

-

**2011 - 1432**

:

-

. :

-

-

-



20810564 :

. :

:/ / :

- .....: . : .1
- .....: . : .2
- .....: . : .3

-



.

.....:

.....



:

) 24-14  
(2005

:

.(2006 )

:

.(2007 )

.(2006 )

:

.(2009 )

:

.(2000 )

:

)

.(2005



338

44

15



# **The Role of the non governmental Youth Organizations' in the social development in the northern West Bank**

## **Abstract:**

This study aimed at recognizing the non governmental Youth Organizations' Role in the north of the West Bank and recognizing the aims of the organizations' programs and activities which these organizations carry out in the area of social development and the obstacles they face. Moreover, determining the variables of sex, qualification, residence, age and marital status to find out their effect on social development.

The researcher decided and chose to do this study self-motivate, that she carried out several programs that could fulfill the young needs.

To achieve the objectives of this study, the researcher developed a questionnaire of 44 items discussed five major particles in life which are : Education, Public Services, Leisure Activities, Health and Medical Services and Social Participation to obtain the young basic needs.

After assuring the reliability and validity of study instrument as a random sample consisted of 338 workers in the non governmental youth organizations in the northern parts of the west bank (Nablus, Jenin, Tulkarem, Qalqilia, and Salfit). In addition to this, the researcher made 15 interviews with specialists, experts and managers to recognize the organizations role in social development.

After gathering the data and statistically processed using the SPSS, the study found out that the over-all degree concerned to the variables of "Education, Public services, Leisure Activities and Social Participation, was average but Health, Medical services and Social Participation and obtaining the basic needs was low.

The interviews showed that the Young Organizations didn't give a real influence in the case of social development and doesn't have activities to fill the young free time, and most activities are concerned with sport. The biggest challenge is the financial problem related to capabilities towards the young needs.

The most which the study found out, there are efforts for the youth organizations in the field of social development but scattered and nonsystematic, and most of the programs are educational and their role is relief more than developmental, the reason for this is occupation through lack of specialization resulted from that the supporting countries impose certain plans and processes upon the organizations.

Through to what the researcher found out, she recommended: efforts and cooperation should be done between governmental and non governmental organizations to plan developmentally to interact and activate the young role and do more activities, establishing young groups participating in the youth organizations and making researches caring about the young.

---

**1.1**

.(2009 )

.(2009 )

48

67

.(2007 )

.(2009 )

.(2000 )

.(2004 )

.(2007 )

.(2008 )

**2.1**

.( )

**3.1**

•

•

•

•

)

.(

•

**4.1**

.

.

.

**5.1**

:

:

•



)

(

•

•

•

## 6.1

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

•

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

•

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

•

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

•

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

•

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

•

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$

•

.)

**7.1**

: **.1.7.1**

: **.2.7.1**

**8.1**

.2011-2010 : •

2011-2010

)

:

•

.(

:

•

---

1.2

. (2009 )

)

.(2007

.(2006 )

2.2

.(2007 )

.(2002 )

15

.(2009 )

.(2009 )

3.2

:

: •

: •

. (2009 )

: •

.

: •

.(2007 )

: •

.(1995 )

: •

.(2009 )

.(1995 )

.(2007 )

,

.(2009 )

.

.(2007 )

:

.

•

.(2003 )

•

•

•

.(2009 )

## 6.2

:

•

•

•

:

•

•

)

.(2007



.(2009 )

.(2006 )

:

.(2007 )

.(2009 )

:

•

.(2003 )

•

•

•

•

.(2002 )

:

:

•

:

•

:

•

.(2009 ) : •  
: •  
: •  
.(2009 )

. (2007 )

.(2009 )

1917

1922  
" " .1948  
(1948-1917)

(1999 )

"1925- "  
(2004 )

(1967-1949)

1948

(1990 )

.(2004 )

.1948

:

.(1990 )

(1992-1984)

1987 -1948

1990-1988

.(2009

) 1993-1991

2000-1994

•

1994

.(2010 )

:2000

•

2002

.(2004

) 2006

.(2010 )

.(2000 )

:

:

•

○

○

○

○

)

.(2003

:

•

( )

11.2

500 2008 250 500

%3 %6

%77 2005

.(2008 )

2008

%89

.(2009 ) %83

2009

.(2010 )

%44.3 2000

2007



2000  
 %56.4  
 2007  
 .(2008 )  
 2000  
 497  
 .2007  
 615  
 %27.4  
 2000  
 %35.4  
 2007  
 . 2007 %26.8 2000 %30.0

**12.2**

.(2005 )

**13.2**

30-13 25-15

.(2004 )

)

.(19

. 24-15

29-15

29-20

%27

%49

%51

308

2007

.(2007

)

.(2004 )

.(2004 )

**14.2**

.(2004 )

(2006 )

)

.(2005

**15.2**

:1947

"

1877

"

1908

.1930

"

...

)

"

.(1990

1931

1935

1945

.(1999 )

1947

.

"

"

.(2004

) .

:1967 – 1948

.1948

)

.(1990

:

.(2007 )

:

•

(1982)

.(2002

)

:1993 – 1987

•

:

.(2004

)

:

•

)

.(2004

:2000

•

2006

.(2004

)

16.2

•

(2006 )

•

(2003 )

•

•

(2003 )

•

(2004 )

•

(2007 )

.(2010 )

.(2001 )

.(2007 )

.(2001 )



**18.2**

1967

%20

%18

1973- 1967

%13

1995-1993

1987-1981

1980-1974

.(2007

) %19

.(2008

)

1987

.(2007 )

.(2006 )

	% 9.3	2004	
%6.9	%4.5		24-10

.(2007 )

	2000	2007
	405	321
%15.1	35	232
		. %31.6

.(2008 )

%28.1)

( 31.4

%25.1

%46.8)

%71.9

.(2008

) (

%18.3

. %29.4

% 41.6

%17.7

, 2000

, 2000 %32.4

2007-2000

%37.3

.2000

2007 2000

: -1.2

2000		2000		
40.6	563	40.2	354	
38.7	537	33.0	291	
3.7	51	4.8	42	
4.3	59	5.8	51	
44.3	615	56.4	497	
11.7	162	11.8	104	
12.0	167	6.2	55	
1.9	27	3.9	34	
23.6	328	25.4	224	

2007 2000

: -1.2

2000		2000		
5.8	81	9.2	81	
10.9	151	10.7	94	
25.6	355	26.6	234	
5.3	74	12.5	110	
27.4	381	35.4	312	
26.2	363	17	150	
8.4	116	8.9	78	
8.1	113	5.7	50	
2.1	29	5.7	50	
26.8	372	30	264	
2.7	37	6.7	59	
1388	4581	881	2084	

19.2

:

(2007 )

•

•

.(2006 )

"

2007/3/10

"

-

.(2010 )

.(2010 )

**20.2**

:

.(2004 )

.(2005 )

2007

2007

%44.3

%38.7

.%27.4

.(2007 )

**21.2**

:

: **.1.21.2**

(2009)

430

(2009)

-

7 7

"

-

"

(2007)

29 -15

%26.6

(2007)

401

:(2007)

1260

29-18

"

" (2006)



(2004)

1000

" (2004)

385

(2003)

2000

"

"

(2003)

( )

(2002)

":(2002)  
:"( 2000-1990)

:(2000)

(200)

:"(1999)

"

: **.2.21.2**

. jarret ,Sullivan, Watkins(2005)

coustance, elanagan,faison (2001)

:

smith (1999)

Frantz

(1987)

:

**.3.21.2**

(2009)

-

(2004)

(2009)

(2007)

(2007)

(1999)

(2009 )

(2007)

(2003-2002)

(2006)

(2004 )

(2000)

(2002)

( )

(2005) jarret ,Sullivan, Watkins

(1987) Frantz



(1999) smith

(2001) Constance, Elanagan, Faison



**1.3**

**2.3**

(1:3)

:1:3

128	8	120	
187	45	142	
124	29	95	
594	119	475	
132	40	92	
1165	241	924	

(338)

(6:3-2:3)

(%29)

(2.3)

:

(1

:2:3

%		
76.6	259	
23.4	79	
100.0	338	

(76.6)

(2:3)

(23.4)

:(3.3)

:

(2

(3:3)

(68.6)

.(8.30)

(23.1)

:3:3

%		
23.1	78	
68.6	232	
8.30	28	
100.0	338	

:(4.3)

:

(3

:4:3

%		
52.7	178	
42.0	142	
5.30	18	
100.0	338	

(4:3)

.(5.30)

(42.0)

(52.7)

:(5.3)

:

(4

:5:3

%		
38.1	129	30
34.3	116	39-30
24.3	82	49-40
3.30	11	50
100.0	338	

( 30 )

(5:3)

(34.3) (39-30) (38.1)  
 .(3.30) ( 50) (49-40)

:(5.3) :

:6:3

%		
41.1	139	
58.9	199	
100.0	338	

(6:3)  
 .(41.1) ( ) (58.9)

**3.3**

: **.1.3.3**

:

(44)

:

(7:3)

جدول 7:3:

12		<b>1</b>
9		<b>2</b>
11		<b>3</b>
7		<b>4</b>
5		<b>5</b>
<b>44</b>		

:

- :
- :
- :
- :
- :

: **.2.3.3**

(6)

( )

..%80

(51)

. (44)

: **.3.3.3**

Cronbach ) ( )

(8:3) .(Alpha

:

:8:3

0.91	
0.85	
0.94	
0.78	
0.85	
0.96	

(8:3)

(0.96)

(0.94-0.78)

: **.4.3.3**

:

15

:

:

•

%85

○

%75

○

2010

.

.

:

•

%90 .



%85

○

%90

○

.

:

•

"

"

4.3

:

:

•

( ):

○

( ): ○  
 ( ): ○  
 ( 50 49-40 39-30 30 ): ○  
 ( ): ○

:

•

**5.3**

:

•  
•  
•

(338)

•  
•

(SPSS)

•

**6.3**

:

(SPSS)

•

(Independent Samples-T-Test)	" "	•
(One-Way Analysis of Variance)		•
Scheffe Post Hoc Test	-5	•
One-Way Analysis of )		
	(Variance	

---

1.4

(SPSS)

:

:

: .1.1.4

:

•

•

- 
- 
- 

(6.4 5.4 4.4 4.3 2.4 1.4)

:

%79.9-70  
%80

%50  
% 59.9-50  
% 69.9-60

: **.1.1.1.4**

:

1.4

(1:4)

(7)

(80.2)

(9 11)

(70.4 71.4)

(5 6 1 12 4 8)

67.4 69.2)

(60.2 60.8 63.6 64.0

10 2)

55.8 58.6)

(3

(51.8

.(64.4)

: -1.4

	80.2	4.01		7	1
	71.4	3.57		11	2
	70.4	3.52		9	3
	69.2	3.46		8	4
	67.4	3.37		4	5
	64.0	3.20		12	6
	63.6	3.18		1	7
	60.8	3.04	)	6	8
	60.2	3.01	(	5	9
	58.6	2.93		2	10

: -1.4

	55.8	2.79		10	11
	51.8	2.59		3	12
	<b>64.4</b>	<b>3.22</b>			

(5)

\*

(2003)

:

**.2.1.1.4**

:

.2.4



:2.4

	66.6	3.33	.	9	1
	65.4	3.27		5	2
	65.2	3.26	.	6	3
	64.4	3.22	.	2	4
	63.8	3.19		1	5
	62.4	3.12	.	3	6
	58.8	2.94		4	7
	57.8	2.89	.	7	8
	50.0	2.50	.	8	9
	<b>61.6</b>	<b>3.08</b>			

(5)

\*

(2:4)

(3 1 2 6 5 9)

(62.4 63.8 64.4 65.2 65.4 66.6)

(8 7 4)

.(50.0 57.8 58.8)

.(61.6)

(2009)

: **.3.1.1.4**

3.4

: -3.4

	69.2	3.46		10	1
	65.6	3.28		5	2
	62.0	3.10		6	3
	61.4	3.07		9	4

: -3.4

	61.0	3.05		1	5
	60.8	3.04		8	6
	59.2	2.96		7	7
	51.2	2.56		4	8
	50.8	2.54		2	9
	50.8	2.54		11	10
	45.6	2.28		3	11
	<b>58.0</b>	<b>2.90</b>			

(5)

\*

(3:4)

(8 1 9 6 5 10)

(60.8 61.0 61.4 62.0 65.6 69.2)

(2,11 4 7)

(50.8 50.8 51.2 59.2)

(3)

(45.6)

.(58.0)

\.

(1999)

(2002)

:

**.4.1.1.4**

:

4.4

(4:4)

(84.6)

(2)

(3 1 5)

(72.8 73.4 74.8)

(4)

(65.6)

(7 6)  
(56.8 59.0)

.(69.6)

:4.4

	84.6	4.23		2	1
	74.8	3.74		5	2
	73.4	3.67		1	3
	72.8	3.64		3	4
	65.6	3.28		4	5
	59.0	2.95		6	6
	56.8	2.84		7	7
	<b>69.6</b>	<b>3.48</b>			

(5)

\*

(2004)

(1999) Smith

:

**5.1.1.4**

:

5.4

(5:4)

62.8 65.6)

(3 2 1)

(61.2

(4)

(53.8)

(4)

(53.8)

(5)

(43.0)

.(57.4)

:5.4

	65.6	3.28		1	1
	62.8	3.14		2	2
	61.2	3.06		3	3
	53.8	2.69		4	4
	43.0	2.15		5	5
	<b>57.4</b>	<b>2.87</b>			

(5)

\*

(2004)

.6.1.1.4

(6:4)

:6.4

	69.6	3.48		1
	64.4	3.22		2
	61.8	3.08		3
	58.0	2.90		4
	57.4	2.87		5
	62.2	3.11		

: (6:4)

.(62.2)

:

: •  
: •  
: •  
: •  
: •

: : 2.1.4

: .1.2.1.4

$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$



Independent t-

( )

: (7:4) (test

( ) :7.4

	( )	(79= )		(259= )		
*0.003	3.010	0.63	3.44	0.76	3.16	
*0.017	2.400	0.62	3.23	0.64	3.03	
0.114	1.586	0.72	3.03	0.90	2.86	
*0.040	2.065	0.45	3.61	0.67	3.44	
0.428	0.793	0.86	2.93	0.84	2.85	
*0.012	2.515	0.50	3.26	0.64	3.07	

(336)

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

\*

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

(7:4)

(0.428 0.114)

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

0.003)

(0.012 0.040 0.017

.2.2.1.4

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

(One-Way ANOVA)

: (9:4) (8:4)

:8.4

(28 = )	(232 = )	(78 = )	
2.73	3.28	3.22	
2.78	3.11	3.08	
2.35	2.94	2.98	
3.43	3.48	3.49	
2.90	2.93	2.66	
2.78	3.15	3.11	

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

(9:4)

(0.913)

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

(Scheffe)

(14:4) -(10:4)

:9.4

	" "					
*0.001	7.064	3.767	2	7.533		
		0.533	335	178.628		
			337	186.161		
*0.032	3.475	1.398	2	2.796		
		0.402	335	134.770		
			337	137.566		
*0.002	6.302	4.569	2	9.138		
		0.725	335	242.899		
			337	252.037		
0.913	0.091	0.036	2	0.072		
		0.395	335	132.440		
			337	132.512		
*0.050	3.019	2.124	2	4.248		
		0.704	335	235.693		
			337	239.942		
*0.009	4.730	1.757	2	3.514		
		0.371	335	124.431		
			337	127.945		

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

\*

:10.4

*0.4901	0.059-		3.22	
*0.5491			3.28	
			2.73	

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

\*

: (10:4)

) ( ) ( )  
( )  
( )

:11.4

*0.3063	0.028-		3.08	
*0.3343			3.11	
			2.78	

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

\*

: (11:4)

) ( ) ( )  
( )

( )

:12.4

*0.6216	0.037		2.98	
*0.5846			2.94	
			2.35	

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

\*

: (12:4)

( )

( )

.( )

( )

:13.4

0.2385-	*0.2686-		2.66	
0.030			2.93	
			2.90	

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

\*

: (13:4)

( )

:14.4

*0.3340	0.041-		3.11	
*0.3750			3.15	
			2.78	

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

\*

: (14:4)

( )

.( )

( )

( )

**.3.2.1.4**

:

$$(\alpha \leq 0.05)$$

(One-Way ANOVA)

: (16:4) (15:4)

:15.4

(18 = )	(142 = )	(178 = )	
3.46	3.29	3.14	
3.26	3.05	3.08	
2.86	2.92	2.89	
3.72	3.51	3.43	
2.94	2.91	2.82	
3.25	3.14	3.08	

: -16.4

	" "					
0.074	2.618	1.432	2	2.864		
		0.547	335	183.297		
			337	186.161		

: -16.4

	" "					
0.412	0.890	0.364	2	0.727		
		0.408	335	136.839		
			337	137.566		
0.928	0.075	0.057	2	0.113		
		0.752	335	251.924		
			337	252.037		
0.113	2.192	0.856	2	1.712		
		0.390	335	130.801		
			337	132.512		
0.604	0.505	0.361	2	0.721		
		0.714	335	239.221		
			337	239.942		
0.389	0.948	0.360	2	0.720		
		0.380	335	127.225		
			337	127.945		

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

\*

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

(16:4)

0.113 0.928 0.412 0.074)

.(0.389 0.604



**.4.2.1.4**

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

(One-Way ANOVA)

: (18:4) (17:4)

:17.4

<b>50 (11 = )</b>	<b>49-40 (82 = )</b>	<b>39-30 (116 = )</b>	<b>30 (129 = )</b>	
2.16	3.12	3.29	3.32	
3.25	3.19	3.13	3.02	
1.67	3.00	2.88	2.96	
2.29	3.70	3.46	3.46	
1.65	2.98	2.79	2.96	
3.04	3.18	3.12	3.15	

: -18.4

	" "					
*0.0001	9.806	5.023	3	15.070		
		0.512	334	171.091		
			337	186.161		

: -18.4

	" "					
*0.0001	6.333	2.468	3	7.404		
		0.390	334	130.161		
			337	137.566		
*0.0001	8.552	5.993	3	17.979		
		0.701	334	234.058		
			337	252.037		
*0.0001	19.304	6.527	3	19.581		
		0.338	334	112.931		
			337	132.512		
*0.0001	9.652	6.381	3	19.142		
		0.661	334	220.800		
			337	239.942		
*0.0001	12.839	4.410	3	13.229		
		0.343	334	114.716		
			337	127.945		

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

\*

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

(18:4)

.(0.0001)

(Scheffe)

(24:4)-(19:4)

:19:4

50	49-40	39-30	30		
*1.159	0.2010	0.0269-		3.32	30
*1.132	0.1741			3.29	39-30
*0.9578				3.12	49-40
				2.16	50

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

\*

: (19:4)

)

- (30 ) ( 50) (30)
- (39-30) .(39-30) ( 50)
- (49-40) .(49-40) ( 50)

:20:4

50	49-40	39-30	30		
*0.6714	0.1620-	0.1034-		3.02	<b>30</b>
*0.7748	0.0584-			3.13	<b>39-30</b>
*0.8335				3.19	<b>49-40</b>
				2.35	<b>50</b>

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

\*

: (20:4)

•

.(30 ) ( 50) (30 ) •  
 .(39-30) ( 50) (39-30) •  
 .(49-40) ( 50) (49-40) •

:21:4

50	49-40	39-30	30		
*1.289	0.041-	0.081		2.96	30
*1.2075	0.1230-			2.88	39-30
*1.3306				3.00	49-40
				1.67	50

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

\*

: (21:4)

.(30 ) ( 50) (30 ) •  
 .(39-30) ( 50) (39-30) •  
 .(49-40) ( 50) (49-40) •

: (22:4)

.(49-40) (49-40) (30 ) •  
 .(30 ) ( 50) (30 ) •

.(39-30) ( 50) (39-30)

.(49-40) ( 50) (49-40)

:22:4

50	49-40	39-30	30		
*1.1390	*0.2355-	0.0101		3.46	30
*1.1749	0.2345-			3.46	39-30
*1.4094				3.70	49-40
				2.29	50

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

\*

:23:4

50	49-40	39-30	30		
*1.3098	0.0161-	0.1747		2.96	30
*1.1351	0.1980-			2.79	39-30
*1.3259				2.98	49-40
				1.65	50

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

\*

: (23:4)

.(30 ) ( 50) (30 )

.(39-30) ( 50) (39-30)

.(49-40)

( 50)

(49-40)

:24:4

50	49-40	39-30	30		
*1.1112	0.0280-	0.0262		3.15	30
*1.0850	0.0542-			3.12	39-30
*1.1392				3.18	49-40
				2.04	50

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

\*

: (24:4)

)

( 50)

(30 )

.(30

.(39-30)

( 50)

(39-30)

.(49-40)

( 50)

(49-40)

.5.2.1.4

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

: (25:4) (Independent t-test) ( )

( ) :25.4

	( )	(199= )		(139= )		
0.508	0.662	0.72	3.20	0.78	3.25	
0.147	1.453	0.65	3.12	0.63	3.02	
0.227	1.210	0.90	2.85	0.81	2.97	
0.764	0.300	0.66	3.49	0.58	3.47	
0.082	1.744	0.86	2.80	0.83	2.96	
0.579	0.556	0.63	3.10	0.60	3.13	

(336)

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

\*

( $\alpha \leq 0.05$ )

(25:4)

0.764 0.227 0.147 0.508)

.(0.579 0.082



:

1.5

:

•

•

•

•



•

•

•

•

•

•

2.5

:

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

- (1975).
- (2009) .
- (2005) .
- (2004) .
- (2006) .
- 
- (2007) .
- (1999) .
- (2005) .
- (2007) .
- (2005-2000)
- ( 2005 ):
- (2007).
- (2007) .
- (2004 ) .

. (2001) . •  
 . (2010) . •  
 . (2004) . •  
 ).  
 . ( •  
 17 : (2002) . •  
 . 206-198  
 : (2003) . •  
 . 127-112 25  
 : (1995) . •  
 : (2006) . •  
 : (2007) . •  
 : (2010) . •  
 : (2006) . •  
 : (2004) . •  
 . 430-408 10 32  
 : (1999) •  
 : (2000) . •  
 . ( •  
 : (2000) . •  
 : (2009) . •  
 : (2007) . •

:(2002) . •

:(1995) . •

:(2007) . •

:(2004) . •

1 :(2003) . •

.98-77

:(2003) . •

:(2000) . •

:(2006). •

.33-13

:(2003) . •

:(2006) . •

:(2003) . •

:(2008) . •

:(2007) . •

:(2009) . •

)

.(

:(2001) . •

.172

:(2002) . •

:(2009) . •

:(2010) . •

:(2002). •

). (2000-1990)

.(  
:(2009) . •

:(2009) . •

). (2007-2000)

.(

- : •

/ : •

: •

/ : •

: •

/ : •

/ : •

: •

/

:

:

:

:

:

:

:


:

:

:

- Constance, A, Flanagan, E, Faison, N.(2001): **Youth Civic Development : Implication of Research for Social Policy and Programs**, Social Policy Report ,Giving Child and Youth Development Knowledg away, Vol(7),N(1),PP1-12.
- Frantz, T .(2002):**The Role of NGOS in the Strengthency of Civil Society, Word Development**, Vol (1),N(15).pp121-127.
- Jarret, r, Sullivan, p, Watkins, n.(2005): **Developing Social Capital Through Participation in Organized Youth Programs**, journal of community Psychology, Vol (33),N(1),PP41-55.
- Smith, E (1999): **the Effects of Investments in the Social Capital of Youth on Political and Civic Behavior in Young adulthood** , Political Psychology, Vol (20),N(3),PP553-580.

:1.3



/

:

(

)

:

:



-:

:

☒ /

□ □ : .1

□ □ □ : .2

□ □ □ : .3

50 □      59-40 □      39-30 □      30 □ : .4

□ □ : .5

:

:

(x) /

:						
						1
						2
						3
						4
						5
					)	6
					(	7
						8
						9

						10
						11
						12
:						
						1
						2
						3
						4
						5
						6
						7
						8
						9
:						
						1
						2
						3
						4
						5
						6
						7
						8

						9
						10
						11
:						
						1
						2
						3
						4
						5
						6
						7
:						
						1
						2
						3
						4
						5

.....

:

"

"

-

:

:3.3

	.	1
	.	2
	.	3
	.	4
	.	5
	.	6

:4.3

10

:

•

•

•

11

•

•

:

○

○

12

●

●

:

○

○

○

○

13

●

:

●

○

○

○

○

○

●

●

1

14

15

●

○

○



○

●

●

●

:5.3

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

•

○

○

○

:6.3

-

:1.6.3

3	4				1
3	4				2
2	7				3
2	7				4
3	4				5
2	7				6
3	4				7
2	7				8
5	4				9
4	5			( )	10
2	7				11
4	5				12
0	7				13
2	7				14
3	6				15
0	7				16

-

:2.6.3

0	7				1
0	7				2
0	7				3
0	7				4
4	3				5
3	4				6
4	3				7
3	5				8
4	3				9
0	7				10
0	7				11
0	7				12
0	7				13
2	7				14
2	7				15
0	7				16
7	0				17

7	6				1
3	6				2
3	6				3
2	7				4
0	7				5
2	5				6
2	5		-		7
0	9				8
0	7				9
5	2				10
2	7				11
2	9				12
4	7				13
0	7				14
0	9				15
0	9				16
0	9				17
6	5				18
3	4				19
2	9				20
2	7				21

-

:4.6.3

0	7				1
2	5				2
1	7				3
2	5				4
0	7				5
0	7				6
3	3				7
0	7				8
0	7				9
0	7				10
0	7				11
0	7				12
0	9				13
0	7				14
0	7				15
0	7				16
0	7				17
0	7				18

-

:5.6.3

0	7				1
2	5				2
1	7				3
2	5				4
0	7				5
0	7				6
3	3				7
0	7				8
0	7				9
0	7				10
0	7				11
0	7				12
0	9				13
0	7				14
0	7				15
0	7				16
0	7				17
0	7				18



-

: -6.6.3

0	11				1
2	7				2
5	4		/		3
5	2				4
1	8				5
0	7				6
0	13				7
2	7				8
7	0				9
0	9				10
3	5		//		11
2	7				12
2	5			( )	13
0	11				14
1	8				15
1	6				16
3	4				17
1	12				18
0	7				19
4	3		-		20
1	8				21
1	8				22
2	5		-		23

-

: -6.6.3

0	7		15		24
2	7				25
0	7				26
0	11			-	27
2	7				28
0	7				29
1	8			-	30
1	8				31
0	11				32
2	11				33
0	9				34
2	7				35
4	3				36
0	9				37
1	8				38
1	6				39
0	9				40
2	5				41
2	5				42
3	6				43
4	3				44
5	2				45
1	8			-	46
0	8				47
0	7				48
7	0				49

-

: -6.6.3

1	6				50
2	5				51
0	9				52
2	7				53
7	0				54
0	7				55
0	7				56
0	7				57
2	7				58
1	6				59
0	9				60
2	11				61
3	6				62
0	7				63
0	7				64
2	5				65
2	5				66
9	0				67
2	9				68
1	12				69
0	7				70

93	.....	1.3
97	.....	2.3
98	.....	3.3
99	.....	4.3
103	.....	5.3
105	.....	6.3

48	.....	1.3
48	.....	2.3
49	.....	3.3
49	.....	4.3
49	.....	5.3
50	.....	6.3
51	.....	7.3
52		8.3
	.....	
60		1.4
	.....	
62		2.4
	.....	
63		3.4
	.....	
66		4.4
	.....	
68		5.4

69	.....	6:4
70	..... ( )	7.4
71	.....	8.4
72	.....	9.4
73	.....	10.4
73	.....	11.4
74	.....	12.4
74	.....	13.4
75	.....	14.4
76	.....	15.4
76	.....	16.4
78	.	17.4

78	.....	18.4
80	.....	19.4
80	.....	20.4
81	.....	21.4
82	.....	22.4
82	.....	23.4
83	.....	24.4
84	.....	25.4
	..	

( )

.....  
.....  
.....  
.....  
.....

**1** ..... :

1	.....	1.1
4	.....	2.1
4	.....	3.1
5	.....	4.1
5	.....	5.1
6	.....	6.1
7	.....	7.1
7	.....	8.1

**9** ..... :

9	.....	1.2
10	.....	2.2
10	.....	3.2
12	.....	4.2
12	.....	5.2



13	.....	6.2
15	.....	7.2
15	.....	8.2
16	.....	9.2
20	.....	10.2
21	.....	11.2
22	.....	12.2
22	.....	13.2
24	.....	14.2
25	.....	15.2
28	.....	16.2
29	.....	17.2
30	.....	18.2
33	.....	19.2
34	.....	20.2
35	.....	21.2
35	.....	1.21.2
42	.....	2.21.2
43	.....	3.21.2
<b>47</b>	.....	:
47	.....	1.3
47	.....	2.3
50	.....	3.3
50	.....	1.3.3
51	.....	2.3.3
52	.....	3.3.3
52	.....	4.3.3
55	.....	4.3

56	.....	5.3
56	.....	6.3
<b>58</b>	..... :	
58	.....	1.4
58	.....	1.1.4
59	.....	1.1.1.4
61	.....	2.1.1.4
63	.....	3.1.1.4
65	.....	4.1.1.4
67	.....	5.1.1.4
68		6.1.1.4
	.....	
69	.....	2.1.4
69	.....	1.2.1.4
71	.....	2.2.1.4
76	.....	3.2.1.4
78	.....	4.2.1.4
84	.....	5.2.1.4
<b>85</b>	..... :	
85	.....	1.5
86	.....	2.5
<b>88</b>	.....	
<b>113</b>	.....	

<b>114</b>	.....
<b>117</b>	.....