

-

**2012 / 1433**

-

-

.

:

-

-

-



:  
**20913450 :**

2012/5/22 :

- .....: . : .1  
.....: . : .2  
.....: . : .3

-

.

.....

.

.....

.

.....

.

.

.

...

.

.

•

.

.....:

:

.....:



:

.(2003 )

:

.(2005 )

:

)

:

( )

.(1995

( )  
( )

(102)

.(120)

%85

.(SPSS)

:

( )  
( )

( )

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

.( )

( )

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

)

.(

:

( )

-

-



( )

# **Administrative Processes Engineering in the Ministry of Detainees and Ex-Detainees from the point of Managers and General Managers View.**

**Prepared by: Bashar Kaemi**

**Supervisor: Majeed Mansour**

## **Abstract:**

This study aimed at recognizing administrative processes engineering in the ministry of detainees and ex-detainees from the point of managers and general managers view in the aspects of restructuring, strategic planning, political managements, training, workers skills development and information technology as recognizing the obstacles that face the work of the basics of engineering reforming processes in the ministry and at knowing the impact of researched people qualities upon their answers concerning qualification, sex, experience, working place and major. The descriptive approach was used as a study curriculum; the sample included all managers and general managers working in the ministry around the West Bank who are (120). A random sample of (102) was chosen about %85 of the whole study sample, and to achieve the goals of the study, the researcher built up a questionnaire based on theoretical literature and previous studies. The questionnaire was assured by a committee, Cronbach's Alpha was used to assure the questionnaire validity, and also the data were processed by the (SPSS).

The study found the following results: the degree of certainty for the administrative processes in the ministry was low, as the whole degree of re-engineering process was low, but the obstacles degree of restructuring was high. The study also showed that there is a statistical relationship in the level ( $\alpha < 0.05$ ) between the reality of processes (planning, organizing, directing, and monitoring) in the Ministry of Detainees and Ex-Detainees and Processes Restructuring Engineering, and there are no statistical differences in the level ( $\alpha < 0.05$ ) concerning restructuring engineering processes in the ministry related to the variables of (sex, position, working place, major, qualification and years of experience).

Upon what the results the study reached to, the researcher recommended the followings: reinforce the concept of restructuring managerial processes in the high administration of the Ministry of Detainees and Ex-detainees, and foster restructuring as a method to improve work efficiency, planning efficiency improvement of work mainly strategic planning upon scientific basics, use an effective structure of the ministry contributing with fulfilling the goals and gets on with the work environment, use an auditing system and curriculum agreed upon, restructuring engineering culture breaking out towards managers and general managers comparatively.

Reinforce of workers' contribution in making decisions and being authorized to do what they find suitable with the work, training the workers in the ministry of all levels of engineering restructuring level, and forming a special unit majored at engineering and restructuring system in the ministry.

---

1.1

)

(

.

- -

.(2006 )

- -

.(2009 ) ( )

( )

.(2003 )

:

- 
- 
- 
- 

.(2010 )

( )

: **.2.1**

( )

):

.( ( )

: **3.1**

:

.(2008)

•

•

.(2009)

( )

(2010)

( )

•

•

•

**4.1**

•

•

.( )

•

•

•

: **5.1**

:

( )

:

•

•

( )

:

○

○

○

)  
.(

○  
○

●  
●

6.1

:

:

:

●

○  
○  
○  
○

( )

:

●

:

○

( )

( )

○

( )

○

( )

○

( )

○

( )

○

( ) :

7.1

:

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ ) :

( )

:( )

o

( )

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ ) o

( )

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ ) o

( )

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ ) o

( )

( $\leq 0.05$ ) :

( ) ( $\alpha$

.)

8.1

:

:

.2012 2011

:



: •



:

( )

: 1.2

( )

:(Administration) .1.1.2

.(2008 )

: .2.1.2

### Administrative

:(2007 )

:(taylor 1903) •

:(Fayol 1916) •

:(Drucker 1954) •

: •

.(2007 )

: •

.(1998 )

:

•

•

.(2009 )

•

: **.3.1.2**

:

- 
- 
- 

- 

.(1998 )

: **.4.1.2**

:

- 

( )

- 

- 

.(17 1995 )

: **5.1.2**

( )

•

( )

•

•

•

(20 2010 )

:(Public Administration) :

.1.5.1.2

"

(2009 )

"

:

.2.5.1.2

(1994 )

":( )

."

"

."

:

. (2009 )

:

**.3.5.1.2**

:

:

•

:

•

:

•

:

•

:

•

:

•

.(2009 )

**: The Management Process**

**.6.1.2**

.(2005 )

**: .1.6.1.2**

**: Planning : .1.1.6.1.2**

.(2007 )

**: .1.1.1.6.1.2**

:

:

— : ●  
: ●

(2006 )

: ●

: ●  
: ●  
: ●

.(1998 )

: ●

: **.2.1.1.6.1.2**

: ●

: ○



- 
- 
- 
- -
- 

●

:

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

●

(2003 ) .

●

(2007 ) .

●

(2008 ) .

: **.3.1.1.6.1.2**

:

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

(2007 )

: **.4.1.1.6.1.2**

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

.(2005 )

: **.5.1.1.6.1.2**

-

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

(2008 )

: **.6.1.1.6.1.2**

:

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

(2006 )

**:Organizing** : **.2.1.6.1.2**

: **.1.2.1.6.1.2**

-

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

.(1998 )

: **.2.2.1.6.1.2**

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

.(2006 )

: **.3.2.1.6.1.2**

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

.(2007 )

: **.4.2.1.6.1.2**

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

.(2005 )

: **.5.2.1.6.1.2**

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

.(2004 )

: **.6.2.1.6.1.2**

.  
.  
.  
.  
(2010 )

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

: **.7.2.1.6.1.2**

: •

( ) : •

: ( ) •

.( ) : •

)

.(1997

: **.8.2.1.6.1.2**

: •

•

•

•

•

•

(1997 )

**:Directing : .3.1.6.1.2**

(2006 )

**: .1.3.1.6.1.2**

.(2007 )

: **.2.3.1.6.1.2**

:

: •

: •

: •

: •

: •

.(2007 )



:

:

•

.

:

•

.

:

•

.

:

•

.

.

:

•

.

.(2005 )

:

**.3.3.1.6.1.2**

:

•

•

•

•

•

•

)

.(2006

**:Controlling : .4.1.6.1.2**

.(1998 )

**: .1.4.1.6.1.2**

:

/

•

:

•

: •

•

.(304 2007 )

: **.2.4.1.6.1.2**

: .

•

•

•

.(2007 )

: **.3.4.1.6.1.2**

:

:

:

•

: ○

: ○

:

:

•

:

○

.

:

○

.

:

○

.

:

:

•

:

○

.

:

○

... ..

:

:

•

:

○

.

:

○

.

:

:

•

:

○

:

○

:

○

.(29 - 26 2008 )

:

**.4.1.6.1.2**

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

.(287 - 286 2008 )

:

**.5. 4.1.6.1.2**

:

: •

: •

: •

: •

: •

.(85- 80 1995 )

:

**.6.4.1.6.1.2**

). .

:

.(1997

•

•

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

2008 )

.(286

:

**.7.4.1.6.1.2**

:

- 
- 
- 
- 
-

.(25-3 1985 )

•

: .7.1.2

:

: •

: •

: •

.(2007 )

: .8.1.2

: .1.8.1.2

1992

( )



: .(2008 )

" (2009 )

."

" (2009 ) (Rusell & tayler, 2000)

."

" (2009 ) (Raymond, 1998)

.

" (2009 )

."

" (2009 )

."

"

:

:

:( Fundamental Rethinking) •

:(Radical Redesign) •

:(dramatic Results) •

:(Processes) •

)

.(2003

: **.2.8.1.2**

:

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

.(2010 )



(34-33 2009 )

- 
- 
- 

: .5.8.1.2

:

- 

- 

- 

- 

- 

- 

- 

- 

-

•

. (2006 )

: **.6.8.1.2**

:

: •

: •

: •

: •

:( ) •

:

( )

.(2009 )

: **7.8.1.2**

.(2009 )

: **.8.8.1.2**

:

: •

)

(1980

•

:

•

.(1995 )

: **.9.8.1.2**

:(83 1995

: •

: •

: •

: **.10.8.1.2**

( )

- - : : •

(2009 )

: : •

: (2010 )

.

.

(2006 )

: : •

(2003 )

.

.

.(2009 )

: : •

(1997 )

.(2007 )

: : •

.(2005 )



(2009 )

: : •

(2009 )

: **.11.8.1.2**

:

•

•

•

•

(183 2004 )

:

.12.8.1.2

:

:

•

:

•

:

•

:

•

:

•

:

•

:

•

: •

: •

: •

: •

: •

: •

.(2003 )

: **.13.8.1.2**

- 
- 
- 

- 
- 

-

•

•

.(2008 )

:

**.9.1.2**

( )

:

: **.1 9.1.2**

•

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

)

.(2007

:

: **2.9.1.2**

,

:

.

:

•

•

)

.

•

(

•

•

•

•

•



•

•

:

:

**.4.9.1.2**

:

:

•

•

•

•

•



(2007 )

•

:

.10.1.2

7/8/1998

750

1967

:

.1.10.1.2

:

.2.10.1.2

:

•

•

•

•

•

.3.10.1.2

:

(2012

)

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

: 2.2

:

:

.1.2.2

: (2010) .

(1528)

)% 28)

(429)

(35)

(0.05 ≥  $\alpha$ )

: (2010)

(25)

( )

: (2009) .

(150)

: (2008) .

(483)

(300)

: (2008)

(300)

" "

: (2006)

"

(150)

: (2006)

(430)

: (2006)

(500)

: (2002)

(%50) (132)

(264)

(61)

(2001)

(185)

(70)

:

**.2.2.2**

: (2010)

( )

( )

(827)

: (2009)

(47)



: (2008)

(862)

(250)

: (2008)

(50)

(57)

: (2006)

"

"

(322)

(1986)

: (2004).

(276)

(%63)

(%28.3)

(2004)

(2981)

(447)

:" (2003)

(200)

: (2002)

( )

: **3.2.2**

: (Abdous & Wuhe , 2008)

4

%8

(Bujoreanu,2005)

: (Hentz, Bretshneider,2000)

(570)

:

**.4.2.2**

( )

:

- (2008) (2010) (2010)  
(2006) (2006) (2008) (2008)  
(2001) (2002) (2002) (2004) (2006)  
( )
- (2008) (2009) (2009) (2010)  
(2003) (2004) (Bujoreanu,2005) (2006)  
(Abdous & Wuhe , 2008) (Hentz,Bretshneider,2000)
- (2004) (2008) (2009) (2009)  
(2003)

:

•

•

•

•

•

•

•



1.3

2.3

(120)

: 3.3

)



(102)

(6.3 5.3 4.3 3.3 2.3 1.3)

(%85)

.(1.3)

:

•

:1.3

(%)		
%82.4	84	
%17.6	18	
%100	102	

(1.3)

.(%17.6)

(%82.4)

.(2.3)

:

•

:2.3

(%)		
%18.6	19	
% 65.7	67	
%13.7	14	
%2.0	2	
%100	102	

(2.3)

.(%65)

.(3.3)

:

•

:3.3

(%)		
% 4.9	5	
% 95.1	97	
%100	102	

.(%95)

(3.3)

.(4.3)

:

•

:4.3

(%)		
% 9.8	10	5
% 35.3	36	10 - 5
% 54.9	56	10
%100	102	

)

(4.3)

.(%54.9)

( 10

.(5.3)

":

•

:5.3

(%)		
%38.2	39	( )
%61.8	63	( )
%100	102	

.(%61.8)

.(6.3)

:

•

:6.3

(%)		
%40.2	41	
%59.8	61	
%100	102	

(6.3)

.(%59.8)

**4.3**

( )

: (7.3)

(77)

: -7.3

6	.	1
5	.	2
5	.	3
5	.	4
<b>21</b>		
6	.	1
7	.	2
6	.	3
9	.	4

: -7.3

9	.	5
8	.	6
<b>45</b>	( )	
11	( )	1
<b>11</b>	( )	

( )

:

5  
4  
3

: **.1.4.3**

(12)

( )

. (77)

: **.2.4.3**

(Cronbach Alpha)

.(8.3)

(Cronbach Alpha)

:8.3

0.92	
0.92	
0.88	
0.86	
<b>0.95</b>	
0.83	( )
0.94	
0.96	
0.96	
0.98	
0.95	
<b>0.98</b>	( )
<b>0.91</b>	( )
<b>0.98</b>	

(8.3)

(0.98 - 0.83)

5.3

:

:

•

.2

.1

:

○

.4

.3

.2

.1 :

○

.2

.1 :

○

10 .3 10-5 .2 5 .1 : ○  
 ( ) .2 ( ) .1 : ○  
 / .2 .1 : ○  
 .( ) ○

:

: 6.3

:

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

(102)

(SPSS)

.(Statistical package for social science)

7.3

(spss)

:

- 
-

- (Simple Linear)
- (Independent Samples-T-Test) " "
- (One-Way Analysis of Variance)

---

1.4

( )

Statistical package for social ) (SPSS)

(science

.1.1.4

:

- 
- 
- 
-



(6.4 5.4 4.4 3.4 2.4)

:

:1.4

	%50
	%59.9 -50
	% 69.9 -60
	% 79.9 -70
	%80

. **.1.1.1.4**

:

: -2.4

)

(102 =

	%					
	64.51	0.79	3.23		1	1
	58.24	0.92	2.91		5	2
	57.84	0.90	2.89		4	3
	57.25	1.01	2.86		6	4

: -2.4

)

(102 =

	%					
	53.92	0.95	2.70		2	5
	53.14	0.86	2.66		3	6
	57.40	0.77	2.87			

(1)  
(%64.51)

( )

(2.4)

(3,2 ,6 ,4 ,5)

( )

(%59.9 -%50)

.(%57.40)

( )

: **2.1.1.4**

:

:3.4

	%					
	52.35	0.86	2.62		11	1
	52.16	0.81	2.61		7	2
	51.37	0.85	2.57		8	3
	50.59	0.84	2.53		9	4
	50.39	0.85	2.52		10	5
	51.40	0.74	2.57			

9,8 ,7 ,11)

( )

(3.4)

(%59.9 -%50)

(10,

.(%51.40)

( )

: 3.1.1.4

:

:4.4

	%					
	65.10	0.94	3.25		14	1
	61.18	0.82	3.06		12	2
	56.67	0.87	2.83		13	3
	55.29	0.85	2.76		16	4
	51.57	0.93	2.58		15	5
	58.00	0.73	2.90			

( ) (4.4)  
 (%69.9-%60) .(12,14)

(16,15 ,13) ( )  
 .(%59.9-%50)

( )

.(%58)

: **.4.1.1.4**

:

:5.4

	%					
	66.86	0.84	3.34	( )	18	1
	61.18	0.90	3.06		19	2
	59.41	0.78	2.97		17	3
	59.02	0.91	2.95		20	4
	56.27	0.93	2.81		21	5
	60.60	0.70	3.03			

(19,18)

( )

(5.4)

( )

, (%69.9 -%60)

(21,20 ,17)

.(%59.9-%50)

( )

.(%60.60)

-  
-  
-

**.5.1.1.4**

:6.4

	%				
	60.60	0.70	3.03		1
	58.00	0.73	2.90		2
	57.40	0.77	2.87		3
	51.40	0.74	2.57		4
	56.80	0.61	2.84		

: (6.4)

•

:

. : ○  
. : ○  
. : ○  
. : ○

•

.(%56.80)

( )

(2008)

(2009)

.(2002)

(2008)

(2006)

:

**.2.1.4**

( )

:

•

( )

( )

•

- ( ) •
- ( ) •
- ( ) •
- ( ) •

(13.4 12.4 11.4 10.4 9.4 8.4 7.4)

.1.2.1.4

:( )

:  
( )

:-7.4

( )

	%			( )		
	66.86	0.97	3.34		3	1
	65.29	0.95	3.26		2	2
	58.04	1.21	2.90		5	3
	56.27	0.98	2.81		6	4



: -7.4

( )

	%			( )		
	53.92	0.83	2.70		1	5
	46.08	1.00	2.30		4	6
	57.80	0.74	2.89			

(2,3)

( )

(7.4)

,5)

( )

, (%69.9 -%60)

(1,6

(%959.9-%50)

(%46.08)

(4)

( )

( )

( )

.(%57.80)

( )

.  
:  
**.2.2.1.4**

( )

:

:8.4

	%					
	71.18	0.94	3.56		7	1
	59.61	1.12	2.98		8	2
	57.25	1.07	2.86		11	3
	56.47	1.06	2.82		9	4
	55.49	1.06	2.77		10	5
	53.73	1.07	2.69		12	6
	47.65	0.95	2.38		13	7
	57.40	0.88	2.87	( )		

(7)

( )

(8.4)

(12,11 10,9 ,8) ( ) , (%71.18)

( ) , (%59.9-%50)  
(%47.65) (13)

( )  
.(%57.40)

: **3.2.1.4**

( ) :

: -9.4

	%					
	63.14	1.00	3.16		14	1
	62.75	1.00	3.14		16	2
	62.16	0.99	3.11		15	3

: -9.4

	%					
	60.39	1.08	3.02		17	4
	60.00	1.10	3.00		19	5
	58.82	1.04	2.94		18	6
	61.20	0.95	3.06			

,14)

( )

(9.4)

,17 ,15 ,16

-%60)

(18)

( )

, (%69.9

(%58.82)

.(%61.20)

( )

:

**.4.2.1.4**

( )

:

:10.4

	%					
	54.51	1.07	2.73		21	1
	50.20	1.05	2.51		24	2
	49.80	1.02	2.49		26	3
	49.41	1.02	2.47		23	4
	49.41	0.96	2.47		20	5
	48.43	1.00	2.42		28	6
	48.24	1.01	2.41		27	7
	46.08	1.01	2.30		22	8
	45.88	0.92	2.29		25	9
	49.20	0.88	2.46			

(24,21)

( )

(10.4)

, (%59.9-%50)

(25,22 ,27 ,28 ,20 ,23 ,26)

( )

.(%50 )

.(%49.20)

( )

: **.5.2.1.4**

( ) :

: -11.4

	%					
	58.82	1.15	2.94		29	1
	55.49	1.27	2.77		37	2
	54.90	1.21	2.75		34	3
	54.71	1.22	2.74		36	4
	54.71	1.26	2.74		33	5
	54.31	1.14	2.72		30	6
	54.12	1.17	2.71		31	7

: -11.4

	%					
	53.33	1.21	2.67		32	8
	51.18	1.22	2.56		35	9
	54.60	1.12	2.73			

( )

(11.4)

(35,32 ,31 ,30 ,33 ,36 ,34 ,37 ,29)

.(%59.9 -%50)

( )

.(%54.60)

:

**.6.2.1.4**

( )

:

:12.4

	64.51	0.99	3.23		44	1
	60.00	1.00	3.00		38	2
	59.61	1.06	2.98		41	3
	59.22	1.04	2.96		40	4
	58.82	1.02	2.94		45	5
	58.04	0.97	2.90		42	6
	56.27	1.02	2.81		39	7
	54.12	0.99	2.71		43	8
	58.80	0.88	2.94			

( ) (12.4)  
 , (%69.9 -%60) (38,44)  
 (43,39 ,42 ,45 ,40 ,41) ( )  
 .(%59.9-%50)



( )

.(%58.80)

( )

( )

.7.2.1.4

:13.4

( )

	%			( )	
	61.20	0.95	3.06		1
	58.80	0.88	2.94		2
	57.80	0.74	2.89	( )	3
	57.40	0.88	2.87		4
	54.60	1.12	2.73		5
	49.20	0.88	2.46		6
	56.60	0.77	2.83		

: (13.4)

( )

:

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 

( ) ( )  
 .(%56.60)

( )

(2009) (2010) (2006) (2009)  
 .(2004)

**3.1.4**

( ) :

(14.4)

:14.4

( )

	%			( )		
	83.92	0.86	4.20		7	1
	80.59	0.93	4.03		9	2
	79.80	0.83	3.99		4	3
	79.02	0.96	3.95		6	4
	78.43	1.00	3.92		11	5
	77.84	0.96	3.89		3	6
	75.49	1.12	3.77		8	7
	74.90	1.05	3.75		5	8
	74.90	1.11	3.75		10	9
	72.75	1.00	3.64		1	10
	64.90	1.22	3.25		2	11
	76.60	0.74	3.83			

: (14.4)



: 4.1.4

: .1.4.1.4

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

( )

.( )

: (15.4)

:15.4

( )

Sig(t)	T	$\beta$	Sig(f)	F	R <sup>2</sup>	R	
0.82	0.22	0.0531					(Constant)
0.000	11.77	0.966	0.000	138.44	0.58	0.76	

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

(15.4)

, ( )

.(.( )

(%58)

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

.( )

( )

( )

(2010)

(2006) (2008) (2008) (2009)

:

:

( )  $(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

:

(16.4)

:16.4

( )

Sig(t)	t	$\beta$	Sig(f)	F	R <sup>2</sup>	R	
0.000	4.10	0.921	0.000	75.03	0.43	0.66	(Constant)
0.000	8.66	0.654					

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

(16.4)

(%43)

( )

( )

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

( )

(2010)

(2006) (2008)

( )

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

(17.4)

:17.4

( )

Sig(t)	t	$\beta$	Sig(f)	f	R <sup>2</sup>	R	
0.000	5.85	1.38	0.000	39.09	0.28	0.53	(Constant)
0.000	6.25	0.552					

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

(17.4)

( )

( )

(%28)

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

( )

(2008)

(2009)

( )

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

: (18.4)

:18.4

( )

Sig(t)	t	$\beta$	Sig(f)	f	R <sup>2</sup>	R	
0.002	3.16	0.736	0.000	83.12	0.45	0.67	(Constant)
0.000	9.12	0.712					

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

(18.4)

, ( )

.( )

(%45)

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

.( )

(2008)

(2009)

(2010)

:

( )

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

(19.4)

:



:19.4

( )

Sig(t)	t	$\beta$	Sig(f)	f	R <sup>2</sup>	R	
0.01	2.53	0.654	0.000	72.22	0.42	0.65	(Constant)
0.000	8.50	0.709					

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

(19.4)

, ( )

.( )

(%42)

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

.( )

(2006)

(2008)

(2010)

:

**.2.4.1.4**

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

)

( )

.(

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

:

•

( )

Independent T-test

( )

(20.4)

( )

:20.4

		18= /		84= /	
0.50	0.68	0.97	2.69	0.72	2.82

(1.98) ( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

(0.68)

(20.4)

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

(1.98)

( )

( )

(2010)

(2009)

(2008)

(2009)

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

: •

( )

Independent T-test

( )

(21.4)

( )

:21.4

		97= /		5= /	
0.83	0.21	0.76	2.80	0.96	2.87

(1.98) ( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

(0.21)

(21.4)

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

(1.98)

( )

(2009)

(2008)

(2004)

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

: •

( )

Independent T-test

( )

(22.4)

( )

:22.4

		63= /		39= /	
0.40	0.85	0.70	2.85	0.86	2.72

(0.85) (22.4)  
 $(\alpha \leq 0.05)$  (1.98)  
 ( )

(2008) (2010)  
 ( )

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$  : •  
 ( )  
 Independent T-test ( )  
 (23.4)  
 ( ) :23.4

		61= /		41= /	
0.39	0.86	0.77	2.75	770	2.88

(1.98)  $(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

(0.86) (23.4)  
 $(\alpha \leq 0.05)$  (1.98)  
 ( )

(2010)

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

( )

ANOVA

(25.4)

(24.4)

( )

:24.4

2=	14=	67=	19=
2.82	2.82	2.81	2.73

( )

:25.4

0.98	0.06	0.038	0.113	3	
		0.606	59.365	98	
			59.478	101	

(2.70) ( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

(0.06)

(25.4)

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

(2.70)

( )

(2006) (2008) (2010)  
( )  
(2009)

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ ) :

( )

ANOVA

(27.4)

(26.4)

( )

:26.4

<b>56= / 10</b>	<b>36= / 10 -5</b>	<b>10= / 5</b>
2.85	2.87	2.28

( )

:27.4

0.08	2.61	1.488	2.977	2	
		0.571	56.502	99	
			59.478	101	

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ ) (2.61) (27.4) (3.09)  
( ) ( )

(2009) (2010) (2006)  
(2008) (2009) (2004)



.( )

: 1.5

:

- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
- 
-



( )

•  
•

( )

•

( )

•

( )

•

- -

: 2.5

:

( )

•

- -

•  
•

•  
•

•

•

•

•

•

•

:

- (2006) .
- (2009).
- (2010) .
- (1985) .
- (1998) .
- (2009) .
- (2009) .
- (2001).
- (2009).
- (1997)
- (2002) .
- (2010).

" : (2006) . ●

" : (2007) . ●

"1" : (1998) . ●

: (2010) . ●

.( ) . : (2008) . ●

: (2008) . ●

: (1994) . ●

: (2006) . ●

.( ) . : (2008) . ●

.( ) . : (2008) . ●

.( ) . : (2009) . ●

: (2008) . ●

: (2002) . ●

: (2010) . ●

).

	.(	
	:(2003) .	●
	:(2008) .	●
	:(2009) .	●
	:(2008) .	●
.(	) .	
	:(2009) .	●
	:(1995) .	●
	:(2008) .	●
	:(2003) .	●
	" "	
	:(2006).	●
	:(2008) .	●
	:(2005) .	●
	:(2007) .	●
	:(2006) .	●
	:(2007).	●

- :(2009) . ●
- :(2006) . ●
- " .
- .(
- :(2007) . ●
- :(2004). ●
- :(2006) . ●
- ( )
- :(2003) . ●
- "
- ) .
- .(
- :(2004) . ●
- :(2010) . ●
- :(1995) . ●
- " : (2007) . ●
- :(2004) . ●
- .( ) .

( ) : (2009) . ●

.( ) . : (2004) . ●

1 : (1998) . ●

( ) ●

.(1995) . " "

<http://www.freedom.ps>, 05.04.2012

:

- Abdous. M , Wu., He.(2008).A Frame Work for Process Reengineering in Higher Education: A case Study of distance Learning exam Scheduling and distribution old dominion university, USA international review of research in open and distance learning ,9.3 , 1492-3831.
- Boujoreanu,I.(2005). Redesigning the organization with information systems. Royal Military College of Canada. Canada.MAI 45/01
- Heinise, T. and Brets , C., (2000). Information technology and restructuring in public organization: does adaption of information technology affect organizational structure ?Communication and Decision Making Journal of Public Administration research & Theory, 10.4,105-112

: :1

.	-	-1
	-	-2
.	-	-3
.		-4
.	-	-5
	-	-6
		-7
.		-8
.		-9
.		-10
		-11
.		-12



: :2

:

: :

: (√)

<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			.1	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		.2	
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			.3	
10	<input type="checkbox"/>	5-10	<input type="checkbox"/>	5	<input type="checkbox"/>	.4
( ) <input type="checkbox"/>		( ) <input type="checkbox"/>			.5	
(- - - - -) / <input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>			.6	

:

" :reengineering

- -

."

:

: (√)

						.
						:
						.1
						.2
						.3
						.4

						.5
						.6
:						
						.7
						.8
						.9
						10
						11
- :						
						12
						13
						14
						15
						16
:						
						17
					.( )	18
						19
						20
						21

:( )

:( ) :						
					( )	1
					( )	2
						3
						4
						5
					( )	6
:						
						7
						8
						9
						10
						11
						12
					( )	13
- :						
						14
						15
						16

						17
						18
						19
:						
						20
						21
						22
						23
						24
						25
						26
						27
						28
:						
						29
						30
						31
						32
						33
						34
						35
						36
						37
:						

						38
						39
					( )	40
						41
						42
						43
						44
						45
( )						-
						1
						2
						3
						4
						5
						6
						7
						8
						9
						10
						11

:

:3

1994

( )

Saber Decision Technologies

1994

Saber

" "

" "

work shop

Benchmarking

%60

.(151-147 2003 )



<b>110</b>	.....	1
<b>111</b>	.....	2
<b>117</b>	.....	3

63	.....	1.3
63	.....	2.3
64	.....	3.3
64	.....	4.3
64	.....	5.3
65	.....	6.3
65		7.3
67	(Cronbach Alpha)	8.3
	.....	
71	.....	1.4
71		2.4
	.....	
73		3.4
	.....	
74		4.4
	.....	
75		5.4
	.....	
76		6.4
	.....	
78		7.4

80	..... ( )	8.4
81	.....	9.4
83	.....	10.4
84	.....	11.4
86	.....	12.4
87	..	13.4
	( )	
89	.....	14.4
	( )	
91	.....	15.4
92	.....( )	16.4
93	.....( )	17.4
94	.....( )	18.4
95	.....( )	19.4
96	.....( )	20.4
	.....	

97	( )	21.4
97	( )	22.4
98	( )	23.4
99	( )	24.4
99		25.4
100	( )	26.4
100		27.4

:

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

**1** ..... :

1	.....	1.1
3	.....	2.1
3	.....	3.1
4	.....	4.1
4	.....	5.1
5	.....	6.1
6	.....	7.1
6	.....	8.1

**8** ..... :

8	.....	:	1.2
8	.....		.1.1.2
9	.....		.2.1.2
10	.....		.3.1.2
10	.....		.4.1.2

10	.....	.5.1.2
11	.....	.1.5.1.2
11	.....	.2.5.1.2
12	.....	.3.5.1.2
13	.....	.6.1.2
13	.....	.1.6.1.2
13	.....	.1.1.6.1.2
13	.....	.1.1.1.6.1.2
14	.....	.2.1.1.6.1.2
15	.....	.3.1.1.6.1.2
16	.....	.4.1.1.6.1.2
16	.....	.5.1.1.6.1.2
17	.....	.6.1.1.6.1.2
17	.....	.2.1.6.1.2
17	.....	.1.2.1.6.1.2
18	.....	.2.2.1.6.1.2
18	.....	.3.2.1.6.1.2
19	.....	.4.2.1.6.1.2
10	.....	.5.2.1.6.1.2
20	.....	.6.2.1.6.1.2
20	.....	.7.2.1.6.1.2
20	.....	.8.2.1.6.1.2
21	.....	.3.1.6.1.2
21	.....	.1.3.1.6.1.2
22	.....	.2.3.1.6.1.2
23	.....	.3.3.1.6.1.2
24	.....	.4.1.6.1.2
24	.....	.1.4.1.6.1.2
25	.....	.2.4.1.6.1.2
25	.....	.3.4.1.6.1.2

26	.....	.4.4.1.6.1.2
27	.....	.5.4.1.6.1.2
28	.....	.6.4.1.6.1.2
29	.....	.7.4.1.6.1.2
30	.....,	.7.1.2
30	.....	.8.1.2
30	.....	.1.8.1.2
32	.....	.2.8.1.2
33	.....	.3.8.1.2
33	.....	.4.8.1.2
34	.....	.5.8.1.2
35	.....	.6.8.1.2
35	.....	.7.8.1.2
35	.....	.8.8.1.2
36	.....	.9.8.1.2
36	.....	.10.8.1.2
39	.....	.11.8.1.2
40	.....	.12.8.1.2
41	.....	.13.8.1.2
42	.....	.9.1.2
42	.....	.1.9.1.2
43	.....	.2.9.1.2
45	.....	.3.9.1.2
46	.....	.4.9.1.2
47	.....	.10.1.2
47	.....	.1.10.1.2
47	.....	.2.10.1.2
47	.....	.3.10.1.2
48	.....	.2.2
48	.....	.1.2.2

53	.....	.2.2.2
58	.....	.3.2.2
60	.....	.4.2.2
<b>62</b>	.....	:
62	.....	1.3
62	.....	2.3
62	.....	3.3
65	.....- -	4.3
66	.....	1.4.3
66	.....	2.4.3
67	.....	5.3
68	.....	6.3
68	.....	7.3
<b>70</b>	.....	:
70	.....	1.1.4
71	.....	1.1.1.4
72	.....	2.1.1.4
74	.....	3.1.1.4
75	.....	4.1.1.4
76	.....	5.1.1.4
77	.....	2.1.4
78	..... ( )	1.2.1.4
80	.....	2.2.1.4



81	.....	3.2.1.4
82	.....	4.2.1.4
84	.....	5.2.1.4
85	.....	6.2.1.4
87	..... ( )	7.2.1.4
88	.....	3.1.4
91	.....	4.1.4
91	.....	1.4.1.4
95	.....	2.4.1.4
<b>102</b>	..... :	
102	.....	1.5
103	.....	2.5
<b>105</b>	.....	
<b>119</b>	.....	
<b>120</b>	.....	
<b>123</b>	.....	