Deanship of Graduate Studies AL - Quds University



Channel-Aware Decision Fusion for Distributed Classification in MIMO Wireless Sensor Networks

Rushdi Nadi Mahmoud AbuAwad

M.Sc. Thesis

Jerusalem - Palestine

1440 - 2019

Channel-Aware Decision Fusion for Distributed Classification in MIMO Wireless Sensor Networks

Prepared By:

Rushdi Nadi Mahmoud AbuAwad

B.Sc.: Communication And Electronic Engineering, Palestine Polytechnic University, Palestine

Supervisor: Dr. Ali Jamoos

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the master degree of Electronics and Computer Engineering/ Faculty of Engineering/ Graduate Studies

1440 - 2019

AL - Quds University Deanship of Graduate Studies Master of Electronics and Computer Engineering



Thesis Approval

Channel-Aware Decision Fusion for Distributed Classification in MIMO Wireless Sensor Networks

Prepared By: Rushdi Nadi Mahmoud AbuAwad Registration No: 21611219

Supervisor: Dr. Ali Jamoos Master thesis submitted and accepted. Date:19/5/2019

The names and signatures of the examining committee members are as follows:

1- Head of Committee: Dr. Ali Jamoos Signature:

2- Internal Examiner: Dr. Ahmad Abdou Signature:------

3- External Examiner: Dr. Ashraf Al-Rimawi Signature:———[12pt]

Jerusalem - Palestine

1440 - 2019

Dedication

To the memory of my father (may Allah grant him His Mercy), to my mother who has been supporting and encouraging me all the way, to my beloved wife, for her outstanding and highly appreciated patience day and night throughout the time of my study, to my son and daughter, to my brother and sister.

friends and all of my family

Declaration

I certify that this thesis submitted for the degree of Master is the result of my own research, except where otherwise acknowledged, and that this thesis (or any part of the same) has not been submitted for higher degree to any other university or institution.

Signed:....

Rushdi Nadi Mahmoud AbuAwad

Date:19/5/2019

Acknowledgements

First of all, I thank God for every thing.

I am very grateful to my supervisor Dr. Ali Jamoos. I feel it is a great fortune to be supervised by Dr. Ali. Dr. Ali is a knowledgeable scholar, deep thinker and hard worker. He has a keen insight into the research topics and gives very helpful instructions to me. Besides, his enthusiasm, responsibility and rigor make him a role model to my future career. I could not have obtained this degree in such an enjoyable way, nor would I have the same strong motivation and interest toward research, if not for Dr. Ali. In addition to academics, he gave me many personal helps when I was in need, which I am equally, or even more, thankful to him.

As always, I am thankful to my parents for supporting me to pursue my academic goal. Their encouragement and support through all these years meant more than what I can express in words.

Last but by no means least, I am thankful to all my teachers and friends. Their support is indispensable to my completing the master degree.

Abstract

Wireless sensor networks (WSNs) have become a rich research area through the last few years. That is because of its high flexibility, mobility and cost efficiency. WSNs have many application such as security, surroundings and battlefield monitoring. The very important part must be investigate in the design of WSN is how to transact with the observed information at the decision fusion center (DFC) so as to obtain the final decision about a certain phenomena.

We study several fusion rules such as optimum rule, maximum ratio combiner (MRC), equal gain combiner (EGC), max-log rule, chair varshney-maximum likelihood (CV-ML) and chair varshney-minimum mean square error (CV-MMSE) applied at the DFC for one hypothesis which requires both the channel state information (CSI) and the sensors indices. The need of these information is assumed as an overhead in power and bandwidth obliged systems such as WSNs. The above rules used to fixed the matter about implementations and give a wide spectrum of choices for reducing complication and minimal system knowledge. All these rules still significantly interest from adding several antennas at the DFC.

We study in this thesis the fusion of decisions in distributed multiple input multiple output (MIMO) WSN with M-ary hypothesis and binary local decisions, where M is the number of hypothesis to be classified. The detection of distributed schemes for testing of M-ary hypothesis often assume that for every observed phenomena the local detector transmits at least log_2M bits to DFC. We formulate three fusion rules for the DFC such as Optimum maximum a posteriori (MAP) rule, Augmented Quadratic Discriminant Analysis (A-QDA) rule and MAP Observation bound.

A comparison performance has been obtained through simulation between

three different fusion rules, optimum (MAP), MAP observation bound, augmented quadratic discriminant analysis (A-QDA) applied at MIMO WSN system model. We assumed Rayleigh fading and additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) channels between the local detectors (sensors) and the DFC. We investigate the system parameters effect on the system performance at the DFC. We study the effect of the local detector (sensors) performance indices in the case in which all indices are identical. also investigate the effect of the total number of antenna at the DFC, the number of local detectors, the number of hypothesis and the effect of the value of channel signal to noise ratio (SNR) between the sensing elements and the DFC. Results obtained by simulation show that the MIMO WSN system model provide a relatively good performance in terms of detection performance when increasing the number of antenna at the DFC with lower number of hypothesis for the applied fusion rules. In addition, simulation results show that the optimum (MAP) rule has the best performance than A-QDA rule, also the A-QDA needs higher signal to noise ratio to obtain suitable performances comparable with the optimum (MAP) rule.

Keywords: Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs), Distributed hypothesis testing, Decisions fusion, fading channels, distributed detection, MIMO, Optimum (MAP) classifier, Augmented Quadratic Discriminant Analysis (A-QDA).

List of Figures

1.1	General system of decentralized detection for PAC	3
1.2	General system decentralized detection for MAC	4
1.3	WSN structures	5
1.4	Serial topology for WSN	5
1.5	Tree topology for WSN	6
1.6	The decision fusion model in existence of MIMO channel $[36]$	9
1.7	Proposed decision fusion model with distributed M -ary hypothesis	
	and MIMO channel	10
2.1	The fusion decision model in existence of MIMO channel $[36]$	12
2.2	Conditional detection and false alarm probabilities $[12]$	14
2.3	ROCs for the presented fusion rules, channel $SNR = 15$ dB, $P_{D,k} =$	
	(0.5), $P_{F,k} = (0.05)$, number of sensors K=8 and number of antenna	
	$N = 2. \ldots $	19
2.4	P_{D_0} vs average channel (SNR) for the presented fusion rules, channel	
	$SNR = 15 \text{ dB}, P_{D,k} = (0.5), P_{F,k} = (0.05), \text{ number of sensors K} = 8$	
	and number of antennas $N = (1, 2)$	20
2.5	P_{D_0} vs number of antenna N for the presented fusion rules, number	
	of sensors K=8, $P_{D,k} = (0.5), P_{F,k} = (0.05). SNR = (5, 15) \text{ dB.}$	21

2.6	P_{E_0} vs number of sensors K for presented fusion rules, number of	
	antenna $N = 1$ at DFC and channel $SNR = 15$ dB, $P_{D,k} = (0.7)$,	
	$P_{F,k} = (0.05).$	22
2.7	P_{E_0} vs number of sensors K for presented fusion rules, number of	
	antenna $N = 2$ at DFC and channel $SNR = 15$ dB, $P_{D,k} = (0.7)$,	
	$P_{F,k} = (0.05).$	22
4.1	ROC for the optimum MAP and observation bound rules. Channel	
	$SNR = 15 \text{ dB}, P_{D,k} = (0.5), P_{F,k} = (0.05), \text{ number of local detectors}$	
	K=8 and number of antenna $N = 2$	32
4.2	ROC for the A-QDA rule. Channel $SNR = 15$ dB, $P_{D,k} = (0.5)$,	
	$P_{F,k} = (0.05)$, number of local detectors K=8 and number of antenna	
	$N=2.\ldots$	33
4.3	P_D vs channel (SNR) dB for the optimum MAP and observation	
	bound rules, $P_{D,k} = (0.5), P_{F,k} = (0.05)$, number of local detectors	
	K=8 and number of antenna $N = 2$	34
4.4	P_D vs channel (SNR) dB for the A-QDA rule, $P_{D,k} = (0.5), P_{F,k} =$	
	(0.05), number of local detectors K=8 and number of antenna $N = 2$.	35
4.5	P_D vs N for the optimum MAP and observation bound rules, $P_{D,k} =$	
	(0.5), $P_{F,k} = (0.05)$, number of local detectors K=8 and $(SNR) = 15$	
	dB	36
4.6	P_D vs N for the A-QDA rule, $P_{D,k} = (0.5)$, $P_{F,k} = (0.05)$, number of	
	local detectors K=8 and $(SNR) = 15 \text{ dB}.$	37

Acronyms and Abbreviations

A-QDA	Augmented Quadratic Discriminant Analysis
BPSK	Binary Phase Shift Keying
AWGN	Additive White Gaussian Noise
CSI	Channel State Information
CV-ML	Chair Varshney-Maximum Likelihood
CV-MMSE	Chair Varshney-Minimum Mean Square Error
DaF	Decode-and-Fuse
DFC	Decision Fusion Center
DtF	Decode-then-Fuse
EGC	Equal Gain Combiner
LDs	Local Detectors
LLR	Log Likelihood Ratio
LRT	Likelihood Ratio Test
MAC	Multiple Access Channel
MAP	Maximum A Posteriori
MIMO	Multiple Input Multiple Output

- MMSE Minimum Mean Square Error
- MRC Maximum Ratio Combiner
- PAC Parallel Access Channel
- PMF Probability Mass Function
- ROC Receiver Operating Characteristics
- SNR Signal to Noise Ratio
- WSN Wireless Sensor Network

Contents

De	edica	tion	4
De	eclar	ation	i
A	cknov	wledgments	ii
A	bstra	ict	iii
Li	st of	Figures	v
A	crony	ms and Abbreviations	vii
Τa	able (of Contents	ix
1	Intr	oduction	1
	1.1	Wireless Sensor Networks Overview	2
	1.2	Literature Review	7
	1.3	Thesis Contributions and Organization	9
2	Fus	ion of Decision Model in Existence of MIMO Channel	11
	2.1	WSN System Model Categories	12
		2.1.1 Category 1: Sensors (Local Detectors)	13

		2.1.2	Category 2: Fading and Noisy Channels	14	
		2.1.3	Category 3: Decision Fusion Center:	15	
	of the Art on Decision Fusion Rules	15			
		2.2.1	Decode-and-Fuse:	16	
		2.2.2	Decode-then-Fuse:	17	
3	Pro	posed	WSN System Model	23	
	3.1	Motiva	ation	24	
	3.2	System	n Model	24	
	3.3	Fusion	Rules	28	
		3.3.1	Optimum Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) Rule	28	
		3.3.2	MAP Observation bound Rule	29	
		3.3.3	Augmented Quadratic Discriminant Analysis (A-QDA) Rule .	29	
4	Nui	Numerical Results 3			
	4.1	Compa	arison of Performance Among Different Fusion Rules Utilized		
		at the	WSN System Model	31	
	4.2	The E:	ffect of the Channel SNR Between the Sensors and the DFC	33	
	4.3	The E	ffect of the Number of Antenna Used at the DFC \ldots .	36	
5	Cor	Conclusions and Future Work			
	5.1	Conclu	isions	40	
	5.2	Future	Work	40	
Ν	otati	ons		42	
\mathbf{A}	ppen	dix A		44	

Bibliography