

Deanship of Graduate Studies
Al-Quds University

Evaluating the Quality of an e-Learning Course

Dima Rateb Badawi

M.Sc. Thesis

Jerusalem- Palestine

1429/2008

Deanship of Graduate Studies
Al-Quds University

Evaluating the Quality of an e-Learning Course

Dima Rateb Badawi

M.Sc. Thesis

Jerusalem- Palestine

1429/2008

Evaluating the Quality of an e-Learning Course

Prepared By:
Dima Rateb Badawi

B.Sc.: Electrical and Computer Engineering / Palestine
Polytechnic University / Palestine

Supervisor: Dr. Labib Arafeh

A thesis Submitted in Partial fulfillment of requirements
for the degree of Master of Engineering/ Electronics and
Computer Engineering/ Al- Quds University

1429/2008

Al-Quds University
Deanship of Graduate Studies
Master of Electronics and Computer Engineering

Thesis Approval

Evaluating the Quality of an e-learning Course

Prepared By: Dima Rateb Badawi
Registration No: 20520166

Supervisor: Dr. Labib Arafeh

Master thesis submitted and accepted, Date: 24/05/2008
The names and signatures of the examining committee members are as follow:

- | | | |
|----|---|------------------|
| 1- | Head of Committee: Dr. Labib Arafeh | Signature: |
| 2- | Internal Examiner: Dr. Rashid Jayousi | Signature: |
| 3- | External Examiner: Dr. Mahmoud Al-Saheb | Signature: |

Jerusalem-Palestine
1429/2008

Dedication

This thesis is sincerely dedicated

To

My loving Parents

For

Raising me to be the person I am today. You have been with me every step of the way, through good times and bad. Thank you for all the unconditional love, guidance, and support that you have always given me, helping me to succeed and instilling in me the confidence that I am capable of doing anything I put my mind to. Thank you for everything.

I love you!

And

To

My dear husband, Derar, and my son Omar. A very special thank you for your practical and emotional support, to the competing demands of business, work, study and personal development.

And

To

My siblings (Mahdi, Majdi, Mohammed, Lama, Ala'a, Maha, Samah, and Izzat) for their kind help, moral support, and encouragement that was indispensable to the accomplishment of this work.

Dima Rateb Badawi

Declaration:

I Certify that this thesis submitted for the degree of master in the result of my own research, except where otherwise acknowledged, and that this thesis (or any part of the same) has not been submitted for a higher degree to any other university or institution.

Signed

Dima Rateb Badawi

Date

Acknowledgments

From the formative stages of this thesis, to the final draft, I owed an immense debt of gratitude to my great supervisor, Prof. Labib Arafeh for his hard work and guidance throughout this entire thesis process and for believing in my abilities. I have learned so much, and without you, this would not have been possible. Thank you so much for a great experience.

For their efforts and assistance, a special thanks to all teachers who taught me in the master study period.

Also special thanks to the Universities AlQuds, PPU, and PTC for their students and locations to implement and test our research.

For their Course “Introduction to Computer” which implemented in PPU University that we have evaluated, a special thanks to the European training project (RUFO training project).

I would also like to thank those students and teachers who agreed to be interviewed, without their time and cooperation; this thesis would not have been possible.

My fellow graduate students, for their friendships and support. The last two years have been quite an experience and you have all made it a memorable time of my life. I will miss all of you. Good luck to each of you in your future endeavours.

To each of the above, I extend my deepest appreciation.

Dima Rateb Badawi

Abstract:

A lot of Palestinian higher education institutions are currently using e-learning scheme to offer their academic and professional programs regardless of time and place. Thus, it is badly needed to address the quality issue of these e-learning programs. This thesis has addressed the available e-learning evaluation models and dimensions. Most of these studies, as reported in the literature focus on dimensions including interface design, functionality, efficiency, portability of e-learning, etc. However, very few authors who have focussed on issues including e-learning costing, and there are very rare ones who have addressed other dimensions of e-learning. These include ethical, motivational, institutional, technological, and pedagogical dimensions.

This thesis has addressed several quality-related issues. The first one is a comparison between the traditional learning and the e-learning scheme focusing on the achievements and attitudes of the students. The sample we have used consists of forty nine students from three national universities, 24 of those have joined the e-learning course, whereas the rest joined the traditional one. The comparison has been tested through a course entitled "Introduction to Computer". Pre and post tests have been used to test the achievements of both groups. The preliminary obtained results indicate that e-learning's students have achieved a higher degree (the mean equals 17/20) than those (the mean equals 14.6/20) who have joined the traditional learning one. Regarding the comparison of students' attitude toward e-learning, we have used special questionnaire to discuss learner's attitude before and after learning. The preliminary obtained results demonstrate that students' attitudes towards the e-learning have been more than that of the traditional one.

The second issue has focussed on quality assurance approach, which has been implemented for evaluating the quality of e-learning from a pedagogical dimension. This approach has been tested through a sample of 24 students for the e-learning scheme, 7 teachers, and the same course. Two questionnaires have been developed to evaluate the course from the two points of view, the instructors' and the learners'. These evaluating questionnaires have addressed several sub dimensions. These include contents analysis, audience analysis, goal analysis, media analysis, design approach, organization and learning strategies. The preliminary obtained results verify that the course under consideration needs a major development enhancement from the developers and designers to meet the required e-learning specifications.

The third issue has addressed costing analysis. A costing model has been proposed and tested on the same course under consideration. In this model, the total costs are a function of the number of semesters. The total costs have been divided into two main types of costs namely, the fixed and the variable costs. In addition, the Breakeven Point analysis has been used to determine the number of semesters for the course to determine when the course will be profitable. Furthermore, the costing model has been used to compare the e-learning and the traditional courses' costs. The initial achieved results show that traditional learning cost is nearly less five times than the e-learning. This huge difference is due mainly to the high development costs of e-learning. It is also found that, for the course to be profitable it requires 8.8 semesters.

Table of Contents:

Dedication	
Declaration	i
Acknowledgement	ii
Abstract	iii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Tables	vi
List of Figures	x
List of Appendices	xii
Chapter One: Introduction	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problems and Objectives	3
1.3 Research Justifications	3
1.4 Contribution of the Study	4
1.5 Research Questions	4
1.6 Review of Study Chapters	5
Chapter Two: Literature Review	6
2.1 Literature Review	6
2.2 Summary	12
Chapter Three: e-learning	13
3.1 Introduction	13
3.2 e-learning and Traditional Learning	14
3.2.1 Traditional Learning vs. e-learning:	15
3.3 Different e-learning Styles	17
3.3.1 Synchronous Learning:	17
3.3.2 Self-Directed Learning:	18
3.3.3 Asynchronous Learning:	19
3.4 The General Benefits and Drawbacks of e-learning	20
3.4.1 Advantages of e-learning to the Trainer or Organization	21
3.4.2 Advantages to the Learner:	22
3.4.3 Disadvantages to the Trainer or Organization	22
3.4.4 Disadvantages to the Learner	23
3.5 e-learning Components	23
3.6 e-learning Evaluation	25
3.7 Summary	27
Chapter Four: Research Procedures	28
4.1 Introduction	28
4.2 Research Design	28
4.3 Research Methodology	29
4.4 Research Population	30
4.5 Research Sample	30
4.6 Research Environment	30
4.7 Research Tools	30
4.7.1 Pre and Post Tests:	30
4.7.2 Students Attitude Questionnaire:	31
4.7.3 e-learning Evaluation Questionnaire:	31
4.8 Research limitations	32

4.9 Summary	33
Chapter Five: Statistical Analysis	34
5.1 Pre and Post Tests	34
5.2 Students Attitude Questionnaires	36
5.2.1 Traditional Set Attitude Toward e-learning	36
5.2.2 e-learning Set Attitude Toward e-learning	39
5.3 e-learning Evaluation Questionnaire	42
5.3.1 Learner's Questionnaire	43
5.3.1.1 General Discussion of the Learners Results	81
5.3.2 Instructors Questionnaire	82
5.3.2.1 Discussion of Results	115
5.4 Summary	116
Chapter Six: Cost Analysis	117
6.1 New Cost Analysis Model	117
6.1.1 Fixed Costs	118
6.1.2 Variable Costs	119
6.2 Cost Analysis of "Introduction to Computer" Course	119
6.2.1 Initial Fixed Costs	120
6.2.1.1 Infrastructure	120
6.2.1.2 e-learning Material (Content, Assessment, etc.)	124
6.2.1.3 Training	124
6.2.2 Variable Costs	125
6.2.2.1 Personnel	125
6.2.2.2 Technical Support and Maintenance Costs	127
6.2.2.3 Infrastructure Support Costs	127
6.3 Total Costs	130
6.3.1 Total Costs Comparison	132
6.3.2 Breakeven Point Analysis	132
6.4 Comparison with Other	134
6.5 Summary	135
Chapter Seven: Conclusion and Future Work	136
7.1 Conclusion	136
7.2 Future Work	139
References	140
Appendices	144
المُلخَص	196

List of Tables:

Table 2.1 e-learning evaluation models comparison	11
Table 3.1: advantages and challenges of the synchronous virtual classroom	18
Table 3.2: advantages and challenges of the Self-Directed Learning	19
Table 3.3: advantages and challenges of the Asynchronous learning	19
Table 5.1: Strategies Pre and Post Tests	34
Table 5.2: Answers Range	36
Table 5.3: Student's attitude before traditional learning	36
Table 5.4: Students attitude after traditional learning	37
Table 5.5: Traditional pre and post learning paired t-test	39
Table 5.6: Students attitude before e-learning	39
Table 5.7: Students attitude after e-learning	40
Table 5.8: e-learning pre and post learning paired t-test	42
Table 5.9: Traditional learning and e-learning t-test	42
Table 5.10: Cronbach Alpha values for learners	43
Table 5.11: Textual answers to numbers	43
Table 5.12: Answers Levels	44
Table 5.13: Content Questions no 1, 2 and 3	44
Table 5.14: Audience Questions no1, 2 and 3	45
Table 5.15: Audience Purpose	45
Table 5.16: Course Division	46
Table 5.17: Goal Questions no1 to 7	47
Table 5.18: Goals	48
Table 5.19: Goal relevancy	48
Table 5.20: Media Questions no1 to 3	49
Table 5.21: Multimedia Attributes Efficiency	50
Table 5.22: Presentation Modes	50
Table 5.23: Design Questions no 1 and 2	51
Table 5.24: Learner's Role	52
Table 5.25: Learning Activities Relevantly	52
Table 5.26: Learner's Material Control	53
Table 5.27: Organization Questions no 1 to 4	54
Table 5.28: Presentations	55
Table 5.29: Presentation Efficiency	55
Table 5.30: Presentation Multimedia components	56
Table 5.31: Presentation Internet tools	57
Table 5.32: Presentation Supplementary materials	57
Table 5.33: Virtual Exhibits	58
Table 5.34: Demonstration Sessions	58
Table 5.35: Demonstration Efficiency	58

Table 5.36: Demonstrations Multimedia components	59
Table 5.37: Demonstrations Internet tools	60
Table 5.38: Demonstrations Supplementary materials	61
Table 5.39: drill and practice	61
Table 5.40: Tutorials	61
Table 5.41: Story-Telling	62
Table 5.42: Games	62
Table 5.43: Simulation	62
Table 5.44: Role-Playing	62
Table 5.45: Asynchronous Discussion	62
Table 5.46: Synchronous Discussion	63
Table 5.47: Discussion Efficiency	63
Table 5.48: Discussions Multimedia components	64
Table 5.49: Discussions Internet tools	64
Table 5.50: Discussions Supplementary materials	65
Table 5.51: Learning Strategies Questions 22 to 51	66
Table 5.52: Interaction	70
Table 5.53: Learning Strategies Questions no 54 to 57	70
Table 5.54: Modelling	71
Table 5.55: Facilitation	71
Table 5.56: Learning Strategies Questions no 66 to 74	71
Table 5.57: Inside Collaboration	73
Table 5.58: Inside Collaboration Efficiency	73
Table 5.59: Outside Collaboration	74
Table 5.60: Collaboration Multimedia components	74
Table 5.61: Collaboration Internet tools	75
Table 5.62: Collaboration Supplementary materials	75
Table 5.63: Debates	76
Table 5.64: Virtual Field Trips	76
Table 5.65: Apprenticeship	76
Table 5.66: Case Studies	77
Table 5.67: Generative Learning	77
Table 5.68: Learning Strategies Question no 92 to 93	77
Table 5.69: Learning Strategies Question no 95 to 107	78
Table 5.70: Learning Strategies Percentages	80
Table 5.71: Cronbach Alpha Values for Instructors	82
Table 5.72: Textual answers to numbers for Instructors	82
Table 5.73: Answers Levels for Instructors	83
Table 5.74: Instructor's Content Questions 1 to 9	83
Table 5.75: Instructor's Content Updating Often	84
Table 5.76: Personal Characteristics	85
Table 5.77: knowledge and skills information	86

Table 5.78: Instructor's Questions 1 to 3	86
Table 5.79: Instructor's Audience Purpose	87
Table 5.80: Instructor's Course Division	87
Table 5.81: Instructor's Goal Questions 1 to 10	88
Table 5.82: Instructor's Course Goals	89
Table 5.83: Instructor's Media Questions 1 to 4	89
Table 5.84: Instructor's Multimedia Attributes Efficiency	90
Table 5.85: Instructor's Presentation Modes	90
Table 5.86: Instructor's Design Questions 1 and 2	91
Table 5.87: Instructor's role	92
Table 5.88: Content Type	92
Table 5.89: Instructor's Organization Questions 1 to 4	93
Table 5.90: Instructor's Presentation	93
Table 5.91: Instructor's Presentation Efficiency	94
Table 5.92: Instructor's Presentation Multimedia components	94
Table 5.93: Instructor's Presentation Internet tools	95
Table 5.94: Instructor's Presentation Supplementary materials	95
Table 5.95: Instructor's Exhibits	96
Table 5.96: Instructor's Demonstration	96
Table 5.97: Instructor's Drill and Practice	96
Table 5.98: Instructor's Tutorials	97
Table 5.99: Instructor's Story-Telling	97
Table 5.100: Instructor's Games	97
Table 5.101: Instructor's Simulation	97
Table 5.102: Instructor's Role-Playing	97
Table 5.103: Instructor's Asynchronous Discussion	98
Table 5.104: Instructor's Synchronous Discussion	98
Table 5.105: Instructor's Discussion Efficiency	98
Table 5.106: Instructor's Discussion Multimedia components	99
Table 5.107: Instructor's Discussion Internet tools	99
Table 5.108: Instructor's Discussion Supplementary materials	100
Table 5.109: Instructor's Learning Strategies Questions 23 to 51	101
Table 5.110: Instructor's Interaction	105
Table 5.111: Instructor's Learning Strategies Questions no 55 to 58	105
Table 5.112: Instructor's Modelling	106
Table 5.113: Instructor's Facilitation	106
Table 5.114: Instructor's Learning Strategies Questions 68 to 76	106
Table 5.115: Instructor's Inside Collaboration	107
Table 5.116: Instructor's Inside Collaboration Efficiency	108
Table 5.117: Instructor's Outside Collaboration	108
Table 5.118: Instructor's Collaboration Multimedia components	108
Table 5.119: Instructor's Collaboration Internet tools	109

Table 5.120: Instructor's Collaboration Supplementary materials	109
Table 5.121: Instructor's Debates	110
Table 5.122: Instructor's Virtual Field Trips	111
Table 5.123: Instructor's Apprenticeship	111
Table 5.124: Instructor's Case Studies	111
Table 5.125: Instructor's Generative Learning	111
Table 5.126: Instructor's Learning Strategies Questions no 97 and 98	112
Table 5.127: Instructor's Learning Strategies Questions no 100 to 107	112
Table 5.128: Learning Strategies for Instructor's Data	114
Table 6.1: Cost Analysis Assumptions	119
Table 6.2: Traditional Learning Furniture Costs	120
Table 6.3: e-learning Furniture Costs	120
Table 6.4: e-learning Operating and Equipment Costs	121
Table 6.5: Traditional Learning Hardware Costs	121
Table 6.6: Server Specifications	121
Table 6.7: PCs Specifications	122
Table 6.8: Server and PCs Costs	122
Table 6.9: Utilities (Printing & Publishing) Costs	122
Table 6.10: LAN and Network Connection Costs	123
Table 6.11: Software Costs	123
Table 6.12: Infrastructure Costs	123
Table 6.13: Team Roles	124
Table 6.14: Total Fixed Costs	124
Table 6.15: Faculty Costs	127
Table 6.16: Technical Support and maintenance costs	127
Table 6.17: Utilities Costs	128
Table 6.18: Internet Connection Costs	128
Table 6.19: Operating and Equipment Costs	129
Table 6.20: Infrastructure Support Costs	129
Table 6.21: Variable Costs	129
Table 6.22: Total Costs Comparison	130
Table 6.23: Total Revenue	131
Table 6.24: Total Costing	132

List of Figures:

Fig. 5.1: Pre and Post Tests Means	35
Fig. 5.2: Audience Purpose	46
Fig. 5.3: Course Division	47
Fig. 5.4: Goal relevancy	49
Fig. 5.5: Multimedia Attributes Efficiency	50
Fig. 5.6: Presentation Modes	51
Fig. 5.7: Learners' Role	52
Fig. 5.8: Learning Activities Relevantly	53
Fig. 5.9: Learner's Material Control	54
Fig. 5.10: Presentation's Efficiency	56
Fig. 5.11: Presentation of Multimedia components	56
Fig. 5.12: Presentation Internet tools	57
Fig. 5.13: Presentation Supplementary materials	58
Fig. 5.14: Demonstration Efficiency	59
Fig. 5.15: Demonstrations Multimedia components	60
Fig. 5.16: Demonstrations Internet tools	60
Fig. 5.17: Demonstrations Supplementary materials	61
Fig. 5.18: Discussion Efficiency	63
Fig. 5.19: Discussions Multimedia components	64
Fig. 5.20: Discussions Internet tools	65
Fig. 5.21: Discussions Supplementary materials	65
Fig. 5.22: Inside Collaboration Efficiency	73
Fig. 5.23: Collaboration Multimedia components	74
Fig. 5.24: Collaboration Internet tools	75
Fig. 5.25: Collaboration Supplementary materials	76
Fig. 5.26: Learning Strategies Percentages	80
Fig. 5.27: Instructor's Content Updating Often	85
Fig. 5.28: Instructor's Course Division	87
Fig. 5.29: Instructor's Presentation Modes	91
Fig 5.30: Instructor's role	92
Fig 5.31: Instructor's Presentation Multimedia components	94
Fig. 5.32: Instructor's Presentation Internet tools	95
Fig. 5.33: Instructor's Presentation Supplementary materials	96
Fig. 5.34: Instructor's Discussion Efficiency	98
Fig. 5.35: Instructor's Discussion Multimedia components	99
Fig. 5.36: Instructor's Discussion Internet tools	100
Fig. 5.37: Instructor's Discussion Supplementary materials	100
Fig. 5.38: Instructor's Inside Collaboration Efficiency	108
Fig. 5.39: Instructor's Collaboration Multimedia components	109
Fig. 5.40: Instructor's Collaboration Internet tools	110