intact or Hind III digested	14
2.5 Kinetics of DNA degradation	14
2.6 Inhibition method	15
2.7 Cell Fractionation Ammonium sulfate method	15
2.8 Protein profiling by SDS-PAGE	17
2.9 Protein determination using Bradford reagent	17
Chapter III	18
Results	18
3.1 Growth and identity of QUBC70	18
3.2 Detection of Nuclease activity	20
3.2.1 Diffusion assay in acridine Orange	20
3.2.2 Degradation of endogenous DNA in bacterial lysate	20
3.2.3 Degradation of λ -DNA by different bacterial extracts	21
3.3 Degradation of exogenous (<i>E. coli</i>) DNA and endogenous DNA by QUBC70 SDS-lysate	23
3.4 Campylobacter DNase activity against 5'-staggered (5'-A↓A G C T T-3') end of λ -HindIII DNA or blunt end PCR amplicon	24

3.5 Lysate dilution and nuclease activity	25
3.6 Fractionation of bacterial cells	27
3.7 Inhibition of Nuclease activity	28
3.8 Fractionation of whole cell lysates	29
3.9 Ammonium sulfate fractions and nuclease activity	29
3.10 Protein profiles of AS fractions on SDS-PAGE	31
Chapter IV	33
Discussion	33
4.1 Enzyme or metal	33
4.2 Concentration and location	34
4.3 Stability and optimal temperature	34
4.4 Exonuclease or endonuclease	35
4.5 Nuclease of <i>C. jejuni</i> and QUBC70	35
4.6 Conclusions	36
References	37
Abstract (Arabic)	40

List of Tables

Table No.	Table Title	Page No.
Table 3.1	Exploring the Nuclease activity	22

List of Figures

Figure No.	Figure Title	Page No.
Figure 3.1	Staining of heat fixed fresh colonies of bacteria with crystal violet ...	18
Figure 3.2	BLAST result	19
Figure 3.3	Agarose gel electrophoresis of QUBC70 lysate with time	21
Figure 3.4	Absorbance of Lambda phage DNA incubated with bacterial lysate ..	23
Figure 3.5	Degradation of exogenous DNA	24
Figure 3.6	Digestion of blunt end vs. 5'-sticky end HindIII DNA	25
Figure 3.7	Low concentration and slow action of the putative deoxyribonu- clease at ten- fold serial dilution of <i>C. jejuni</i> cell lysate.....	26
Figure 3.8	Ammonium sulfate Precipitation of <i>C. jejuni</i> lysate	27
Figure 3.9	Agarose gel electrophoresis of digested λ -HindIII at 36°C or 45°C of <i>C. jejuni</i> AS fractions	28
Figure 3.10	Effect of mercaptoethanol, protinase K and phenol on nuclease activity	29
Figure 3.11	Effect of temperature on nuclease activity.....	30
Figure 3.12	Ammonium sulfate fractionated whole cell lysate	31
Figure 3.13	SDS-Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of bacterial proteins with nuclease activity	32

List of abbreviations

2-ME	2-Mercaptoethanol
ATCC	American type culture
<i>C. jejuni</i>	<i>Campylobacter jejuni</i>
DNase	Deoxyribonuclease
dsDNA	Double-stranded DNA
<i>E. coli</i>	<i>Escherichia coli</i>
<i>H. felis</i>	<i>Helicobacter felis</i>
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
Prk	Protease K
QUSB 70	Quds universal 70
<i>S. aureus</i>	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
SDS-PAGE	Sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
TAE	Tris acetate EDTA
TBO	Toluidine blue O
TE	Tris buffer
V/V	Volume/volume
VBNC	Viable but non culturable

Chapter I

Introduction

1.1 Helical Bacteria

Bacteria such as *Helicobacter pylori* and *Campylobacter jejuni* are medically important pathogens that require monitoring and continuous assessment of their presence in the environment, in healthy, carriers, and sick individuals and animals. In general, they can inhabit various niches in the environment. *Helicobacter pylori* inhabit areas of the stomach and duodenum. It causes a chronic low level inflammation of the stomach lining, gastritis, and is strongly linked to the development of duodenal ulcers, gastric ulcers, and has been classified as Class I carcinogen (Bargouthi, 2009). *Helicobacter pylori* was recognized as Campylobacter-like organism until it was given the current species status. Since then, tens of *Helicobacter* species have been discovered and are known to be strongly host specific; *H. felis* is the species found in most cats while *H. suis* is found in swine species. Few *Helicobacter* spp. have been reported to cause human diseases especially *Helicobacter hepaticus* (Bargouthi, 2009).

Common features among these species include shape (spiral or helical) and that they inhabit the intestines of the target host. In the laboratory, they are slow growers; require low oxygen tension (1-5%) and serum, blood, or a substitute (Bargouthi, 2009). Another important character that is controversial; is the ability of these species and others

(including *Legionella*, *Vibrio*, *Salmonella*, *Escherichia*, and *Shigella* spp., other spiral bacteria that show coccoid forms include *Desulfovibrio*, *Campylobacter*, *Aquaspirillum*, *Oceanospirillum*, and *Spirillum*) to morphologically transform to a coccoid form also known as viable but non-culturable (VBNC) form. Coccoid and VBNC forms may be critical stages that allow undetectable transfer and survival of the bacterium, this view is supported by the ubiquitous distribution of such bacteria. Resuscitation of 30-day old (VBNC) *Campylobacter jejuni* through an embryonated hen-egg was reported to allow recovery of the bacterium from a large proportion of the inoculated eggs. Other investigators view these forms as dead bacteria (Bargouthi, 2009).

Detection of *Helicobacter*, *Campylobacter*, and other fastidious pathogens and environmental bacteria becomes a serious problem due to the culture-evading forms and the difficulty of extracting stable DNA from them. The original observation is that crude DNA extracted from cultured *Helicobacter* or *Campylobacter* is highly unstable unlike those of *Escherichia coli* and *Bacillus* spp. (Barghouthi, 2011). Taken together, the following factors; VBNC forms, low infectious doses, slow growth, unstable DNA in crude cell lysate, and the vague issue of DNA extraction from coccoid forms, the detection and identification of such bacteria may be inefficient and subject to failure (Bargouthi, 2009; Nogva et al., 2000).

1.2. Literature Review

1.2.1 Nucleases

Under well contained laboratory conditions, DNA instability is essentially due to the presence of free metals and/or deoxyribonucleases since the double helix is highly stable. Watson and Crick description of the double helix of the DNA molecule opened the doors to a new area in biological understanding and research. In the late 1960s, scientists Stuart Linn and Werner Arber isolated two types of enzymes responsible for phage growth restriction in *Escherichia coli* bacteria. One of these enzymes added a methyl group to the DNA, generating methylated DNA, while the other cleaved unmethylated DNA at a wide variety of locations (*dam* and *dcm*) along the length of the molecule. In 1968 Smith, Wilcox, and Kelley, working at Johns Hopkins University, isolated and characterized the first restriction nuclease whose function depends on a specific DNA nucleotide sequence.