



" "

-

**2009 - 1430**

:

" "

— 6

:

2009 - 1430



:

20611274:

:

2009/ 7 /26

:

..... :

.

..... :

.

..... :

..



.

.....

2009 / 7 / 26 :



.2009/2008

(732)

(386)

(346)

(175)

(35)

(23)

" "

" "





# **The practice reality of the upper-basic stage science teacher to instructional strategies based on scientific inquiry and its relation with self-efficacy beliefs**

## **Abstract**

This study aims at identifying the practice reality of the upper- basic stage science teachers to instructional strategies based on scientific inquiry and its relation with self-efficacy beliefs. It was conducted during the second semester of the year 2008-2009. The study population consisted of all upper- primary science teachers in southern part of West Bank (732 in total)- (346) males and 386 females. A stratified random sample of(175 male and female teachers ) was selected for the study .

To achieve the objectives of the study a(35)-item questionnaire was used to measure the degree of practice of science teachers to instructional strategies based on inquiry .Another (23- item)was also used to measure the degree of self-efficacy beliefs among teachers . Validity and reliability of both instruments were established through suitable statistical and educational techniques.

The findings of the study have shown the practice degree of instructional strategies based on scientific inquiry was generally high and there were no statistical significant differences of means in the practice degree of science teachers to instructional strategies based on the scientific inquiry due to gender, qualifications, years of experience, specialization and attending courses on content and methodology of science. The findings have also shown that the degree of instructional self-efficacy beliefs of science teachers was medium and there were significant statistical differences between the degree means of instructional self-efficacy of science teachers that can be attributed to gender. (for the benefit of males) and attending the course of content and teaching technique of science,( for the benefit of teachers who participated in the courses.) However, the differences were not significant due to the variables of experience, qualifications and specialization. Moreover, the findings have shown that the relationship between the

degree of science teacher, practising instructional strategies based on the scientific inquiry and instructional self- efficacy beliefs was positive and statistically significant with the exception of the relation between the field of discovery and personal efficacy.

In the light of the findings of study, the researcher has recommended teachers should practise instructional strategies based on the scientific inquiry in teaching and the necessity of including training programs for teachers during in service in the way of designing teaching lessons according to instructional strategies based on the scientific inquiry .The syllabus should take into account activities based scientific inquiry when designing the instructional units.

---

**1.1**

(2005 2004 )

.(2008 )

)

.(2005

(2004)

(Hodson)

:

.

:

-1

:

-2

.

:

-3

.

( Sola & Ojo, 2007)

.(2002 )

"

"

( )

)

.(1993

1999

.(2002 )

( Harlen ,2004)

.( 2008 )

(Suchman)

(2007)

( )

(2001)

(1999 )

.(Abusharbain, 2002)

.(1993 )

.(2006 )

Self Efficacy Teaching

Enochs, Smith, )

(&Huiker, 2000

( )

.(Hung, 2005)

.(Plourde, 2002)

(2004)

**2.1**

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:



### 3.1

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$  : (5 4 2) :

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$  :

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$  :

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$  :

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$  :

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$  :

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$  :

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$  :

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$  :

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$  :

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$  :

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$  :

**4.1**

( )

-1

.

-2

.

-3

.

-4

.

-5

.

**5.1**

:

-1

.

-2

.

-3

-4

-5

**6.1**

:

: -1

. 2009/2008

: -2

.( )

: -3

( )

2009/2008

: -4

: -5

## 7.1

:

(182 :2006 )

:

(254 :2000 )

:

(Enochs, Smith, &Huiker, 2000: 195)

.

:

.

.(2008 )

(2000)

(2009 )

-

:

(Sternberg & Williams, 2004)

(Ashman & Conway, 1997)

(Barling & Slater, 2000)

":

.(1988 )

:

.(2000 )

.(Rieff, 1996)

:

**2.1.2**

-:

-1

-2

-3

-4

-5



-6

.(1994 )

-7

### 3.1.2

.(1992 )

(Jerome Bruner)

1961

Armstrong

1889

.(1999 )

.(2008 )

.(36 : )

2



1



1



1

1



.(78-74 : )

1

(2007 )

:

(1992)

.

"

(143 :2008)

"

( Harlen, 2004)

.

(Keneth George)

" : (88 :2001 )

"

(2006)

.

" (88 :1999 )

"

( Trowbridge, Bybee, &Powell, 2004: 231)

"

"

"

(144 :1994)

"

(2003

)

(Carin & Sund)

"

"

" (119 :2005)

"

(224 :2001)

"

:

**4.1.2**

.(2007 )

( 2007)

:

(2002)

**5.1.2**

)

(

.(2007 )

(2005)

(Ongley, 1978)

Ongley

(2001 )

(Alfre Friedle)

### 6.1.2

(1999)

(2002)

(2004)

:

:

:

:

.

:

:

:

:

.(Lunsford, 2002)

(2006)

:

:

:

:

:

.

**(2004)**

:

:

:

:

:

.

:

(2002)

( )

.( )

### 7.1.2

: (2007)

-

-:

-1

-2

-3

-4

-5

-6

-7

.(Trowbridge, Bybee, & Powell, 2004)

**8.1.2**

(2007) (2008 )

:

:

:

:

:



) Smith and Van Sickle  
: (1999

(2005)

(2007)

:  
-1  
-2  
-3  
-4  
-5

## 9.1.2

:	-1
.	-2
.	-3
)	-4
.	(2002
-:	(2007)
.	: -1
.	: -2
.	: -3
.	: -4
(Withee & Lindell, 2005)	
:	
.	: .1
.	: .2

: .3

: .4

: .5

### 10.1.2

(2001 ) Kuslan

:

-1

-2

-3

-4

-5

:

-6

-7

-8

-9

-10

-11

-12

:

(2002)

-1

-2

( )

-3

-4

:

(2007)

: -1

: -2

: -3

: -4

**11.1.2**

:(Suchman Inquiry Model)

(2005

:

)

:

Suchman

-1

-

-

-

-

-2

-3

-

-

Massialas Model For )

(2005

)

:(Inquiry Teaching

:

-4

-5

:

.

-1

.

-2

.

-3

.

-4

.

-5

:(Bayer Model For Inquiry Teaching) :

(2006 )

:

-:

:

.

-1

.

-2

.( )

-3

( ) :

-:

.

-1

.

-2

.

-3

:

-:

: -1

: -2

:

:

-1

-2

-:

:

-1

-2

:(Jarolimek Model For Inquiry Teaching)

:

-:

-1

-2

-3

-4

.(2007 )

-5

**12.1.2**

-1



-2

-3

-4

-5

-6

-7

.(2001 )

**13.1.2**

■

■

■

- 
- 
- 

(2005 )

(Trowbridge, Bybee, & Powell, 2004 )

-:

-1

-2

-3

-4

( ) -5

-6

### 16.1.2

(Ross, 1995)

Self- efficacy

قدراته

"

(1989) Bandura

Self-Efficacy Teaching

Enochs, Smith, &Huiker, )

.(2000

(Huang et al, 2007)

·  
:  
" (Bandura, 1977) "

·  
(Poulou, 2007)

.(Taimalu & Oim, 2005)

.(Bandura, 1977)

)

.(1998

.(Onafowora, 2004)

.(Fives, 2003)

( Ashton, 1984)



## 2.2

( )

:

:

دراسة مارشال وآخرون (Marshall et al, 2008)

(222)

:

دراسة ريتشاردسون ولينج (Richardson & Liang, 2008)

(338)

"

(IMS) "

دراسة شوارز وجويكويرر (Schwaz & Gwekwerer, 2007) :

(24)

(EIMA)

: (2007)

(88)

(192)

(104)

:(2006)

(61)

(0.90)

(12)

**(Geda & Larocco, 2006)**



(1997) ( )

( )

**:(Rebert & Nor & Hakan, 2005)**

)

(26)

(

**: (Gado, 2005) دراسة جادو**

(300)

:

**:(Thompson, 2003)**

( )

· :  
:

:(Substantive)

:(Technical)

:(**Eick & Reed, 2002**)

( )

(12)

( )

:(Goossen, 2002)

(24)

وقد قام دامنجانوفك (Damnjanovic, 1999)

(90)

(73)

(163)

t-test

"25" "6"

:(1998)

(28)

(914)

:

( )

.

:

: (2006)

.

)

( 66 75 141 = )

(

:

.

: (2006)

: (57)

(28) (29)

(45)

:(2006)

" "

(36)

2006/2005

( )

( ) "

( )

((0.05≥α))

( )

:(2006)

" "

:

(112)

:(2004)

(160)

(40)

(10)

(2003):

( 92 )

)

(

بين الاختبار البعدي واختبار المتابعة لمجموعة الحاسب على المستويات المعرفية.

بينما كان هناك فروقاً ذات دلالة إحصائية

**:(2003)**

( ) :

(122)

:

( ) ( )

دراسة تريتر وجونس (Tretter & Jones, 2003) :

" "

( )

(North Carolin's)



**:(2003)**

(1505)

. 2003/2002

( )

**:(2003)**

(174)

( 98) ( 76)

) (87)

(87) (

" " ( )

" "

" "

.

: (Clark, 2002)

(468)

.

:(Glen, 2001)

(10)

(30)

(5)

.

:(2000)

.( ) :

( 30)

( 31 )

( )

: (2000)

(140)

:

(4)

:(Chen, 1999)

(18)

دراسة ماو وتشانج ( Maw & Chang ,1999 ) :

(557)

(14)

(284)

(273)

:(**Battista, 1999**)

(4)

:(**1996** )

(189)

(92)

(97)

( - )

:(1992)

( )

( 21)

( 20)

: (Ajewole, 1991)

:

: (1990)

(42)

(83)

(4)

(41)

:(1989)

"

"

:(Collins,1986)

(40)

(20)

:

(6)

(Collins)

(40)

:

: (Poulou, 2007)

(198)

**: (Taimalu & Oim, 2005)**

( )

)

:

(193)

(

( )

**:(Edwards, 1996)**

(430)

**:(Laat & Watters, 1995)**

(33)

(37)

**:(Coladarci, 1992)**

) (170)  
( - -

**:( Enochos & Riggs, 1990)**

(331) (25)

**:(Greenwood et al, 1990)**



: (Trantham & Brgdon, 1985)

3.2

و‘





1.3

2.3

(346)

(732)

2009/2008

)

(386)

(

3.3

%25

(175)

(175)

(1.3)

(75)

(75)

( 150)

(1.3)

150	50	75		
	50	75		
150	16.7	25		
	83.3	125		
150	22	33	5	
	36.7	55	10-5	
	41.3	62	10	
150	32	48		
	24.7	37		
	13.3	20		
	30	45		
150	70.7	106		
	29.3	44		

: **4.3**

: -1

(Geda & Larocco, 2006)

(1)

:

:

( ) ( 35)

3 4)

( )

(1 2

:

-2

(1998)

(2002)

(Enochs & Riggs, 1990)

:

:

(23)

9 7 5 4 2 1)

(23 21 20 19 17 13 10 8 6 3)

)

( 22 18 16 15 14 12 11

(Likert)

(

"

"

(3) "

"

(4) "

"

(5) "

"

.(1)

### 5.3

(13)

(2)

(35)

(3)

(23)

(30)

.(3.3) (2.3)

: (2.3)

0.01	0.52	
0.01	0.56	
0.01	0.78	
0.01	0.86	
0.01	0.86	

(2.3)

( 3.3 )

: ( 3.3 )

0.01	0.77	
0.01	0.31	

(3.3)

**6.3**

30

(0.77)

(0.75)

**7.3**

(175)

( 150)

**8.3**

:

.( ) -1

.( ) : -2

10 - 5 5 ) : -3

.( 10

( ) : -4

( ) : -5

-1

-2

**9.3**

:-

-1

:

.(3)

:

:

.(3-2)

.(2)

:



	:		:
			.(3.67)
			:
			.(3.67-2.33)
.(2.33)			:
(10 7 6 5 2 1)			-2
	Independent-test	( )	
(LSD)	One Way Anova	(9 8 4 3)	
			.
	(11)		-3
			.

---

: 1.4

( 1.4)

(1.4)

	<b>0.44</b>	<b>3.26</b>	
	<b>0.39</b>	<b>3.31</b>	
	<b>0.31</b>	<b>3.47</b>	
	<b>0.39</b>	<b>3.42</b>	
	<b>0.37</b>	<b>3.40</b>	
	<b>0.29</b>	<b>3.40</b>	

(1.4)

" "

(0.29)

(3.4)

(3.42)

(3.47)

(3.31)

(3.40)

.(3.26)

.(6.4 5.4 4.4 3.4 2.4):

**1.1.4**

: (2.4 )

	0.52	3.69		1
	0.66	3.19		2
	0.75	2.90	( )	3
			(	

(2.4 )

"

"

(3.69 – 2.90)

(3.69)

)

.(2.90)

"(

"

### 2.1.4

(3.4 )

	0.51	3.75		6
	0.55	3.55		7
	0.67	3.33		5
	0.85	2.63		4

( 3.4 )

"

"

(3.75 – 2.63)

"

(3.75)

.(2.63)

"

3.1.4

(4.4)

	0.49	3.79		13
	0.52	3.69		12
	0.53	3.63		8
	0.60	3.55		10
	0.63	3.47		9
	0.76	3.37		15
	0.60	3.35		11
	0.71	2.94		14

(4.4)

" " (3.79 – 2.94)

" (3.79)

.(2.94) "

**4.1.4**

(5.4 )

	<b>0.52</b>	<b>3.65</b>		<b>19</b>
	<b>0.55</b>	<b>3.54</b>		<b>21</b>
	<b>0.55</b>	<b>3.47</b>		<b>20</b>
	<b>0.64</b>	<b>3.43</b>		<b>17</b>
	<b>0.63</b>	<b>3.41</b>		<b>22</b>
	<b>0.66</b>	<b>3.36</b>	" "	<b>24</b>
	<b>0.72</b>	<b>3.35</b>		<b>23</b>
	<b>0.63</b>	<b>3.34</b>		<b>18</b>
	<b>0.71</b>	<b>3.25</b>		<b>16</b>

(5.4)

" (3.65 – 3.25)

" (3.65)

"

"

(3.25)

**5.1.4**

: (6.4 )

	<b>0.51</b>	<b>3.75</b>		<b>29</b>
	<b>0.57</b>	<b>3.60</b>		<b>30</b>
	<b>0.58</b>	<b>3.58</b>		<b>25</b>
	<b>0.64</b>	<b>3.56</b>		<b>27</b>
	<b>0.61</b>	<b>3.56</b>		<b>28</b>
	<b>0.61</b>	<b>3.53</b>		<b>26</b>
	<b>0.70</b>	<b>3.29</b>	"	<b>35</b>
			"	
	<b>0.63</b>	<b>3.19</b>		<b>33</b>
	<b>0.74</b>	<b>3.18</b>		<b>32</b>
	<b>0.75</b>	<b>3.17</b>		<b>31</b>
	<b>0.67</b>	<b>2.99</b>		<b>34</b>

(6.4)

"

"

(3.75 – 2.99)

"

(3.75)

(2.99)

"

: : 2.4

:

:

$\leq 0.05$ ) : 1.2.4  
( $\alpha$ )

(7.4) ‘ ( )

( ) : (7.4)

<b>0.65</b>	<b>0.46</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>0.30</b>	<b>3.39</b>	<b>75</b>		
			<b>0.27</b>	<b>3.41</b>	<b>75</b>		

(7.4 )



(  $\alpha \leq 0.05$  )

:

2.2.4

( 8.4 ) ( )

( ) : ( 8.4 )

<b>0.74</b>	<b>0.34</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>0.31</b>	<b>3.42</b>	<b>25</b>	
			<b>0.28</b>	<b>3.40</b>	<b>125</b>	

(8.4)

(  $\alpha \leq 0.05$  )

:

3.2.4

.( 9.4 )

: ( 9.4 )

<b>0.32</b>	<b>3.38</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>0.28</b>	<b>3.39</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>10 - 5</b>
<b>0.27</b>	<b>3.43</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>10</b>

( 9.4 )

.( 10.4 )

: ( 10.4 )

<b>0.65</b>	<b>0.43</b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>0.07</b>	<b>2</b>	
		<b>0.08</b>	<b>12.07</b>	<b>147</b>	
		<b>-</b>	<b>12.14</b>	<b>149</b>	

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

:

4.2.4

.( 11.4 )

: ( 11.4 )

0.29	3.35	48	
0.27	3.45	37	
0.28	3.45	20	
0.29	3.40	45	

( 11.4 )

.( 12.4 )

: ( 12.4 )

0.31	1.22	0.09	0.30	3	
		0.08	11.84	146	
		-	12.14	149	

: **5.2.4**  
**( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )**

(13.4) ( )

( ) : (13.4)

0.16	1.43	148	0.27	3.42	106	
			<b>0.32</b>	<b>3.35</b>	<b>44</b>	

(13.4)

: **3.4**

(14.4)

: ( 14.4 )

	<b>0.52</b>	<b>3.85</b>	
	<b>0.31</b>	<b>3.36</b>	
	<b>0.31</b>	<b>3.64</b>	

( 14.4 )

" "

(0.31)

(3.64)

(3.85)

.(3.36)

(16.4 15.4):

**1.3.4**

: (15.4 )

	<b>0.51</b>	<b>3.75</b>		6
	<b>0.52</b>	<b>3.69</b>		12
	<b>0.52</b>	<b>3.65</b>		19
	<b>0.53</b>	<b>3.63</b>	- -	8
	<b>0.55</b>	<b>3.54</b>		21
	<b>0.55</b>	<b>3.47</b>		20
	<b>0.64</b>	<b>3.43</b>		17
	<b>0.63</b>	<b>3.41</b>		22
	<b>0.72</b>	<b>3.35</b>		23
	<b>0.63</b>	<b>3.34</b>		18
	<b>0.67</b>	<b>3.33</b>		5
	<b>0.66</b>	<b>3.19</b>		2
	<b>0.75</b>	<b>2.90</b>		3

( 15.4 )

" (3.75 – 2.90)

"

" (3.75)

"

.(2.90)

2.3.4

: (16.4)

	<b>0.49</b>	<b>3.79</b>		13
	<b>0.52</b>	<b>3.69</b>		1
	<b>0.60</b>	<b>3.55</b>		10
	<b>0.55</b>	<b>3.55</b>		7
	<b>0.63</b>	<b>3.47</b>		9
	<b>0.76</b>	<b>3.37</b>		15
	<b>0.60</b>	<b>3.35</b>		11
	<b>0.71</b>	<b>3.25</b>		16
	<b>0.71</b>	<b>2.94</b>		14
	<b>0.85</b>	<b>2.63</b>		4

(16.4)

" (3.79 - 2.63)

"

" (3.79)

"

.(2.63)

:

: 4.4

:

:

:

4.1.4

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

.

( 17.4 ) ( )

( ) : ( 17.4 )

<b>0.02</b>	<b>2.29</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>0.33</b>	<b>3.70</b>	<b>75</b>	
			<b>0.29</b>	<b>3.58</b>	<b>75</b>	

(17.4 )



$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

:

2.4.4

( 18.4 )

( )

( )

: ( 18.4 )

<b>0.49</b>	<b>0.70</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>0.36</b>	<b>3.60</b>	<b>75</b>	
			<b>0.30</b>	<b>3.65</b>	<b>75</b>	

( 18.4 )

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

:

3.4.4

.( 19.4 )

: ( 19.4 )

<b>0.34</b>	<b>3.60</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>0.31</b>	<b>3.58</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>10 - 5</b>
<b>0.29</b>	<b>3.71</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>10</b>

( 19.4 )

.( 20.4 )

: ( 20.4 )

0.05	3.02	0.29	0.58	2	
		0.09	13.99	147	
		—	14.56	149	

(LSD)

.(21.4)

(LSD) : (21.4)

<b>10</b>	<b>10 - 5</b>	<b>5</b>		
-	-	-	3.60	<b>5</b>
*0.13	-	-	3.58	<b>10 - 5</b>
-	-	-	3.71	<b>10</b>

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

\*

( **10** ) ( **10 - 5** )

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

:

**4.4.4**

.( 22.4 )

: ( 22.4 )

0.34	3.64	48	
0.27	3.59	37	
0.34	3.73	20	
0.30	3.65	45	

( 22.4 )

.( 23.4 )

: ( 23.4 )

0.49	0.81	0.08	0.24	3	
		0.10	14.33	146	
		—	14.56	149	

( 23.4 )

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

:

5.4.4

( 24.4 ) ( )

( ) : ( 24.4 )

0.00	4.33	148	0.30	3.71	106	
			0.29	3.48	44	

( 24.4 )

:

: 4.5

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

:

:

1.5.4

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

.

(0.000)

(0.406)

. ( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )



1.5

:

" "

(0.29)

(3.4)

(3.42)

(3.47)

(3.31)

(3.40)

.(3.26)

)

(2007

.

:

:

(2002 )

-

و

و

و‘

(2007 )



(2007)

(Geda & Larocco,2006)

(2006)

(2005)

(1998)

**2.5**

:

:

:

**1.2.5**

**( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )**

(2007)

(2006)

: **2.2.5**  
**( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )**

(2007)

: **3.2.5**  
**( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )**

(2007)

(2006)

: **4.2.5**  
**( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )**

.(2000

)

(2007)

(2006)

:

**5.2.5**

**( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )**

(2007)

:

**3.5**

"

(0.31)

(3.85)

(3.64)

.(3.26)

"

(Jacobs, 2003)

.

(Taimalu & Oim, 2005)

.

:

4.5

:

:

:

1.45

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

.

.

(Riggs & Enochos 1990)

Coladarci, )

(1992

(Edwards et al, 1996)

: **2.4.5**

**( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )**

(Edwards et al, 1996)

: **3.4.5**

**( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )**

(Edwards et al, 1996)

(Trantham, 1985)

(Laat & Watters, 1995)

: **4.4.5**  
**( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )**



5.4.5  
( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

5.5  
( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

1.5.5  
( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

.(0.000)

(0.406)

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

**6.5**

:

.1

.2

.3

.4

.5

:

.(1996) .

.( ) .

.(2006) .

.( ) .

.(2004) .

.(2003) .

.( ) .

.(2006) .

.( ) .

.(2007) .

.(2006) .

.( ) .

.(2008) .

.(1992) .

.( ) .

.(2000) .

) .

.(

.(2007) .

.( )

.(2000)

.(2005) .

) .

.(

.(1999) .

.(2002) .

.(2003) .

.104-88 (1) 30 .

2 . .(2008) .

.(2006) .

.196-181 (1)33 .

.(1998) .

.244-207 13 .

.(2006) .

.(1993) .

.309-275 28 .

.(2000) .

.( ) .

.(2001) .

.(2006) .

.( ) .

.(2000) .

.(1988) .

(1) 24 .

.119-143

.(1998) .

.( ) .

.(2009) .

.(2004) .

.( ) .

.(2005) .

2 .

.(2002) .

6

.(2004) .

.(2007) .

.(2003) .

.101-76 (2)7 .

.(2007) .

.(1990) .

.( ) .

.(1999) .

.(1992) .

.(2008) .

.(1989) .

.( )

.(2005) .

.(2006) .

.125-97 (2)34 .

.(1994) .

.(2005) .

.(2003) .

.( ) .



.(2003) .

.

.

.

.

.(2001) .

:

Abusharbain, E. (2002). Enhancing inservice teacher's constructivist epistemology through the development and redesign of Inquiry –based Investigations together with their Students. **Electronic Journal Of Science Education**, 7 (1) 1 –10.

Ajewole, G. (1991). Effects of discovery and expository instructional methods on the attitude of students to biology. **Journal of Research in Science Teaching**, 28 (5), 401 – 409.

Ashman, A. & Conway, R. (1997). An introduction to cognitive education, PadStow, Cornwall, London.

Ashton, P. (1984). Teacher efficacy: A motivational paradigm for effective teacher education. **Journal of Teacher Education**, 35, 28-32.

Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. **Psychological Review**, 84, 121-215.

Bandura, A. (1989). Self-efficacy mechanism in Human Agency. **American Psychological Association**, 44( 9), 1175-1184.

Barling, J. & Slater, E. (2000). Transformational leadership and emotional intelligence, **An Exploratory Study of leadership Organization Development Journal**, 21(21), 157-161.

Battista, M. (1999). Fifth Graders' Enumeration of Cubes in 3D Arrays: Conceptual Progress in an Inquiry-Based Classroom. **Journal of Science And Mathematics Education**, 30 (4), 417 – 448.

Chen, M. (1999). Benefits of investigations as non-traditional assessments in secondary mathematics.  
[www.aare.edu.au/99pap/che99394.htm](http://www.aare.edu.au/99pap/che99394.htm)

Clark, C. (2002). Epistemologically authentic inquiry in schools: A theoretical framework for evaluating inquiry tasks. **Science Education**, 86( 2), 175 – 218.

Coladarci, T. (1992). Teachers' Sense of Efficacy and Commitment to Teaching. **Journal of Experimental Education**, 60(4), 323-337.

Collins, A. (1986). A Sample Dialogue Based on a Theory of Inquiry Teaching. **ERIC NO.** ED266423.

Damnjanovic, A.(1999). Attitudes toward inquiry-based teaching: Differences between preservice and in-service teachers. **School Science and Mathematics**, **99** ( 2) , 71-76.

Edwards, J. (1996). Teacher efficacy and school and teacher characteristics. **ERIC NO** ED39755.

Eick, C. & Reed, C. (2002). What makes an inquiry-oriented science teacher? The influence of learning histories on student teacher role identity and practice. **Science Education**, **86**( 3),401-416.

Enochs, G. & Riggs, M. (1990). Further Development of and Elementary Science Teaching Efficacy Belief Instrument: A preservice Elementary scale. **School Science and Mathematics**, **20**(8), 624-705.

Enochs, L. Smith, P. & Huinker, D.(2000). Establishing factorial validity of the mathematics teaching efficacy beliefs instrument. **School Science and Mathematics**, **100** , 194-203.

Fives, H. (2003). **What is teacher efficacy and how does it relate to teachers' knowledge? A theoretical review**, paper presented at the American Educational Research Association Annual Conference, Chicago , April , 2003 .

Gado, I. (2005). Determinants of K-2 school teachers' orientation towards inquiry-based science activities: A mixed method study. **International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education** , **3**( 4), 511-539.

Geda, L. & Larocco, D. (2006). ED501253 - Inquiry-Based Instruction in Secondary Science Classrooms: A Survey of Teacher Practice.

**ERIC NO** ED501253

Glen, F. (2001). A Comparison of an Inquiry and a Direct Instruction Approach to Teaching Legal Concepts and Applications to Secondary School Students. **Journal of Educational Research**, **76** (5), 287-293.

Goossen, L. (2002). Classroom Questioning Strategies as Indicators of Inquiry-Based Science Instruction. **Dissertation Abstracts International**, **63**(2), (UMI No. 3080433).

Greenwood, G. Olejnik, S. & Parkay, F. (1990). Relationships between four teacher efficacy belief patterns and selected teacher characteristics. **Journal of Research an Developmen in Education**, **23**(2), 102-106.

Harlen, W. (2004). **Evaluating inquiry-based science developments**. A paper commissioned by the national research council in preparation for a meeting on the status of evaluation of inquiry-based science education.

Huang, X, Liu, M & Shiomi, K. (2007). An analysis of the relationships between teacher efficacy, teacher self esteem and orientations to seeking help . **Social Behavior and Personality**, **35**(5), 707-716.

Hung, C. (2005). Science teaching efficacy beliefs. *Academic Exchange Quarterly*.

[http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi\\_hb3325/is\\_4\\_9/ai\\_n29236291](http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_hb3325/is_4_9/ai_n29236291)

Jacobs, W.(2003). **Seven steps for improving instructional skills : Reminders for teacher**, Atlanta, GA: Higher Education and Leadership Preparation Inc.

Latt, T. & Watters, J. (1995). Science teaching self-efficacy in a primary school: A case study. **Research in Science Education**, **25** (4), 453-464.

Lunsford, E. (2002). Inquiry in community college biology lab, aresearch rebort and amodel for making it happen. **Journal of College Science Teaching**, **20**(4), 35-44.

Mao, S. & Chang, C.(1999). Impacts of an inquiry teaching method on earth science students' learning outcomes and attitudes at the secondary school level. **Proc. Natl. Sci. Counc. ROC(D)**, **8**(3) , 93-101

Marshall, J. Horton, R. Igo, B. & Switzer, D. (2008). K-12 Science and mathematics teachers' beliefs about and use of inquiry in the classroom. **International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education**, **7** (3), 575-596.

Onafowora, L. (2004). Teacher Efficacy Issues in the Practice of Novice Teachers. **Educational Research Quarterly**, **28** (4), 34 – 43.

Ongley, p. (1978). Scientific inquiry and teaching of science. **Science Education**, **62**(3), 427-428.

Plourde, L. (2002). The influence of student teaching on preservice elementary teachers' science self-efficacy and outcome expectancy beliefs. *Journal of Instructional Psychology*, 29.  
[http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi\\_m0FCG/is\\_4\\_29/ai\\_95148386](http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_m0FCG/is_4_29/ai_95148386)

- Poulou , M. (2007). Personal teaching efficacy and its sources: Student teachers' perceptions . **Educational Psychology**, **27** ( 2), 191–218.
- Richardson, G. & Liang, L. (2008). The use of inquiry in the development of preservice teacher efficacy in mathematics and science. **Journal of Elementary Science Education**, **20**(1), 1-16
- Rieff, J. (1996). Bridging home and school through multiple, Original Publication: **Childhood Education**, **72** (3), 164-166.
- Robert, Y. Nor, H. & Hakan, A. (2005). The Effects of Varied Inquiry Experiences on Teacher and Student Questions and Actions in STS Classrooms. **Bulletin of Science, Technology & Society**, **25** (5), 426-434.
- Ross, J. (1995). Strategies for enhancing teachers beliefs in their effectiveness. **Teacher Collage Record**, 97
- Schwarz, C. & Gwekwerere, Y. ( 2007). Using a guided inquiry and modeling instructional framework (EIMA) to support preservice K-8 science teaching. **Science Education**, **91**(1), 158-186.
- Sola, A.. & Ojo, O. (2007). Effects of project, inquiry and lecture-demonstration teaching methods on senior secondary students' achievement in separation of mixtures practical test. **Educational Research and Review**, **2**(6), 124-132.
- Sternberg, J.& Willims, M.(2004).**Educational Psychology**, London: Allyn & Bacon.
- Taimalu, M & Oim, O. (2005). The Estonian Teachers Beliefs Teachers Efficacy Influence Factors. **TRAMES**, **9** (2), 177–191.
- Thompson, S. (2003). Development of Framework to Measure Science Teachers Inquiry Perceptions and Practices. **Paper presented of the association for the education of science**.
- Trantham, S. & Brgdon, R. (1985). Teacher efficacy and teacher competency ratings. **Psychology in the School**, **22**, 343-350.
- Tretter, T. & Jones, M. (2003). Relationships between inquiry-based teaching and physical science standardized test scores. **School Science and Mathematics**, **103** (7).  
[http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi\\_qa3667/is\\_200311/ai\\_n9321426](http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_qa3667/is_200311/ai_n9321426).

Trowbridge, L. & Bybee, R. & Powell, J (2000). **Teaching Secondary School Science**. Merrill, an imprint of prentice hall.

Withee, T & Lindell, R.(2005). **Different Views on Inquiry:A Survey of Science and Mathematics Methods Instructors**, Physics Astronomy Chemistry Biology Education Research Group, Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville, Edwardsville, IL.



.1

.

.2

.

.3

.

.4

.

:(1) .1

/ ..... / /

:

"

"

:

:

(x)

. ( ) ( ) : -1

. ( ) ( ) ( ) : -2

: -3

. 10 ( ) 10-5 ( ) 5 ( )

. ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) : -4

. ( ) ( ) : -5



( ✓ )

المؤشر	البيان	دائماً	أحياناً	نادراً	أبداً
-1					
-2					
-3	(				
-1					
-2					
-3					
-4					
-1					
-2					
-3					
-4					
-5					
-6					
-7					
-8					
-1					
-2					
-3					
-4					
-5					
-6					

				.	-7	
				.	-8	
				"	-9	
				.	-1	
				.	-2	
				.	-3	
				.	-4	
				.	-5	
				.	-6	
				.	-7	
				.	-8	
				.	-9	
				.	-10	
				" "	-11	

:



	.	.1
	.	.2
	.	.3
	.	.4
	.	.5
	.	.6
/	.	.7
/	.	.8
/	.	.9
/	.	.10
/	.	.11
/	.	.12
/	.	.13

3. (3):

( )

/ :

)

.(

شاكرآ لكم حسن تعاونكم

:

:

(x)

( ) ( ) : -1

( ) ( ) : -2

:

-3

10 ( ) 10-5 ( ) 5 ( )

( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) : -4

( ) ( ) : -5

: ( ✓ ) :

رقم الفقرة	الفقرة	دائماً	أحياناً	نادراً	أبداً
.1					
.2					
.3	( )				
.4					
.5					
.6					
.7					
.8					
.9					
.10					
.11					
.12					
.13					
.14					
.15					
.16					
.17					
.18					
.19					

					.20
					.21
					.22
					.23
					.24
				" "	.25
					.26
					.27
					.28
					.29
					.30
					.31
					.32
					.33
					.34
				" "	.35



( ✓ ) :

رقم الفقرة	الفقرة				
.1					
.2					
.3					
.4					
.5					
.6					
.7					
.8	-	-			
.9					
.10					
.11					
.12					
.13					
.14					
.15					

						.16
						.17
						.18
						.19
						.20
						.21
						.22
						.23

## بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

Palestinian National Authority  
Ministry of Education & Higher Education  
Directorate of Education  
Southern Hebron



السلطة الوطنية الفلسطينية  
وزارة للتربية والتعليم العالي  
مديرية التربية والتعليم  
جنوب الخليل

التاريخ: ٢٠٠٩/٢/١٩ م

الرقم: ج/خ/١٩٥ / ٢٠٠٩

حضرات مديري ومديرات المدارس المحترمين

### المبحث: الدراسة الميدانية

الإشارة: كتاب جامعة القدس رقم (ب م/١٩٦/١٢/٠٩) بتاريخ (٢٠٠٩/١/٣ م)

بعد التحية...

لا مانع لدي من توزيع استبانات الباحث ' حمزة محمد عوني الصغير ' وتعبئتها من قبل معلمي العلوم للمرحلة الأساسية العليا في مدرستكم، بعنوان " واقع ممارسة معلمي العلوم في المرحلة الأساسية العليا لاستراتيجيات التدريس القائمة على الاستقصاء العلمي في جنوب الضفة الغربية وعلاقته بمعتقدات فاعلية الذات التدريسية " .

\* على أن تسلم قبل يوم الثلاثاء ٢٠٠٩/٢/٢٤ لدى الاشراف / محمود شويح

مدير التربية والتعليم  
أ. فؤاد أبو هنبل



السلطة الوطنية الفلسطينية

مجلس إشراف  
السلطة الوطنية الفلسطينية  
٢٠٠٩

قسم التعليم العام

م/خ/١٩٥/٢٠٠٩

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

Palestinian National Authority	السلطة الوطنية الفلسطينية
Ministr of Education & Higher Edu	وزارة التربية والتعليم العالي
Directorate of Education / Hebron	مديرية التربية والتعليم / الخليل



الرقم: ٢٢٩٩ / ١٩  
التاريخ: 2009/2/11  
الموافق: 1430/2/16 هـ

حضرة مديرة مدرسة  
المحترمة/ة  
الموضوع: تطبيق استبانة

بعد التحية،،،

ارجو مساعدة الطالب/ة... بجمهورية... مجلسهم... الصبح... القادم/ة إلينا من  
جامعة... التخصص... تخصص... أجبيا... من أجل تطبيق  
استبانته/ها المرفقة... و... بعد... وان  
... واجمع... العلم... المرحلة... العليا... بتجارب... المعلم...،،،،،

مع الاحترام

أ. نسرين ياسر عمرو  
مدير التربية والتعليم



ع.ج.ت. د/التعليم العام

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

Palestinian National Authority

Ministry of Education & Higher Education

Directorate of Education /North Hebron



السلطة الوطنية الفلسطينية  
وزارة التربية والتعليم العالي  
مديرية التربية والتعليم / شمال الخليل

رقم: ١٥٠٨/١٨٨/٢

التاريخ: ٢٠٠٩/٢/١٥

الوقت: ١٥/٢/١٤٣١هـ

حضرة مدير/ة مدرسة.....للبنين/ات المحترم/ة

### الموضوع: تطبيق استثنائه

بعد التحية،،،

أرجو مساعدة الطالب "حمزة محمد عوني الصغير" والقادم إلينا من جامعة(القدس) تخصص (ماجستير أساليب تدريس) من أجل تطبيق استثنائه المرفقة وهي بعنوان "واقع ممارسة معلمي العلوم في المرحلة الأساسية العليا لإستراتيجيات التدريس القائمة على الاستقصاء العلمي في جنوب الضفة الغربية وعلاقته بمعتقدات فاعلية الذات التدريسية".

مع الاحترام

أستأمر طه بوب  
مدير التربية والتعليم



ع.د. / ط. / التعليم العام





58	.	1.3
60	.	2.3
61	.	3.3
64	.	1.4
65	.	2.4
66	.	3.4
67	.	4.4
68	.	5.4
69	.	6.4

70	( )	7.4
71	( )	8.4
72		9.4
72		10.4
73		11.4
73		12.4
74	( )	13.4
75		14.4

76		15.4
77		16.4
78	( )	17.4
79	( )	18.4
80		19.4
80		20.4
81	(LSD)	21.4
82		22.4
82		23.4
83	( )	24.4



---

110	1
115	2
116	3
121	4

---

1

:

1

5

7

9

9

10

11

12

**الفصل الثاني: الإطار النظري والدراسات السابقة**

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

24

25

27

31

31

32

36

36

42

52

55

57

:

57

57

57

58

59

61

61

62

62

64

:

64

70

71

71

73

74

74

78

79

79

81

83

83

الحادية عشرة

85

85

85

87

88

89

89

90

91

92

93

93	
94	
95	
95	الحادية عشرة
97	
98	
98	
104	المراجع الأجنبية
109	الملاحق
124	الفهارس
124	فهرس الجداول
127	فهرس الملاحق
128	فهرس المحتويات