

-

:

-

-

. :

-

-

-



:
20811232 :

. :

2012/06/09

:

-: . : .1
-: . : .2
-: . : .3

-

.

.....:

.....:

/

.

.

.

/

.

:

.(2005)

:

.(2000)

:

.(2004)

:

.(2006)

:

.(2005)

:

(2005)

:

)

.(2005

.(2002)

:

:

.(2003)

:

.(2000)

:

.(2003)
1995

:

1997

).

1333

.(2009

:

1996 (3)

.(2004)

:

.(2004)

()

:

. 2005

:

).

.(2005

2011/12 _ 2010 /9

(0.96)

(41)

. (147)

(147)

(37)

(67)

(104)

(Spss)

(%76) (%69)

(3.79)

(3.45)

(4.02)

(3.16)

(3.32)

(3.56)

(3.80)

(3.42)

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

()

Comparative Assessment Study on Attracting Competencies at the Ministry of Telecommunications and The Palestinian Telecommunications Company (PalTel) “Status and Development Mechanisms”

Prepared By: Osama Afaneh

Supervisor: Dr. Mohamed AbuZaed

Abstract

This study was carried out during the period between September 2010 and December 2011, it has targeted the employees of both the Ministry of Telecommunications and the Palestinian Telecommunications Company (PalTel), who hold supervisory titles ranging from the Director General to the Head of Division. Therefore the study aims at assessing and comparing attraction of competencies in the public and private sectors and the development mechanisms applied therefore.

To achieve the study aim, the researcher used the descriptive-analytical approach, as he has designed a questionnaire consisting of (41) items which fall into four main fields. The questionnaire enjoyed a high degree of reliability and consistency that equal to (0.96) as per Cronbach's Alpha Test. Moreover, it has been distributed among the study population, whose numbers amount to (147 individual) in both the Ministry of Telecommunications and the Palestinian Telecommunications Company (PalTel).

As the study population size is relatively small (i.e. 147 person), the researcher intended to use comprehensive survey to all the sample members and ensured that the questionnaire was distributed at each of them. Yet due to several circumstances, such as the invalidity of some questionnaires for the analysis, the travel of some members abroad and refraining of others from filling the questionnaires, consequently (104) questionnaires were returned; (67) from the Ministry, (37) from the company, and considered as the sample which represented the study population.

After the collection and analysis of data through the statistical analysis program (SPSS), the study came out with the following results: The degree of response by the study population regarding their assessment of competencies attraction at both the Ministry of and the Palestinian Telecommunications Company (PalTel), is high (69%), (76%) respectively. This was obvious upon considering the fields results through the descriptive-analytical approach, as the response average of the study population was (3.45) for the Ministry and (3.79) for the Company, while the response on having a Human Resource Planning was of an arithmetic average equals to (3.16) for the Ministry and (4.02) for the Company. Regarding the application of competencies attraction procedures, the arithmetic average was (3.32) for the Ministry and (3.56) for the Company, while the arithmetic average regarding the extent of efficiency and expertise of those in charge of competencies attraction process was (3.42) for the Ministry and (3.80) for the Company. Providing that the response degrees at all fields were high at both institutions.

For the results regarding the development mechanisms applied at both institutions to attract competencies, the study population from the supervisory categories have indicated the importance of developing the mechanisms of personal interview and the competitive exams, and to announce the job vacancies via media. Moreover, to form specialized committees in competencies attraction, to hold training courses for raising employees, competencies, to provide an accurate job description for the job vacancy announced, to participate in career days at the universities, to cooperate with employment centers and companies, to enhance the cooperation with the branches and to conduct regular job analysis.

For Ministry, the demographic dynamics testing has shown that there are no statistically significant differences at the statistical significance level ($0.05 \geq \alpha$) among the sample members average answers regarding the status of competencies attraction as per each of the following variables namely: gender, age, occupation type, educational qualification, specialization, years of experience. On the contrary, there has been discrepancy in the answers of the Company employees at gender variable where the difference was in the favor of males, and at the educational qualification level where the difference was in the favor of the holders of B.A degree in comparison to other categories.

Additionally, the study results have shown that there is a set of impediments hindering the existence of effective mechanisms for attracting competencies at both institutions, including nepotism and bureaucracy, the complete absence of job analysis for all jobs, the centralization of decision making in the senior management without engaging the junior management, the current job inflation, the high costs of competencies attraction alongside, the existence of job rotation, continuous management changes and lack of incentives.

Finally, and in light of the study results, the researcher has presented a number of recommendations, most importantly, the necessity of providing human competencies necessary to attract employees with the availability of some skills that are required for such competencies, the establishment of a database in each institution designed for human resources (within the labor market) so as to facilitate the process of attracting competencies and required expertise at any time, providing appropriate budgets to achieve an integrated human resources system. Identifying and analyzing the type of jobs required and estimating the required employment size, guarantee the opportunities of job enrichment for individuals, ensuring equal job opportunities to individuals, setting a job track within the limit of the applicable standards adopted in the attraction process, achieving variety in external and internal attraction so as to enhancing variety of competencies to ensure the existence of a wider success for options.



1.1

(2005)

2.1

:

3.1

:

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

4.1

:

•

•

•

•

•

•

5.1

:

•

:

○

○

○

○

•

•

)

(

•

6.1

:

:

•

○

○

○

○

•

-

-

-

-

)

•

(

-

-

•

7.1

:

•

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$: ○

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$: ○

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$: ○

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$: ○

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$: ○

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$: ○

: ●

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$: ○

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$: ○

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$: ○

($\alpha \leq 0.05$) : ○

($\alpha \leq 0.05$) : ○

($\alpha \leq 0.05$) : ○

($\alpha \leq 0.05$) : ○

8.1

: ●

: ●

: ●

.2011/12-2010/9 : ●

9.1

:

: ●

:

•

10.1

:

:

•

:

•

.

:

•

:

•

:

•

1.2

.(2003)

.(2000)

2.2

: **.1.2.2**

.(2006)

.(2005)

)

.(2007

:

.(2000)

"

.(2000) "

.(Denisi & Griffin 2001)

.

:

.2.2.2

(2005)

(2000)

(2005)

(2003)

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

•

: .3.2.2

: .1.3.2.2

.(2008)

.(2008)

.(2006)

.(2005)

(2002)

-
-
-
-
-

:

:

-

-

.(2003)

:

-

:(2001)

: •

: •

: •

: •

: •

(2007)

:

.1.1.3.2.2

:

-
-
-
-
-
-

:(2006)

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

: **.2.3.2.2**

.(2005)

.(2005)

.(2005)

:

-
-
-
-
-

(2005) .

:

.1.2.3.2.2

:

-

.(2005)

:

-

.(2005)

:

-

.(2004)

:

.2.2.3.2.2

:(2003)

•

.(2003)

•

•

•

•

.(2002)

()
()

.(2004)

.(2003)

: **.3.3.2.2**

.(2003)

(2004).

: **.1.3.3.2.2**

(2004) .(2005)

:

:(2004)

•

•

.(2002)

•

•

.(2003)

•

.(2000)

•

:

.3.3.3.2.2

:(2003)

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

:

.4.3.3.2.2

:(2004)

-
-
-

-
-
-

(2000)

: **.4.3.2.2**

(2005)

.(2001)

: **.1.4.3.2.2**

:(2000)

-
-
-
-

: **.5.3.2.2**

(2005) :

(2005)

: **.1.5.3.2.2**

:(2003)

-
-
-
-

: **2.5.3.2.2**

:(2006)

)

-

)

(

.(

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

.3.5.3.2.2

:

:

:

:

-
-
-
-
-
-

:

(2007)

:

()

.(2007)

:

•

.(2003)

:

•

.(2005)

:

.4.5.3.2.2

.(2003)

:

:

.(2006)

: •

.. :

)

.(2004

: •

)

.(2004

: •

.(2006)

Job Posting

: •

)

.(2005

: •

.(2006)

•

•

•

•

•

:

•

•

•

•

.(2006).

:

:

.(2006)

:

•

.(Dessler,2005)

:

•

.(2004).

:

:

•

: ○

()

.(2008)

: ○

.(2006)

: ○

.(2006)

: ○

)

(

.(2008)

: ○

.(2004)

:

○

:

:

✓

:

✓

.(2004)

.(2002)

.(2000 ,)

:

.5.3.2.2

(2006)

.(2000)

"

"

"

(2005)

"

:

.1.5.3.2.2

:(2003)

•

•

•

•

: **.2.6.3.2.2**

)

(2002

)

.(2006

.(2003)

: **.3.6.3.2.2**

.(2005)

: **.7.3.2.2**

(2003) .(2005)

(2002) .

.

.

: **.1.7.3.2.2**

. (2000)

:(2003)

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

.(2003)

.(2003)

: .1.7.3.2.2

:(2008)

•

(2002).

(Jack ,2005)

.&John

..

: **.4.2.2**

: **.1.4.2.2**

. 1995

1997

: .1.1.4.2.2

: .2.1.4.2.2

:

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

: **.3.1.4.2.2**

•

•

•

•

() .

: **.2.4.2.2**

: **.1.2.4.2.2**

: .2.2.4.2.2

: .3.2.4.2.2

•

○

○

○

•

○

○

○

.(2003)).

.(2006)

" :(2008)

:

:

" : (2007)

:

10

： (2006)

(529)

%30

(165)

. %71.4

%72.4

%63.6

.%64.6

： (2006)

%14.8

500

23

:

" :(2000)

"

"

" :(2003)

%20

20

263

:(2003)

:(2008)

()

·
:(2005)

·
:(2008)

·
:(2007)

() : (2007)

:(2007)

:(2006)

(25)

:

:(2003)

%73

: **.2.5.2**

" : (Heraty and Morley ,1998)

"

1998

: (1999) Chew & Chong

) :
(

:

:(1997) Budhwar & Sparrow

)
(
/
/

(66.4 %)

" : (Bernthal and Rioux ,2005)

"

162

: **.3.5.2**

(2009)
(2008)

(2008) (2008)
(2008) ()

(2008)

(2007)

(2007) (2007)
(2007) ()

(2006)

(2002)

: **.4.5.2**

Li

(2003)

(1999) Chew & Chong

(1997) Budhwar & Sparrow

.

:

.5.5.2

6.2



.1.3

2.3

:

-
-
-
-

(104)
(SPSS)

•

3.3

) .

(2002

4.3

:

()

•

•

•

•

(41)

:

.1.4.3

(2000

)

: **.2.4.3**

–) (Consistency) (20) (0.96) (

5.3

(37)

(67)

/

6.3

(104)

(37)

(67)

: **.7.3**

:

: **.1.7.3**

•
•

•
•
•

: .2.7.3

8.3

(SPSS)

9.3

:

(Crosstabulation)

: .1.3

67	18	49	
37	8	29	
104	26	78	

(49) 78 (1.3)
 (26) (29)
 (8) (18)

(Crosstabulation) :2.3

	35	35-25	25	
67	40	26	1	
37	25	12	0	
104	65	38	1	

(1) 25 (2.3)
 (12) (26)
 (40) (35-25)
 35 (25)

(Crosstabulation) :3.3

67	5	1	33	28	
37	0	1	11	25	
104	5	2	44	53	

(25) (28) (3.3)
 (11) (33)
 (5) (2)

(Crosstabulation)

:4.3

67	7	53	4	3	
37	2	33	2	0	
104	9	86	6	3	

(53) (3) (4.3)
 (2) (2) (4)
 (2) (7) (33)

(Crosstabulation)

:5.3

67	13	26	28	
37	9	7	21	
104	22	33	49	

(13) (21) (28) (5.3)
 (7) (26)
 (9)

5 (17) (6.3)
 (10-5) (13) (18)
 (24) (32)

10

(Crosstabulation)

:6.3

.

	10	10-5	5	
67	32	18	17	
37	24	13	0	
104	56	31	17	

:

:7.3

%64.4	67	
%35.6	37	
%100	104	

(%64.4)

(7.3)

(%35.6)

.

1.4

:

:

.1.1.4

:

1	2	3	4	5	
1-1.99	2-2.99	3	3.01-3.99	4-4.99	

"

•

:

:

.1.1.1.4

:

•

:.1.4

	1.07034	3.7164	.	1
	1.12595	3.3731	.	2
	1.10895	3.7313	.	3
	1.13096	3.6866	.	4
	0.91423	3.7413	.	5
	.82312	3.4776	.	6
	1.09230	3.5075	.	7
	1.15215	2.7164	.	8
	1.23513	3.2537	.	9
	1.09023	3.5672	.	10
	1.13255	3.4627	.	11
	1.08836	3.2388	.	12
	0.91129	3.4552		

(5)

•

(1.4)

:

3.74

(1.4)

2.71

(1.4)

3.45

)

(

(2.4)

3.51

:2.4

:

	0.97504	3.5175		1
	0.86230	3.7910		2
	1.15235	2.7761	(/ /)	3
	1.00474	2.9254		4
	0.84802	3.0896		5
	1.08002	2.9851		6
	0.97248	3.3134		7
	1.06420	3.5075		8
	0.92627	3.0746		9
	1.11058	2.6418		10
	0.69826	3.1612		

(5)

(2.4)

(/ /)

2.77

(2.4)

3.16

:-3.4

:

	0.75670	3.8657) .(1
	0.78515	3.7463	.	2
	0.73763	3.6119)).	3
	0.74616	3.4925) .(4
	0.85704	3.8060	.	5

: -3.4

:

	0.86570	3.9104		6
	0.87065	3.7015		7
	0.96922	4.0000		8
	0.88252	3.6418	()	9
	0.70055	3.7529		

(5)

(3.4)

:

4.00

(3.4)

()

:

3.49

(3.4)

3.75

•
:-4.4

	0.95037	3.7164		1

: -4.4

:

	0.73487	3.7761		2
	1.17181	2.9254		3
	0.90178	3.6269		4
	0.80055	3.4179		5
	0.83186	3.6269		6
	0.95961	2.6716		7
	0.78024	3.2388		8
	1.02744	3.3731		9
	1.17720	2.9104		10
	0.67416	3.3284		

(5)

(4.4)

:

3.77

2.67

(4.4)

:

(4.4)

3.32

(5.4)

:

(3.45)

(3.16)

(3.75)

(3.42)

:5.4

:

	0.91129	3.4552		1
	0.69826	3.1612		2
	0.70055	3.7529		3
	0.67416	3.3284		4
	0.66469	3.4244		

(5)

(3.42)

.2.1.1.4

:.6.4

	0.698	3.891	.	1
	0.779	4.054	.	2
	0.646	3.837	.	3
	0.816	4.000	.	4
	0.799	3.837	.	5
	0.779	4.054	.	6
	0.760	3.756	.	7
	0.800	3.432	.	8
	0.732	3.729	.	9
	0.765	3.567	.	10
	0.823	3.648	.	11
	0.750	3.783	.	12
	0.517	3.799		

(5)

(6.4)

4.05

(6.4)

3.43

(6.4)

3.79

)

(

•

:7.4

:

	0.725	3.973		1
	0.682	4.081		2
	0.722	3.756	(/ /)	3
	0.722	3.756		4
	0.893	3.918		5
	0.751	4.135		6
	0.769	4.270		7
	0.506	4.486		8
	0.659	4.189		9
	0.626	3.675		10
	0.400	4.024		

(5)

(7.4)

:

4.48

(7.4)

3.67

(7.4)

4.02

(8.4)

:

4.32

:8.4

:

	0.779	3.945	. ()	1
	0.796	3.756	.	2
	0.803	3.486).)	3
	0.715	3.648	()	4
	0.869	3.459	.	5
	0.947	3.864	.	6
	0.743	3.945		7
	0.783	4.324	.	8
	0.911	3.945	()	9
	0.613	3.819		

(5)

(8.4)

3.45

(8.4)

3.81

•
:-9.4

:

	0.686	4.027	.	1
	0.865	4.057	.	2
	0.929	3.567	.	3
	1.143	3.432		4
	0.646	3.837		5
	0.629	3.783		6

: -9.4

:

	0.896	3.594		7
	0.811	3.297		8
	0.967	3.189		9
	1.182	2.864		10
	0.541	3.562		

(5)

(9.4)

:

4.05

(9.4)

2.86

(9.4)

3.56

:10.4

:

	0.517	3.799		1
	0.400	4.024		2
	0.613	3.819		3
	0.541	3.562		4
	0.427	3.801		

(5)

(10.4)

:

(3.79)

(4.02)

(3.81)

(3.52)

(3.80)

"

•

:

:

:

•

○

○

○
○
○

○
○

●

○
○
○
○
○
○
○
○
○
○
○
○

.2.1.4

●

- " - - - -)
(-

:

.1.2.1.4

" : : ○
 (α ≤ 0.05)

()

:

: (T-Test) () 11.4

0.129	1.536	65	3.5578	49		
			3.1759	18		
0.098	1.681	65	3.2469	49		
			2.9278	18		
0.967	.042	65	3.7551	49		
			3.7469	18		
0.349	.042	65	3.3755	49		
			3.2000	18		
0.230	.944	65	3.4838	49		
			3.2627	18		

0.05) :(11.4)

:

(α ≤

.(0.12)

•

•

.(0.09)

•
(0.96)

•
(0.34)

•
(0.23)

()

•
"

($\alpha \leq 0.05$)

•

•
"ANOVA"

•
:

:12.4

35	35-25	25	
3.4875	3.3846	4.0000	
3.1400	3.1423	4.5000	
3.7667	3.7009	4.5556	
3.3150	3.3154	4.2000	
3.4273	3.3858	4.3139	

(12.4)

(ANOVA)

: -13.4

:

	=								
0.760	.276	.849	64	54.342	.234	2	.468		1
0.155	1.918	.474	64	30.359	.910	2	1.820		2
0.486	.730	.495	64	31.668	.361	2	.722		3

(ANOVA)

: -13.4

:

	=								
0.434	.845	.457	64	29.225	.386	2	.771		4
0.397	.938	.443	64	28.329	.415	2	.830		

0.05)

(13.4)

:

($\alpha \leq$

.(0.76)

•

(0.15)

(0.48)

(0.43)

•

(0.39)

•

($\alpha \leq 0.05$)

"ANOVA"

:14.4

3.2667	3.7500	3.5278	3.3929	
2.8000	4.0000	3.2818	3.0536	
3.5778	4.1111	3.7643	3.7579	
3.2200	3.7000	3.3424	3.3179	
3.2161	3.8903	3.4791	3.3806	

(14.4)

0.05)

:(15.4)

(($\alpha \leq$

.(0.88)

.(0.22)

.(0.90)

(ANOVA)

:15.4.

:

	=								
0.888	.212	.861	63	54.263	.182	3	.547		1
0.220	1.51 1	.476	63	30.019	.720	3	2.16		2
0.905	.188	.510	63	32.104	.096	3	.287		3
0.932	.146	.473	63	29.790	.069	3	.206		4
0.731	.431	.454	63	28.573	.195	3	.586		

.(0.93)

(0.73)

" :

:

•

($\alpha \leq 0.05$)

"ANOVA"

:

:16.4

3.7619	3.3915	3.5000	3.8056	
3.5143	3.0943	3.3000	3.3333	
4.0476	3.7484	3.5000	3.4815	
3.8429	3.2849	3.1250	3.1667	
3.7917	3.3798	3.3563	3.4468	

(16.4)

0.05)

:(17.4)

:

($\alpha \leq$

(0.69)

•

(0.46)

(0.53)

(ANOVA)

:17.4

	=								
0.690	.490	.850	63	53.560	.417	3	1.250		1
0.463	.867	.491	63	30.904	.425	3	1.276		2
0.539	.728	.497	63	31.305	.362	3	1.086		3
0.185	1.66 0	.441	63	27.799	.732	3	2.197		4
0.499	.800	.446	63	28.090	.357	3	1.070		

(0.18)

(0.49)

" :

:

•

$$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$$

"ANOVA"

:

:18.4

3.4808	3.5353	3.3690	
3.3462	3.2000	3.0393	
3.7607	3.8846	3.6270	
3.3308	3.4000	3.2607	
3.4796	3.5050	3.3240	

(18.4)

:(19.4)

:

($\alpha \leq 0.05$)

(0.79)

•

(0.40)

(0.40)

(ANOVA)

:19.4

:

	=							
0.799	.225	.850	64	54.427	.191	2	.383	1
0.403	.921	.489	64	31.279	.450	2	.900	2
0.408	.910	.492	64	31.495	.448	2	.896	3
0.756	.282	.465	64	29.734	.131	2	.262	4
0.581	.548	.448	64	28.669	.245	2	.491	

(0.75)

(0.58)



" : : •
 $(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

"ANOVA"

:

:20.4

10	10-5	5	
3.5443	3.2500	3.5049	
3.2250	3.1941	3.4552	
3.6840	3.7407	3.8954	
3.3031	3.2389	3.4706	
3.4391	3.3116	3.5163	

(20.4)

0.05)

:(21.4)

:

$(\alpha \leq$

(ANOVA)

:21.4

:

	=								
0.537	.627	.840	64	53.756	.527	2	1.054		1
0.591	.530	.495	64	31.654	.262	2	0.525		2
0.608	.501	.498	64	31.891	.250	2	0.500		3
0.579	.552	.461	64	29.488	.254	2	0.508		4
0.658	.422	.450	64	28.780	.190	2	0.379		

(0.53)

•

(0.59)

(0.60)

(0.57)

•

(0.65)

•

.2.2.1.4

" : : •
 $(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

()

:

: (T-Test) () 22.4

0.186	1.349	35	3.8592	29		
			3.5833	8		
*0.002	3.397	35	4.1276	29		
			3.6500	8		
0.252	1.164	35	3.8812	29		
			3.5972	8		
0.944	.071	35	3.5655	29		
			3.5500	8		
0.125	1.573	35	3.8584	29		
			3.5951	8		

:(22.4)

:

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

.(0.18) •

(4.12) (0.002) •

(0.94) (3.65) •

(0.12) (0.25) •

() •

" : : •

($\alpha \leq 0.05$)

"ANOVA"

: (T-Test) () : -23.4

0.286	-1.084-	35	3.6667	12	35-25	
			3.8633	25	35	
0.551	-.602-	35	3.9667	12	35-25	
			4.0520	25	35	

: (T-Test) () : -23.4

0.977	.029	35	3.8241	12	35-25	
			3.8178	25	35	
0.777	-.286-	35	3.5250	12	35-25	
			3.5800	25	35	
0.589	-.545-	35	3.7456	12	35-25	
			3.8283	25	35	

(23.4)

(0.28)

•

(0.55)

(0.97)

(0.77)

•

(0.58)

•

" :

:

•

($\alpha \leq 0.05$)

"ANOVA"

:

:24.4

3.0000	3.8561	3.8067	
3.3000	4.0818	4.0280	
3.0000	3.9596	3.7911	
3.0000	3.7000	3.5240	
3.0750	3.8994	3.7874	

(24.4)

:(25.4)

:

(($\alpha \leq 0.05$))

(0.29)

•

(0.17)

(0.30)

.(0.39)

•

(0.17)

•

(ANOVA)

25.4

:

	=								
	=								
0.291	1.279	0.264	34	8.983	0.338	2	0.676	1	
0.175	1.833	0.153	34	5.207	0.281	2	0.561	2	
0.308	1.219	0.372	34	$\frac{12.65}{7}$	0.454	2	0.908	3	
0.395	.956	0.294	34	9.986	0.281	2	0.561	4	
0.176	1.826	0.175	34	5.939	0.319	2	0.638		

" :

:

•

($\alpha \leq 0.05$)

"ANOVA"

:

:26.4

3.3750	3.8561	3.2917	
3.9500	4.0697	3.3500	
3.1111	3.9158	2.9444	
3.7500	3.6091	2.6000	
3.5465	3.8627	3.0465	

:(26.4)

(ANOVA)

: -27.4

:

	=							
	=							
0.162	1.923	.255	34	8.677	.491	2	.982	1

(ANOVA)

: -27.4

:

	=								
0.041*	3.515	.141	34	4.780	.494	2	.988		2
0.018*	4.504	.315	34	10.723	1.421	2	2.841		3
0.028*	3.965	.252	34	8.552	.997	2	1.995		4
0.017*	4.570	.152	34	5.184	.697	2	1.393		

≤ 0.05)

:(27.4)

:

(α

(0.16)

•

(0.04)

•

.(0.01)

(0.02)

.(0.01)

•

(Scheffe) :28.4

(3.95)	(4.06)	(3.35)	
-0.600	-*0.719	- - -	(3.35)
0.119	- - -	- - -	(4.06)
- - -	- - -	- - -	(3.95)

(Scheffe) (28.4)

. (4.06)

(Scheffe) :29.4

(3.11)	(3.91)	(2.94)	
-0.1666	-*0.971	- - -	(2.94)
0.804	- - -	- - -	(3.91)
- - -	- - -	- - -	(3.11)

(Scheffe) (29.4)

.(3.91)

(Scheffe) (30.4)

. (3.60)

(Scheffe) :30.4

(3.75)	(3.60)	(2.60)	
-1.150	-*0.109	- - -	(2.60)
-0.140	- - -	- - -	(3.60)
- - -	- - -	- - -	(3.75)

(Scheffe) :31.4

(3.54)	(3.86)	(2.04)	
-0.500	-*0.816	- - -	(2.04)
-0.316	- - -	- - -	(3.86)
- - -	- - -	- - -	(3.54)

(Scheffe) (31.4)

. (3.86)

" :

($\alpha \leq 0.05$)

:

•

"ANOVA"

:

(32.4)

:32.4

3.6852	4.0714	3.7579	
3.9111	4.1571	4.0286	
3.7778	4.1905	3.7143	
3.4556	3.9714	3.4714	
3.7074	4.0976	3.7431	

(ANOVA)

: -33.4

:

	=								
0.294	1.270	0.264	34	8.988	0.336	2	0.672		1
0.487	0.736	0.163	34	5.529	.120	2	0.239		2
0.204	1.667	0.363	34	12.353	.606	2	1.211		3

(ANOVA)

: -33.4

:

	=								
0.294	1.270	0.264	34	8.988	0.336	2	0.672		1
0.487	0.736	0.163	34	5.529	.120	2	0.239		2
0.204	1.667	0.363	34	12.353	.606	2	1.211		3
0.081	2.705	0.268	34	9.099	.724	2	1.448		4
0.122	2.238	0.171	34	5.812	.383	2	0.765		

:(33.4)

:

($\alpha \leq 0.05$)

(0.29)

•

(0.48)

(T-Test) () :34.4

:

0.859	0.179	35	3.8205	13	10-5	
			3.7882	24	10	
0.176	1.380	35	4.1462	13	10-5	
			3.9583	24	10	
0.388	0.875	35	3.9402	13	10-5	
			3.7546	24	10	
0.383	0.883	35	3.6692	13	10-5	
			3.5042	24	10	
0.339	0.969	35	3.8940	13	10-5	
			3.7513	24	10	

" :

:

•

($\alpha \leq 0.05$)

()

:

≤ 0.05)

:(35.4)

:

(α)

:

(T-Test) ()

:35.4

0.03	2.11	102	3.45	67		
			3.79	37		
0.000	6.90	102	3.16	67		
			4.024	37		
0.62	0.48	102	3.75	67		
			3.81	37		
0.073	1.81	102	3.32	67		
			3.56	37		
0.00	3.11	102	3.42	67		
			3.80	37		

(0.03)

•

(3.79)

(3.45)

•

(0.00)

(3.16)

(4.02)

•

(0.62)

.(0.07)

•

(0.00)

•

"

•

:

:

:

•

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

∴ ∴ ●

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

(2003)



1.5

.1.1.5

:

:

(6.4)

(1.4)

3.74

4.05

(2003)

2.71

3.43

3.79

3.45

(2008)

(Berthal & Rioux, 2005)

:

:

(2.4)

(7.4)

3.51

4.48

(2007)

2.77

3.67

.

3.16

4.02

(2008)

(2006)

.

:

:

(3.4)

(8.4)

4.00

4.32

.

(2006)

.%70

3.49

3.48

(2005)

3.75

3.81

(Heraty & Morley 1998)

:

:

(4.4)

(9.4)

3.77

4.05

.

2.67

2.86

.

3.56

3.32

.

(2008)

(2003)

.

:

:

(5.4)

(10.4)

3.42

3.80

.

.

.

.2.1.5

.

(11.4)

:

:

•

(22.4)

0.05)

($\alpha \leq$

(2007)

($\alpha \leq 0.05$)

(*0.002)

(4.12)

(3.65)

(13.4)

(23.4)

: : •

($\alpha \leq 0.05$)

($\alpha \leq 0.05$)

(25.4)

:

:

•

(15.4)

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

(27.4)

:

:

•

(17.4)

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

(2007)

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

(0.04)

(4.06)

(0.01)

(3.91)

(0.02)

(0.01)

(33.4)

:

:

•

(19.4)

($\alpha \leq 0.05$)

($\alpha \leq 0.05$)

0.05)

(21.4)
(34.4)

($\alpha \leq$

($\alpha \leq 0.05$)

(2007)

(35.4)

($\alpha \leq 0.05$)

.(0.03)

(3.79)

(0.00)

(4.02)

(0.00)

.(3.80)

2.5

:

•

:

○

(3.45)

○

(3.16)

○

(3.75)

○

(3.42)

•

:

○

(3.79)

○

(4.02)

○

(3.81)

○

(3.52)

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

•

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

•

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

•

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

•

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

•

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

•

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

•

3.5

•

•

•

•

•

3.5

:

()

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

4.5

•

•

•

- 2 27 : (2007). •
- 1 : (2000). •
- : (2000). •
- : (2004). •
- : (2002). •
- : (2001). •
- : (2008). •
- : (2002). •
- : (2005). •
- : (2003) . •
- : (2006). •
- : (2007) . •
- : (2003). , •
- : (2003). •
- : (2003). •
- : (2001). •
- : (2006). •

:(2006). •

:(2000). •

:(2003). •

:(2006) •

:(2002). •

:(2003). •

:(2000). •

: :(2005). •

:(2006). •

:(2000). •

.(2010): •

:(2000). •

:(2004). •

:(2005). •

:(2007). •

:

4 9

:(2003). •

1

:(2006). •

2

1

:(2006). •

- :(2004) •
- :(2002). •
- :(2000). •
- :(2002). •
- :(2005). •
- :(2004). •
- :(2008). •
- :(2000). •
- .60 :(2005) •
- 14606 () •
- :(2003). •
- :(2004). •
- :(2005). •
- :(2003). •
- :(2007). •
- :(2003). •

:(2003). •

:(2000). •

:(2008). •

:(2002). •

:(2005). •

•

- Bdhuar, P. S., and P. R. Sparrow. 1997. "Evaluating Levels of Strategic Integration and Devolvement of Human Resource Management in India, The International", Journal of Human Resource Management, Vol. 8, No. 4, August, PP. 476-494.
- Chew, I. K., & P. Chong. 1999. "Effects of Strategic Human Resource Management on strategic Vision", International Journal of Human Resource Management, Vol. 10, No. 6, PP. 1031-1045.
- Denisi.A., &Griffin, W., (2001),Human resource management , New-York
- Dessler,Gary,Human Resource Management ,Edition ,Texas A&M. University Commerce Prentice Hall (2005).
- Herety and Morley ,policy and parcticein recruitment and selection in Ireland (Electronic Version),journal of Management Development,1998.
- Jack, E., &John, C,(2005), Human Resources program evaluation, society for HRM, handbook .
- Li, J. 2003. "Strategic Human Resource Management and MNEs' berformance in China", International Journal of Human Resource Management, Vol. 14, No. 2, PP. 157-173.

:1.3

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

/

/



/

/

.

.

"

. :

:

(X) : :

() (2)	() (1)		A1
25	() (1)		A2
35-25	() (2)		
35	() (3)		
	() (1)		A3
	() (2)		
	() (3)		
	() (4)		
	() (1)		A4
	() (2)		
	() (3)		
	() (4)		
() ()	()		A5

5	() (1)		A6
10-5	() (2)		
10	() (3)		
() (2)	() (1)		A7

:

:

:A

:

(X)

:					:
					B1
					B2
					3B
					4B

						5B
						6B
						7B
						8B
						9B
						10B
						11B
						2B1
						:
						C1
						C2
					(/ /)	C3
						C4
						5C
						C6
						C7
						C8
						C9
						C10
						:
)	D1
					.(2D
						3D

					.(.....)	
					()	D4
					.	5D
					.	6D
						7D
						8D
					()	9D
:						
:						
						E1
						E2
						3E
						4E
						5E
						6E
						7E
						8E
						9E
						10E

: :B

..... 1

..... 2

..... 3
..... 4
..... 5

: () :C

..... 1
..... 2
..... 3
..... 4
..... 5

" "

:2.3

		.	.1
		.	.2
		.	.3
		.	.4
		.	.5
		.	.6
		.	.7
.		.	.8
		.	.9
		.	.10
		.	.11
		.	.12

131	1.3
136	2.3

59	(Crosstabulation)	.1.3
60	(Crosstabulation)	.2.3
60	(Crosstabulation)	.3.3
61	(Crosstabulation)	.4.3
61	(Crosstabulation)	.5.3
62	(Crosstabulation)	.6.3
62		.7.3
64		.1.4
66		.2.4
67		.3.4
69		.4.4
72		.5.4

736.4
757.4
778.4
789.4
8010.4
83	(T-Test) ()	.11.4
8512.4
85	(ANOVA)	.13.4
8714.4
88	(ANOVA)	.15.4
8916.4
90	(ANOVA)	.17.4
9118.4

92 (ANOVA)	.19.4
9320.4
94 (ANOVA)	.21.4
95 (T-Test) ()	.22.4
96 (T-Test) ()	.23.4
9824.5
99 (ANOVA)	.25.4
10026.4
100 (ANOVA)	.27.4
102 (Scheffe)	.28.4
102 (Scheffe)	.29.4
103 (Scheffe)	.30.4
103 (Scheffe)	.31.4
10432.1

105	(ANOVA)	.33.4
	
107	(T-Test) ()	.34.4
	
108	(T-Test) ()	.35.4
	

	
	
	
	
	
1	/
1	1.1
2	2.1
2	3.1
3	4.1
3	5.1
4	6.1
4	7.1
6	8.1
6	9.1
7	10.1
8	/
8	1.2
9	2.2
91.2.2
102.2.2

123.2.2
121.3.2.2
151.1.3.2.2
162.3.2.2
171.2.3.2.2
182.2.3.2.2
193.3.2.2
201.3.3.2.2
212.3.3.2.2
223.3.3.2.2
224.3.3.2.2
244.3.2.2
241.4.3.2.2
255.3.2.2
251.5.3.2.2
252.5.3.2.2
273.5.3.2.2
284.5.3.2.2
325.3.2.2
331.5.3.2.2
342.6.3.2.2
343.6.3.2.2
357.3.2.2
351.7.3.2.2
361.7.3.2.2
384.2.2
381.4.2.2
43	5.2
431.5.2

512.5.2
533.5.2
534.5.2
545.5.2
546.2
56	/
56	1.3
56	2.3
57	3.3
57	4.3
57	1.4.3
58	2.4.3
58	5.3
58	6.3
58	7.3
581.7.3
582.7.3
58	8.3
59	9.3
63	/
63	1.4
631.1.4
641.1.1.4
732.1.1.4
822.1.4

831.2.1.4
952.2.1.4
111	/
1111.5
		.1.1.5
111	
		.2.1.5
117	.	
1222.5
1243.5
1254.5
126	
127	
137	
138	
142	