Deanship of Graduated Studies

Al-Quds University



Risk Factors of Perinatal Mortality in the Gaza Strip

Asmaa Khamis El Najar

MPH thesis

Jerusalem- Palestine

2020/1441

Risk Factors of Perinatal Mortality in the Gaza Strip

Prepared by

Asmaa Khamis El Najar

BSc. Of Pharmacy- Al -Azhar University, Gaza

Supervisor

Dr. Khitam Abu Hamad

Assistant Professor - Al- Quds University, Palestine

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of Requirements for the Degree of Master of Public Health/Management branch School of Public Health- Al-Quds University

Al-Quds University Deanship of Graduate Studies School of Public Health



Thesis Approval

Risk Factors of Perinatal Mortality in the Gaza Strip

Prepared by: Asmaa Khamis El Najar

Registration No.: 21611002

Supervisor: Dr. Khitam Abu Hamad

Master thesis submitted and accepted. Date: / /
The names of signatures of the examining committee members are as follows:

1. Head of the committee: Dr. Khitam Abu Hamad

2. Internal examiner: Dr. Maha Nahal

3. External examiner: Dr. Anwar Shaikh Khalil

Signature.

Signature. D.C. Maha. Naha!

Signature...

Jerusalem – Palestine 1441 / 2020

Dedication

To whom who they have been the source of my inspiration, who gave me the strength whenever I was thinking to give up.... To my beloved parents

To my husband who encouraged and supported me throughout this journey

To my lovely children: Karam, Mohammed, and Salma

To my sisters and brothers,

To my friends who have been a great source of inspiration, and

To my respectful teachers

Asmaa K. El Najar

Declaration

I certify that this thesis submitted for the degree of master is the result of my own research, except where otherwise acknowledged, and that this thesis or any of its parts has not been submitted for higher degree to any other university or institution.

Signed:
Asmaa K. El Najar
Date://

Acknowledgement

وما توفيقي الابالله

This study would not be possible without the guidance and assistance of many individuals who contributed and extended their valuable assistance to overcome all the obstacles I have faced to complete this study.

I would like to express my special recognition and deep gratitude to my advisor Dr. Khitam Abu Hamad. I would like to thank you for your tremendous effort and your valuable advices.

I am a grateful for Dr. Bassam Abu Hamad and Dr. Yehia Abed for their support during the learning journey at school of public health.

My Deep appreciation to my parents and my family for their motivation and support.

I would like to thank the research assistances for their effort in data collection.

Special thanks to World Health Organization for its financial support in data collection.

I would like to thank all women who participated in this study because without them this work could not have been accomplished.

Asmaa K. El. Najar

Abstract

Perinatal mortality is considered an essential epidemiological indicator of mother and child health. Globally, perinatal mortality remains unacceptably high and multifactorial in its etiology. Perinatal mortality reflects the quality of health care provided to pregnant women, natal care, and postnatal care. Understanding risk factors and causes of perinatal mortality is substantial to develop strategies and programs aiming to reduce the perinatal mortality rate. This study aims to identify the main risk factors of perinatal mortality in the Gaza Strip, including early neonatal mortality and stillbirth in the Gaza Strip.

The design of the study is a descriptive, analytical, case-control design. The study was conducted at four governmental hospitals in the Gaza Strip: Al-Shifa Hospital, Nasser Complex Hospital, Al-Aqsa Hospital, and Al-Imarati Hospital. All cases of perinatal mortality recorded at the study settings from January 2018 to September 2018 were included as cases (263), while, controls (263) were selected using simple random technique of pregnant women who gave birth to a live newborn aged more than 28 days at the time of data collection. In total, 526 women participated in the study. Cases and control were matched by place and time of the delivery. A self-developed questionnaire and the general health questionnaire were used to collect data. Both data management and analysis were conducted using SPSS programs, and the analysis involved frequency distribution, chi-square, t-test and Logistic regression analysis.

There was a significant association between perinatal mortality and selected socioeconomic factors such as maternal age, smoking status and number of family members. Maternal risk factors such as previous history of stillbirth, previous history of early neonatal deaths, previous history of preterm birth, and history of previous offspring with congenital anomalies were significantly associated with perinatal mortality. Associated disease with the last pregnancy including anemia, pre-eclampsia and maternal infection were also significantly associated with perinatal mortality. Additionally, gestational age, Caseation Section as mode of delivery, intrapartum complication, placental complication, umbilical cord complication, amniotic fluid complication, uterine complication, and postpartum complication were associated with high risk of perinatal mortality. Infantrelated risk factors such as fetal birth weight, product of pregnancy, fetal growth restriction and fetal abnormalities were significantly associated with perinatal mortality. Findings of logistic regression have revealed that the main predictors of still birth were a higher number of previous pregnancies, lower number of live births, having intrapartum complication, and placental complication such as placenta previa and abruption. While, the main predictors of early neonatal deaths as predicted by logistic regression were previous history of early neonatal deaths, amniotic fluid complication, and meconium stained complication.

It is important to establish intervention programs aim to provide preconceptual care within all governmental primary health care centers, improve the quality of antenatal, intrapartum, and postnatal care, quality of health education programs and quality of care provided within the Neonatal Intensive Care Units in order to reduce the likelihood of perinatal mortality. Improving the quality of medical records documentation is a must, especially in the gynecological and neonatal intensive care units. There is a need to conduct in-depth qualitative studies to deeply explore risk factors of perinatal mortality through conducting longitudinal studies to identify the main risk factors covering all cases of perinatal deaths that deliver outside the Ministry of Health hospitals.

Table of content

Dedication	
Declaration	
Acknowledgement	ii
Abstract	iii
Table of content	iv
List of Tables	vii
List of Graphs	viii
List of Annexes	ix
List of Abbreviations	X
Chapter One Introduction	1
1.1 Background	
1.2 Research problem	
1.3 Justification of the study	
1.4 Aim and objective of the study	
1.4.1 Aim	
1.4.2 Specific objectives	4
1.5 Context of the study	4
1.5.1 Gaza Strip's demographic characteristic	4
1.5.2 Health Care System	5
1.5.3 Socioeconomic characteristics	6
1.6 Operational definition	7
Chapter Two Literature Review	9
2.1 Conceptual Framework	9
2.2 Risk factors of perinatal mortality (stillbirths and early neonatal deaths)	9
2.2.1 Socio-economic factors	9
2.2.2 Fetal risk factors	9
2.2.3 Maternal risk factors	10
2.2.4 Health system-related factors	10
2.3 Definition of perinatal mortality	12
2.4 Epidemiology of perinatal mortality	12
2.5 Risk factors of perinatal mortality	13
2.5.1 Socio-economic factors	13
2.5.2 Matarnal risk factors	15

2.5.3 Fe	etal risk factors	. 21
2.6 Risk f	actors and causes of stillbirth	. 25
2.7 Risk f	actors and causes of neonatal deaths	. 27
2.8 Acces	sibility of health services	. 28
2.9 Econo	mic costs of perinatal mortality	. 29
Chapter Thr	ee Methodology	. 31
3.1 Study	design and method	. 31
3.2 Study	population	.31
3.3 Study	setting	. 32
3.4 Study	period	. 32
3.5 Select	ion of study participants	. 33
3.6 Eligib	ility criteria	. 33
3.6.1 In	clusion criteria	. 33
3.6.2 E	xclusion criteria	. 33
3.7 Sampl	ing	. 33
3.7.1 Sa	ample calculation	. 33
3.8 Study	instrument	. 34
3.9 Data c	collection	. 35
3.10 Data n	nanagement and statistical analysis	. 35
3.11 scienti	ific rigor	. 36
3.11.1 V	alidity and reliability	. 36
3.12 Respo	nse rate	. 36
3.13 pilot s	tudy	. 36
3.14 Ethica	l consideration	. 37
3.15 Limita	ntion of the study	. 37
Chantan Fau	r Data analysis and findings	20
-	nal characteristics	
	ocio-demographic factorsbstetric information	
	related risk factors	
	fant characteristics	
	eonatal deaths characteristics	
	n care system related factors	
	ntenatal care characteristics	
	ost-partum care characteristics	
44 Logist	ic regression	. 86

Chapter Five Conclusion and recommendation		93	
5.1	Conclusion	93	
5.2	General recommendations	96	
5.3	Recommendation for further research	97	
References		98	
Annex	Kes	. 108	

List of Tables

Table (4.1):	Percentage distribution of study participants by socio-economic status 44
Table (4.2):	Percentage distribution of study participants by maternal marriage, pregnancy and delivery ages
Table (4.3):	Comparison between cases and controls with regard to history of previous pregnancies and deliveries
Table (4.4):	Percentage distribution of study participants by their previous birth outcomes and family history birth outcomes
Table (4.5):	Percentage distribution of study Participants by previous pregnancies diseases
Table (4.6):	Percentage distribution of study participants by past pregnancy characteristics55
Table (4.7):	Percentage distribution of study participants by physical characteristic 56
Table (4.8):	Percentage distribution of study participants by characteristics of the last pregnancy
Table (4.9):	The percentage distribution of the study participants by social, psychological and physical violence during the last pregnancy
Table (4.10)	Stress assessment score of study participants during the last pregnancy by using General Health Questionnaire (GHQ12)
Table (4.11)	Percentage distribution of study participants by last delivery selected variables
Table (4.12)	Percentage distribution of study participants by characteristics of the last delivery
Table (4.13)	Percentage distribution of study participants by infant characteristics of the last pregnancy
Table (4.14)	Percentage distribution of study participants by characteristics of antenatal care
Table (4.15)	Percentage distribution of study participants by characteristics of intrapartum care
Table (4.16)	Percentage distribution of study participants by characteristics of postpartum care
Table (4.17)	Predictors of stillbirths among study participants by using binary logistic regression
Table (4.18)	Predictors of early neonatal deaths among study participants by using binary logistic regression

List of Figure

Figure (4.1): 1	Percentage distribution of study participants by birth outcomes	38
Figure (4.2): 1	Percentage distribution of study participants by governorates	39
Figure (4.3): 1	Percentage distribution of study participants by place of living	39
Figure (4.4):	Percentage distribution of study participants by refugee status	40
Figure (4.5): 1	Percentage distribution of study participants by age groups	41
Figure (4.6): 1	Percentage distribution of study participants by history of previous stillbirth	
E' (4.5)		48
	Percentage distribution of study participants by history of previous early	40
	neonatal deaths	
rigure (4.8): 1	Percentage distribution of study participants by history of previous preterm deliveries	
Figure (4.9): 1	Percentage distribution of study participants by history of previous births	T /
119410 (117)11	with congenital anomalies	50
Figure (4.10):	Percentage distribution of study participants by history of past pregnancy	
_	Percentage distribution of cases and controls by previous pregnancy	
- 1gure (1011)	outcome	54
Figure (4.12):	Percentages distribution of study participants by the selected variable	
	Percentage distribution of study participants by selected variables	
_	Percentage distribution of study participants by contraceptive usage prior	
. ,	the last pregnancy	58
	Percentage distribution of study participants by last pregnancy associated	
	diseases.	
Figure (4.16):	Percentage distribution of study participants by the mode of last delivery	66
Figure (4.17):	Percentage distribution of study participants by intrapartum complications	;
	during last delivery	
Figure (4.18):	Percentage distribution of study participants by placental complications	
	during last delivery.	67
Figure (4.19):	Percentage distribution of study participants by type of placental	
	complications during last delivery	
Figure (4.20):	Percentage distribution of study participants by umbilical complications	
	during last delivery	69
Figure (4.21):	Percentage distribution of study participants by types of umbilical	
	complication during last delivery	69
Figure (4.22):	Percentage distribution of study participants by amniotic fluid	
	complications during last delivery	70
Figure (4.23):	Percentage distribution of study participants by type of amniotic	
	complications during last delivery	70
Figure (4.24):	Percentage distribution of study participants by uterine complications	
T. (4.57)	during last delivery	
Figure (4.25):	Percentage distribution of study participants by post-partum complication	
E. (4.50)	during last delivery	
_	Percentage distribution of study participants by gender of birth outcome	/4
_	Percentage distribution of study participants by presence of fetal growth restriction	75
	TEXTLECTION	, ¬

List of Annexes

Annex (1): Period of the study	108
Annex (2): Sample size calculation	109
Annex (3): Examples of data analysis	110
Annex (4): Estimated Budget	112
Annex (5): Experts and professional consulted	113
Annex (6): Helsinki Approval	128
Annex (7): Approval Request	129
Annex (8): Moh Approval	130

List of Abbreviations

APH Antepartum Hemorrhage

AOR Adjusted Odds Ratio

BMI Body Mass Index

CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

CI Confidence Interval
CS Cesarean Section

FGR Fetal Growth Restriction

GHQ General Health Questionnaire

GS Gaza Strip HR Hazard Risk

ICD-10 International Classification of Disease

ISPID International Society for the Study and Prevention of Perinatal and Infant

Death

IVF In Vitro FertilizationIUD Intra Uterine DeviceLBW Low Birth WeightMOH Ministry of Health

NCD Non-Communicable Disease

NCHS National Center of Health StatisticsNGO Non-Governmental OrganizationNICU Neonatal Intensive Care Unit

NIS New Israeli Shekel

OR Odds Ratio

PCBS Palestinian Central Bureau of Statistics

PHC Primary Health Care

PROM Premature Rupture of Membrane

RR Relative Risk

SD Standard Deviation

SDG Sustainable Development Goal

SPH School of Public Health

RDS Respiratory Distress Syndrome

UN United Nation

UNICEF United Nations Children's Emergency Fund

UNRWA United Nations Relief and Works Agency for Palestine Refugees in the Near

East

WB West Bank

WHO World Health Organization

Chapter One

Introduction

1.1 Background

Perinatal mortality is an important and essential indicator of population health, particularly mother and child health. Generally speaking, it reflects the quality of obstetric and neonatal care available in any country (World Health Organization-WHO, 2006). Perinatal mortality also refers to deaths around the time of delivery and includes both stillbirths and early neonatal mortalities that occur in the first week of life. WHO defines perinatal mortality as the number of stillbirths and deaths in the first week of life per 1,000 of total births. The perinatal period starts at 22 completed gestational weeks (154 days) and ends by completing seven days after birth (WHO, 2018). Globally, neonatal mortality, including early neonatal deaths remains unacceptably high. According to the WHO, approximately 2.6 million newborns die every year in their first month of life, and a similar number applies for stillbirths (WHO, 2017a). The burden of perinatal mortality is higher in South Asia (39%) and Sub-Saharan Africa (38%) than in other low- and middle-income countries (Froen et al., 2016).

Perinatal mortality is multifactorial in etiology and depends on the quality of health care provided to the pregnant women, natal care and postnatal care (Bayou & Berhan, 2012). Maternal and fetal risk factors of perinatal mortality are inherently linked. Therefore, all programs addressing the care improvement of one often have an impact on the outcomes of the other. Providing pregnant women with good quality care during pregnancy and labour could avert such deaths (Daftary et el., 2016). Stillbirth which is also known as "fetal death" is a major public health issue, but it is mainly overlooked (MacDorman and Gregory, 2015), even the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) underlined the interest of reducing newborn deaths, but not stillbirths (WHO, 2016a). Worldwide, stillbirths are prevalent; in 2015, 18.4 stillbirths per 1,000 live births occurred compared with 24.7 stillbirths in 2000 (Froen et al., 2016). WHO defines stillbirth as a baby who was born with no signs of life at or after 28 weeks of gestation (WHO, 2016a). It occurs intra uterus before onset of labour due to pregnancy complications or maternal diseases; however, about half of stillbirths occur during labor (WHO, 2015). Most of stillbirths are due to preventable causes such as maternal infection, maternal life style factors. Interestingly, unpreventable causes such as congenital abnormalities contribute only 7.4% of stillbirth